

Fixed Point Theorems in Menger Space

Using Semi-Compatibility

B. D. Pant and Sunny Chauhan

Department of Mathematics
R. H. Government Postgraduate College
Kashipur (Uttarakhand), India
sun.gkv@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper we prove a fixed point theorem for six mappings in Menger space using the notion of semi-compatibility.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25

Keywords: Triangle function (t -norm), Menger space, Common fixed point, Compatible maps, Semi-compatible maps, Weakly compatible maps

1. Introduction

In 1942, K. Menger introduced the notion of probabilistic metric space in which a distribution function was used instead of non-negative real number as value of the metric [4]. The study of these spaces expanded rapidly with the pioneering works of Schweizer and Sklar [8].

In 1972, V. M. Sehgal and A. T. Bharucha-Reid [9] initiated the study of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric (briefly, PM) spaces. Since then there has been a massive growth of fixed point theorems using certain conditions on the mappings or on the space itself.

Sessa [10] introduced weakly commuting maps in metric space. The concept of weakly commuting mappings in probabilistic settings was first studied by Singh and Pant [13, 14]. Jungck [2] introduced the concept of compatible maps. This condition has further been weakened by introducing the notion of weakly-compatible mappings by Jungck and Rhoades [3]. The notion of R-weakly commuting mappings was introduced by Pant [6]. For detailed description of these concepts, we refer to Singh and Tomar [15].

The concept of weakly-compatible mappings is most general as every commuting pair is R-weakly commuting, each pair of R-weakly commuting mappings is compatible and each pair of compatible mappings is weakly compatible but the reverse is not true.

Recently Cho et. al. [1] have introduced the notion of semi-compatible maps in a d-topological space. Singh and Jain [11] have established some fixed point theorems in Menger space using semi-compatibility of the mappings.

In this paper we establish a fixed point theorem for six semi-compatible mappings in Menger space. For further information we refer to Pant and Chauhan [7]. First we recall some definitions and known results in Menger space.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A mapping $F: R \rightarrow R^+$ is said to be a distribution function if it is non-decreasing, left continuous with $\inf \{F(t): t \in R\} = 0$ and $\sup \{F(t): t \in R\} = 1$.

We will denote by Δ the family of all distribution functions on $[-\infty, \infty]$. H is a special element of Δ defined by

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \leq 0; \\ 1, & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2 [8]. Let X be a non- empty set and Δ denote the set of all distribution functions defined on X . An ordered pair (X, F) is called a probabilistic metric space if F is a mapping from $X \times X$ into Δ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $F_{x,y}(t) = H(t)$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ii) $F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t)$;
- (iii) $F_{x,y}(0) = 0$;
- (iv) If $F_{x,z}(t) = 1, F_{z,y}(s) = 1$ then $F_{x,y}(t + s) = 1$ for all x, y, z in X and $t, s \geq 0$.

Every metric space (X, d) can be realized as a probabilistic metric space by taking $F_{x,y}(t) = H(t - d(x, y))$ for all x, y in X .

Definition 2.3 [8]. A mapping $T: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t -norm if T satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $T(a, 1) = a, T(0, 0) = 0$;
- (ii) $T(a, b) = T(b, a)$;
- (iii) T is continuous;
- (iv) $T(a, b) \leq T(c, d)$; whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ and $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$;
- (v) $T(T(a, b), c) = T(a, T(b, c))$ for all a, b, c in $[0, 1]$.

Definition 2.4. A Menger space (X, F, T) is an ordered triad, where T is a continuous t -norm and (X, F) is a probabilistic metric space satisfying the following conditions:

$$F_{x,z}(t + s) \geq T(F_{x,y}(t), F_{y,z}(s)), \text{ for all } x, y, z \text{ in } X \text{ and } t, s \geq 0.$$

Definition 2.5. Let (X, F, T) be a Menger space.

- (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, F, T) is said to converge to a point $x \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $F_{x_n,x}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ whenever $n \geq N$.
- (ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy sequence if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $F_{x_n,x_m}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ whenever $n, m \geq N$.
- (iii) A Menger space (X, F, T) is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent to a point in X .

Definition 2.6 [2]. The self maps A and B of a Menger space (X, F, T) are said to be compatible if

$$F_{ABx_n, BAx_n}(t) \rightarrow 1 \text{ for all } t > 0,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $Ax_n, Bx_n \rightarrow x$ for some x in X as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.7 [3]. The self maps A and B of a Menger space (X, F, T) are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e; if $Ax = Bx$ for some $x \in X$ then $ABx = BAx$.

Proposition 2.8 [12]. If the self maps A and B of a Menger space (X, F, T) are compatible then they are weakly compatible.

Definition 2.9 [7, 11]. A pair (A, B) of self maps of a Menger space (X, F, T) is said to be semi-compatible if

$$F_{ABx_n, Bx}(t) \rightarrow 1 \text{ for all } t > 0,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = x \in X$. It follows that if (A, B) is semi-compatible and $Ax = Bx$ then $ABx = BAx$. Thus if the pair (A, B) is semi-compatible then it is weakly compatible. The converse is not true as seen in example 2.11.

Proposition 2.10. *Let A and B be self maps on a Menger space (X, F, T) . If B is continuous then (A, B) is semi-compatible if and only if (A, B) is compatible.*

In the following example we shall show that a pair of semi-compatible self maps (A, B) need not be compatible and semi-compatibility of a pair of self maps implies weak compatibility.

Example 2.11. Let $X = [0, 2]$ with the usual metric d , i.e; $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ for all x, y in X . Define $F_{x,y}(t) = \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)}$, for all x, y in X and for all $t > 0$.

We define self maps A and B as follows:

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } 0 \leq x < 1; \\ 2, & \text{if } 1 \leq x \leq 2. \end{cases} \text{ and } B(x) = \begin{cases} 2 - x, & \text{if } 0 \leq x < 1; \\ 2, & \text{if } 1 \leq x \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

Taking $x_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. We get $Ax_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, $Bx_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$. Thus $Ax_n \rightarrow 1$, $Bx_n \rightarrow 1$. Hence $x = 1$. Further $ABx_n = 2$, $BAx_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$.

Now; $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{ABx_n, BAx_n}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{2, 1 + \frac{1}{n}}(t) = \frac{t}{t+1} < 1$, for all $t > 0$.

Hence A and B are not compatible. Also,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{ABx_n, Bx}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{2,2}(t) = 1.$$

So it is clear that A, B are semi-compatible.

And $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{BAx_n, Ax}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{1 + \frac{1}{n}, 2}(t) = \frac{t}{t+1} < 1$, for all $t > 0$.

So it is clear that B, A are not semi-compatible. Now we will show that the semi-compatible pair (A, B) is weakly compatible also.

Coincidence points of A and B are in $[1, 2]$. Now for any $x \in [1, 2]$. $Ax = Bx = 2$ and $AB(x) = A(2) = 2 = B(2) = BA(x)$. Thus (A, B) is weakly compatible.

Lemma 2.12 [5]. *Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a Menger space (X, F, T) with continuous t -norm T and $T(a, a) \geq a$. If there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(kt) \geq F_{x_{n-1}, x_n}(t)$$

for all $t > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Lemma 2.13 [5]. *Let (X, F, T) be a Menger space. If there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$F_{x,y}(kt) \geq F_{x,y}(t)$
 for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$ then $x = y$.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let A, B, S, T, L and M be self maps on a complete Menger space (X, F, T) with continuous t -norm T defined by $T(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$ for $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and satisfy the following:

- (a) $AB(X) \subset M(X)$ and $ST(X) \subset L(X)$;
- (b) $M(X)$ and $L(X)$ are complete subspace of X ;
- (c) Either AB or ST is continuous;
- (d) (AB, L) is semi-compatible and (ST, M) is weakly compatible;
- (e) For all $x, y \in X, k \in (0, 1), t > 0$,

$$F_{ABx,STy}^3(kt) \geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{Lx,My}^3(t), F_{ABx,Lx}^3(t), F_{STy,My}^3(t), F_{ABx,My}(2t), \\ F_{STy,Lx}(2t), F_{STy,My}^2(t) \end{array} \right\}$$

Then AB, ST, L and M have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ be any arbitrary point. Since $AB(X) \subset M(X)$ and $ST(X) \subset L(X)$, there exist $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $ABx_0 = Mx_1$ and $STx_1 = Lx_2$. Inductively, we construct sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $y_{2n-1} = Mx_{2n-1} = ABx_{2n-2}$ and $y_{2n} = Lx_{2n} = STx_{2n-1}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Step (i): Now using (e) with $x = x_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2}}^3(kt) &= F_{ABx_{2n},STx_{2n+1}}^3(kt) \\ &\geq \\ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{Lx_{2n},Mx_{2n+1}}^3(t), F_{ABx_{2n},Lx_{2n}}^3(t), F_{STx_{2n+1},Mx_{2n+1}}^3(t), F_{ABx_{2n},Mx_{2n+1}}(2t), \\ F_{STx_{2n+1},Lx_{2n}}(2t), F_{STx_{2n+1},Mx_{2n+1}}^2(t) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}^3(t), F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}^3(t), F_{y_{2n+2},y_{2n+1}}^3(t), F_{y_{2n+1},y_{2n+1}}(2t), \\ F_{y_{2n+2},y_{2n}}(2t), F_{y_{2n+2},y_{2n+1}}^2(t) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}^3(t), F_{y_{2n+2},y_{2n+1}}^3(t), \\ \min \{F_{y_{2n+2},y_{2n+1}}(t), F_{y_{2n+1},y_{2n}}(t)\}, F_{y_{2n+2},y_{2n+1}}^2(t) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$F_{y_{2n+1},y_{2n+2}}(kt) \geq F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(t).$$

Similarly we can have

$$F_{y_{2n},y_{2n+1}}(kt) \geq F_{y_{2n-1},y_{2n}}(t)$$

Therefore, for all n we have:

$$F_{y_n,y_{n+1}}(kt) \geq F_{y_{n-1},y_n}(t)$$

Hence by Lemma 2.12, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X , which is complete. Therefore $\{y_n\}$ converges to $z \in X$. Thus its subsequences also converge to z .

Case (I): Let AB be continuous, then $AB(AB)x_{2n} \rightarrow ABz$ and $(AB)Lx_{2n} \rightarrow ABz$. As (AB, L) is semi-compatible, $(AB)Lx_{2n} \rightarrow Lz$.

By uniqueness of limit in Menger space, we obtain $ABz = Lz$.

Step (ii): Putting $x = z$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (e), we have

$$F_{ABz,STx_{2n+1}}^3(kt) \geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{Lz,Mx_{2n+1}}^3(t), F_{ABz,Lz}^3(t), F_{STx_{2n+1},Mx_{2n+1}}^3(t), F_{ABz,Mx_{2n+1}}(2t), \\ F_{STx_{2n+1},Lz}(2t), F_{STx_{2n+1},Mx_{2n+1}}^2(t) \end{array} \right\}$$

Taking limit, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ABz,z}^3(kt) &\geq \min \{ F_{Lz,z}^3(t), F_{ABz,Lz}^3(t), F_{z,z}^3(t), F_{ABz,z}(2t), F_{z,Lz}(2t), F_{z,z}^2(t) \} \\ &\geq \min \{ F_{ABz,z}^3(t), 1, 1, F_{ABz,z}(2t), F_{z,ABz}(2t), 1 \} \\ &\geq F_{ABz,z}^3(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $ABz = z$.

Step (iii): As $AB(X) \subset M(X)$, there exists a point $v \in X$ such that $z = ABz = Mv$.

By taking $x = z$ and $y = v$ in (e), we have

$$F_{ABz,STv}^3(kt) \geq \min \{ F_{Lz,Mv}^3(t), F_{ABz,z}^3(t), F_{STv,Mv}^3(t), F_{ABz,Mv}(2t), F_{STv,Lz}(2t), F_{STv,Mv}^2(t) \}$$

Taking limit, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{z,STv}^3(kt) &\geq \min \{ F_{ABz,ABz}^3(t), 1, F_{STv,Mv}^3(t), F_{Mv,Mv}(2t), F_{STv,ABz}(2t), F_{STv,Mv}^2(t) \} \\ &\geq \min \{ 1, 1, F_{STv,z}^3(t), 1, F_{STv,z}(2t), F_{STv,z}^2(t) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$F_{z,STv}^3(kt) \geq F_{z,STv}^3(t)$$

Hence $z = STv = Mv$.

Step (iv): As (ST, M) is weakly compatible, $M(STv) = ST(Mv)$. Hence $Mz = STz$.

By taking $x = z$ and $y = z$ in (e), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ABz,STz}^3(kt) &\geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{Lz,Mz}^3(t), F_{ABz,Lz}^3(t), F_{STz,Mz}^3(t), F_{ABz,Mz}(2t), \\ F_{STz,Lz}(2t), F_{STz,Mz}^2(t) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{ABz,STz}^3(t), F_{Lz,Lz}^3(t), F_{Mz,Mz}^3(t), F_{ABz,STz}(2t), \\ F_{STz,ABz}(2t), F_{Mz,Mz}^2(t) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\geq \min \{ F_{ABz,STz}^3(t), 1, 1, F_{ABz,STz}(2t), 1 \} \\ &\geq F_{ABz,STz}^3(t) \end{aligned}$$

Hence; $ABz = STz$.

Now, combine all the results, we get $z = ABz = STz = Lz = Mz$. Thus z is the common fixed point of AB, ST, L and M .

Case II: If ST is continuous, the proof follows by case I.

Uniqueness: Let $w(w \neq z)$ be another common fixed point of AB, ST, L and M , then $w = ABw = STw = Lw = Mw$.

By taking $x = z$ and $y = w$ in (e), we get

$$F_{ABz,STw}^3(kt) \geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{Lz,Mw}^3(t), F_{ABz,Lz}^3(t), F_{STw,Mw}^3(t), F_{ABz,Mw}(2t), \\ F_{STw,Lz}(2t), F_{STw,Mw}^2(t) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$F_{z,w}^3(kt) \geq \min \{ F_{z,w}^3(t), F_{z,z}^3(t), F_{w,w}^3(t), F_{z,w}(2t), F_{w,z}(2t), F_{w,w}^2(t) \}$$

$$\geq F_{z,w}^3(t).$$

Hence $z = w$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. Therefore z is the unique common fixed point of AB, ST, L and M .

On taking $B = T = I$ (identity maps) in Theorem 3.1, then we have the following:

Corollary 3.2. *Let A, S, L and M be self maps on a complete Menger space (X, F, T) with continuous t -norm T defined by $T(a, b) = \min \{a, b\}$ for $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and satisfy the following:*

- (a) $A(X) \subset M(X)$ and $S(X) \subset L(X)$;
- (b) $M(X)$ and $L(X)$ are complete subspace of X ;
- (c) Either A or S is continuous;
- (d) (A, L) is semi-compatible and (S, M) is weakly compatible;
- (e) For all $x, y \in X, k \in (0, 1), t > 0$

$$F_{Ax,Sy}^3(kt) \geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{Lx,My}^3(t), F_{Ax,Lx}^3(t), F_{Sy,My}^3(t), F_{Ax,My}(2t), \\ F_{Sy,Lx}(2t), F_{Sy,My}^2(t) \end{array} \right\}$$

Then A, S, L and M have a unique common fixed point in X .

If we take $A = S, L = M$ and $B = T = I$ (identity mappings) in Theorem 3.1 then we have the following:

Corollary 3.3. *Let A and L be self maps on a complete Menger space (X, F, T) with continuous t -norm T defined by $T(a, b) = \min \{a, b\}$ for $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and satisfy the following:*

- (a) $A(X) \subset L(X)$;
- (b) $L(X)$ is complete subspace of X ;
- (c) L is continuous;
- (d) (A, L) is semi-compatible;
- (e) For all $x, y \in X, k \in (0, 1), t > 0$,

$$F_{Ax,Ay}^3(kt) \geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{Lx,Ly}^3(t), F_{Ax,Lx}^3(t), F_{Ay,Ly}^3(t), F_{Ax,Ly}(2t), \\ F_{Ay,Lx}(2t), F_{Ay,Ly}^2(t) \end{array} \right\}$$

Then A and L have a unique common fixed point in X .

□

References

- [1] Y. J. Cho, B. K. Sharma and D. R. Sahu, Semicompatability and fixed points, *Math. Japon.* **42**(1995), no.1, 91-98.

- [2] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.* **9**(1986), 771-773.
- [3] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **29**(3) (1988), 227-238.
- [4] K. Menger, Statistical metric, *Proc. Nat. Acad. (USA)* **28**(1942), 535 -537.
- [5] S. N. Mishra, Common fixed points of compatible mappings in probabilistic metric spaces, *Math. Japon.* **36** (1991), no.2, 283-289.
- [6] R. P. Pant, Common fixed points of non-commuting mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **188**(1994), 436-440.
- [7] B. D. Pant and Sunny Chauhan, Common fixed point theorems for semi compatible mappings using implicit relation, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **3**(2009), no. 28, 1389-1398.
- [8] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Probabilistic Metric Spaces, *Elsevier, North-Holland, New York*, 1983.
- [9] V. M. Sehgal, A. T. Bharucha–Reid, Fixed point of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces, *Math. Systems Theory* **6**(1972), 97-100.
- [10] S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, *Publ. Inst. Math.* **32**(1982), 149-153.
- [11] B. Singh and S. Jain: Semi-compatibility and fixed point theorems in Menger space, *Journal of Chungcheong Mathematical Society* **17**(2004), no.1, 1-17.
- [12] B. Singh and S. Jain, A fixed point theorem in Menger space through weak compatibility, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **301** (2005), 439-448.
- [13] S. L. Singh and B. D. Pant, Common fixed points of weakly commuting mappings on non-Archimedean Menger spaces, *Vikram Math. J.* **6**(1986), 27-31.
- [14] S. L. Singh, B. D. Pant and Rekha Talwar, Fixed points of weakly commuting mappings on Menger spaces, *Jñānābha.* **23**(1993), 115-122.

- [15] S. L. Singh and A. Tomar, Weaker forms of commuting maps and existence of fixed points, *J. Korean Soc. Math. Edu. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math.* **10**(3) (2003), 145-161.

Received: September, 2009