

K-Forms of 2-Step Splitting Trivectors

Lemnour Noui

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Batna University, Algeria
nouilem@yahoo.fr

N. Midoune

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Msila University, Algeria
midounenour@yahoo.fr

Abstract. In this paper, we describe the K -forms of 2-step splitting trivectors of rank 8 where K is any field of characteristic other than 2 and 3 .

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 05C38, 15A15; Secondary 05A15, 15A18

Keywords: complexity, trivector, length

1. INTRODUCTION

First we recall some definitions. Let E be an n -dimensional vector space over a field K and let $\Lambda^m E$ denote the exterior power of degree m over E , the classification of trivectors is the study of the action of General linear group $GL(E)$ on the space $\Lambda^3 E$ defined by $f \cdot \omega = (\Lambda^3 f)(\omega)$. The equivalence classes are the $GL(E)$ -orbits under this action. As $\Lambda^3 E^* \simeq (\Lambda^3 E)^*$, there is no difference between trilinear alternating forms and trivectors. *The support* of the trivector ω is the least subspace F of E such that $\omega \in \Lambda^3 F$. Denote this subspace by S_ω , its dimension is *the rank* of ω and will be denoted by $r(\omega)$. This classification could help to solve some open problems in the theory of self dual codes, see[10]. The relationship between trivectors and nilpotent lie algebras can be seen in [6].

A general classification of trivectors exists in dimension 7 and less [2,7,12]. For $n=8$ Gurevitch [4], D. Djokovic [3] and L.Noui [9] give an answer to the classification problem with $K = \mathbb{C}$, $K = \mathbb{R}$ and for K algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic respectively.

If ω is a trivector defined over the field K , a K -form of ω is another trivector, of the same type as that of ω , defined over K which is isomorphic to ω over \overline{K} , the algebraic closure of K . In [6], the K -forms of a trivector of rank 8 in the open dense orbit are determined when K has characteristic zero. In this paper, K is a field of characteristic other than 2 and 3, we are interested in describing the K -forms of 2-step splitting trivectors of rank 8.

1.1. Invariants and trivectors. First we talk about arithmetical invariant $d_1(\omega)$ that one may assign to a trivector ω . For every subspace α of E let $\overline{\omega}_\alpha$ the canonical image of ω in $\Lambda^3(E/\alpha)$. We put $d_k(\omega) = \inf_\alpha r(\overline{\omega}_\alpha)$ where α runs over all k -dimensional subspaces of E . The numbers $d_k(\omega)$ form a chain

$$d_0(\omega) = r(\omega) > d_1(\omega) > d_2(\omega) > \dots > d_r(\omega) = 0$$

where r is less than or equal to $\dim E$. Note that $d_1(\omega) = 0$ if and only if ω is divisible by a vector [8].

The element ω of $\Lambda^3 E$ is called *splitting* if there exists a decomposition $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$ such that $\omega \in E_1 \otimes \Lambda^2 E_2$.

If $\dim E_1 = r$, ω is called *r-step splitting*. In particular ω is 1-step splitting means that ω is divisible: $\omega = e_1 u$ where $e_1 \in E_1$ and $u \in \Lambda^2 E_2$. We refer to $\text{Aut}(\omega)$ the automorphisms group of ω as the stabilizer (in $GL(E)$) of ω , other invariants as Derivation and Commutant are defined in [7, 8, 13].

Theorem 1. [11] *There exists a system of divided powers in the ideal $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Lambda^{2k} E$ of the exterior power of E .*

This result is well known in the case of fields and it has a generalization in the ring case. If $u \in \Lambda^2 M^*$, u is nondegenerate if and only if $\gamma_m(u)$ generates the A -module $\Lambda^{2m} M^*$. If $u = \sum_{i=1}^r x_{2i-1} x_{2i}$ where $\{x_1, \dots, x_{2r}, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of M ,

$$\gamma_k(u) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq r} x_{2i_1-1} x_{2i_1} \cdots x_{2i_k}$$

such that $\text{rank}(u) = 2r$ is equivalent to $\gamma_k(u) \neq 0$ and $\gamma_{k+1}(u) = 0$.

1.2. Trivectors of rank 8.

Theorem 2. [9] *Let E be a vector space of dimension 8 over an algebraically closed field K of arbitrary characteristic. Then any trivector of rank 8 in $\Lambda^3 E$ is equivalent to one of the trivectors $\omega_{8,i}$ of Table 1.*

Table 1

$\omega_{8,i}$	Notation of Gurevitch	Trivector	$d_1(\omega_{8,i})$
$\omega_{8,1}$	<i>XVI</i>	$e_1(e_2e_3 + e_4e_5) + e_6e_7e_8$	3
$\omega_{8,2}$	<i>XI</i>	$e_1(e_2e_3 + e_4e_5 + e_6e_7) + e_5e_6e_8$	3
$\omega_{8,3}$	<i>XVII</i>	$e_1(e_3e_4 + e_5e_6) + e_2(e_3e_5 + e_7e_8)$	5
$\omega_{8,4}$	<i>XIII</i>	$e_1(e_2e_3 + e_4e_5) + e_6(e_2e_7 + e_4e_8)$	5
$\omega_{8,5}$	<i>XIX</i>	$e_1(e_2e_3 + e_4e_5) + e_6(e_2e_3 + e_7e_8)$	5
$\omega_{8,6}$	<i>XIV</i>	$e_1(e_2e_3 + e_4e_5 + e_6e_7) + e_8(e_4e_3 + e_5e_6)$	5
$\omega_{8,7}$	<i>XII</i>	$e_1(e_2e_3 + e_4e_5 + e_6e_7) + e_2(e_5e_6 + e_7e_8)$	5
$\omega_{8,8}$	<i>XVIII</i>	$e_1(e_2e_8 + e_3e_6 + e_4e_7) + e_6e_7e_8 + e_3e_4e_5$	6
$\omega_{8,9}$	<i>XXI</i>	$e_1[e_2(e_3 + e_4) + e_5e_6] + e_3e_5e_7 + e_4e_6e_8$	6
$\omega_{8,10}$	<i>XX</i>	$e_1(e_2e_8 + e_6e_7) + e_2e_3e_5 + e_3e_4e_6 + e_4e_5e_7$	6
$\omega_{8,11}$	<i>XV</i>	$e_1(e_3e_7 + e_5e_4 + e_8e_2) + e_8(e_4e_3 + e_6e_7) + e_2e_4e_6$	6
$\omega_{8,12}$	<i>XXII</i>	$e_1[(e_4 - e_7)(e_3 - e_8) + e_5e_7] + e_2(e_3e_4 + e_5e_6) + e_6e_7e_8$	7
$\omega_{8,13}$	<i>XXIII</i>	$e_1[e_5(e_3 + e_7) + e_8e_4] + e_2(e_3e_4 + e_5e_6) + e_6e_7e_8$	7

Remark 1. The trivectors $\omega_{8,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, 6$, are 2 step-splitting.

2. AUTOMORPHISMS GROUP AND GALOIS COHOMOLOGY.

In this section, K is an arbitrary field of characteristic other than 2 and 3, we will compute the automorphisms group A_i of each trivector $\omega_{8,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$. If \bar{K} is the algebraic closure of K and G is $Gal(\bar{K}/K)$, the classification of trivectors using Galois descent will involve the cohomology $H^1(G, A_i)$ for not necessarily abelian coefficients A_i , $H^1(G, A_i)$ classifies trivectors over K that become isomorphic over \bar{K} to $\omega_{8,i}$. Indeed if $A_i = \text{Aut}_{\bar{K}}(\omega_{8,i})$, there is a bijection from $H^1(G, A_i)$ to the set of K -isomorphism classes of trivectors ω such that $\omega_{\bar{K}} \simeq \omega_{8,i}$ ([12, 14]).

To establish the main result for K -forms we need to introduce a few more concepts.

2.1. Etale algebras. Following [5] by etale algebra we mean a finite dimensional commutative K -algebra L such that $L \simeq K_1 \times \dots \times K_r$, with the K_i 's finite separable field extensions of K . In other words, if we denote by K_s a separable closure of K then a finite-dimensional K -algebra L is called etale if $L \otimes_K K_s$ is isomorphic to a split K_s -algebra $K_s \times \dots \times K_s$. For the set $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let S_n be the symmetric group of X , i.e the group of all permutations of X . It is well-known that the Galois cohomology set $H^1(G, S_n)$ is in canonical one-to-one correspondence with the isomorphism classes of etale K -algebras of dimension n , see [5], that is, the pointed set $H^1(G, S_n)$ classifies etale K -algebras of degree n . In particular, for $n = 3$ we obtain the cubic etale

algebras as commutative associative K -algebras L of dimension 3 over K such that $L \simeq K_s \times K_s \times K_s$. They are of four possible types:

- $L_1 \simeq K \times K \times K$, the split algebra.
- $L_2 \simeq K \times K'$, where K' is a Galois quadratic field extension of K .
- L_3 a cyclic cubic field extension of K , and
- L_4 a general separable field extension of degree 3 over K .

2.2. Invariant domains. Let E be a vector space of dimension n over the field K and if ω is a trivector in $\Lambda^3 E$, we define two domains which are invariant under the group of automorphisms of the trivector ω .

First, the domain $\overline{R}_i(\omega) = \{x \in E / \overline{\omega}_{\langle x \rangle} \text{ is of type } \omega_i\}$ is invariant under $\text{Aut}(\omega)$, indeed, let $x \in R_i$ and put $E = Kx \oplus E'$, then $\omega = xu + \omega'$ where ω' is a trivector in $\Lambda^3 E'$. Since $x \notin E'$, $\overline{\omega}_{\langle x \rangle} = \overline{\omega'}_{\langle x \rangle} = \omega'$ which is of type ω_i . If $f \in \text{Aut}(\omega)$ then

$$\Lambda^3 f(\omega) = \omega = f(x)\Lambda^2 f(u) + \Lambda^3 f(\omega'),$$

this yields $\overline{\omega}_{\langle f(x) \rangle} = \overline{\Lambda^3 f(\omega')}_{\langle f(x) \rangle}$, as f is a bijective linear map, $\Lambda^3 f(\omega')$ is equivalent to ω' . $f(x) \notin f(E')$ imply that $\overline{\omega}_{\langle f(x) \rangle} = \Lambda^3 f(\omega')$ which is of type ω_i , so $f(x) \in R_i$, that is, $f(R_i) \subset R_i$.

For the second domain, suppose $x \in E$ and let f be a nonzero trilinear alternating form on E . Consider the bilinear alternating form f^x on E defined by

$$f^x(y, z) = f(x, y, z) \quad (y, z \in E).$$

Its rank $rk(f^x)$ is an even number, then the domain $R_i(f) = \{x \in E / rk(f^x) = 2i\}$ ($0 \leq 2i \leq n$) is invariant under $\text{Aut}(f)$ [2].

In order to compute the automorphisms group A_i we use the previous invariant domains.

2.3. Automorphisms groups.

Proposition 1. *The automorphisms group $A_1 = \text{Aut}(\omega_{8,1})$ verify the following exact sequences :*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\longrightarrow SL_3(K) \longrightarrow A_1 \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\omega_5) \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 &\longrightarrow A_0 \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\omega_5) \longrightarrow K^* \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 &\longrightarrow K^4 \longrightarrow A_0 \longrightarrow SP_4(K) \longrightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_5 = e_1(e_2e_3 + e_4e_5)$

Proof. Let $\omega_{8,1} = e_1(e_2e_3 + e_4e_5) + e_6e_7e_8$, first we determine the domain $R_{\leq 1}(\omega_{8,1}) = R_0(\omega_{8,1}) \cup R_1(\omega_{8,1})$. Direct computation shows that

$$R_{\leq 1}(\omega_{8,1}) = \langle e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5 \rangle \cup \langle e_6, e_7, e_8 \rangle = V_1 \cup V_2.$$

As $V_1 \cap V_2 = \{0\}$ then if $f \in A_1 = \text{Aut}(\omega_{8,1})$ we have $f(R_{\leq 1}(\omega_{8,1})) \subset R_{\leq 1}(\omega_{8,1})$ so f either stabilizes each of the two linear spaces V_1, V_2 or interchanges them, that is,

$$\{f(V_1) \subset V_1 \text{ and } f(V_2) \subset V_2\} \quad \text{or} \quad \{f(V_1) \subset V_2 \text{ and } f(V_2) \subset V_1\}.$$

The second case is impossible and the matrix of f is of the shape:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & o_{1 \times 4} & o_{1 \times 3} \\ \vdots & A & o_{4 \times 3} \\ \alpha_8 & o_{3 \times 4} & B \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $f \in A_1$, $\Lambda^3 f(\omega_{8,1}) = \omega_{8,1}$ implies $B \in SL_3(K)$ and $\alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_8 = 0$, in this case $f(e_1) = \alpha_1 e_1$ and $\Lambda^3 f[e_1(e_2 e_3 + e_4 e_5)] = e_1(e_2 e_3 + e_4 e_5) = \omega_5$, consequently $f|_{\langle e_1, \dots, e_5 \rangle} \in \text{Aut}(\omega_5)$, this allows us to define a homomorphism of groups

$$A_1 \ni f \mapsto \varphi(f) = f|_{\langle e_1, \dots, e_5 \rangle} \in \text{Aut}(\omega_5)$$

φ is obviously surjective, we have $\ker \varphi = \{f / f \in A_1 \text{ and } \alpha_1 = 1, A = id_4\}$. $\Lambda^3 f(\omega_{8,1}) = \omega_{8,1}$ implies that $\ker \varphi \simeq SL_3(K)$, so the sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow SL_3(K) \longrightarrow A_1 \longrightarrow (\omega_5) \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact, as $\text{Aut}(\omega_5)$ verify the following exact sequences [8]

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & A_0 & \longrightarrow & (\omega_5) & \longrightarrow & K^* & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & K^* & \longrightarrow & A_0 & \longrightarrow & SP_4(K) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

so we obtain the desired result. □

Proposition 2. *The automorphisms group $A_2 = \text{Aut}(\omega_{8,2})$ verify the following exact sequences :*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & A_2^{\prime} & \longrightarrow & A_2 & \longrightarrow & K^* & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & A_2^{\prime\prime} & \longrightarrow & A_2^{\prime} & \longrightarrow & SL_2(K) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & A_2^{\prime\prime\prime} & \longrightarrow & A_2^{\prime\prime} & \longrightarrow & K^* & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & U(16) & \longrightarrow & A_2^{\prime\prime\prime\prime} & \longrightarrow & SL_2(K) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

Proof. Choosing a suitable basis of E , we can write

$$\omega_{8,2} = e_1(e_5 e_6 + e_8 e_2 + e_3 e_7) + e_2 e_3 e_4.$$

First determine the domain $\overline{R}_3(\omega_{8,2}) = \{x \in E / \overline{\omega_{8,2}(x)} \text{ has rank } 3\}$. Notice that $e_1 \in \overline{R}_3(\omega_{8,2})$. Direct computation yields $\overline{R}_3(\omega_{8,2}) = \langle e_1 \rangle - \{0\}$, then we can define a groups homomorphism $\varphi : A_2 \longrightarrow K^*$ by $\varphi(f) = \alpha$ where $f(e_1) = \alpha e_1$, $A_2^{\prime} = \ker \varphi$. φ is surjective so the first sequence is exact:

$$1 \longrightarrow A_2^{\prime} \longrightarrow A_2 \longrightarrow K^* \longrightarrow 1.$$

By a computation using the equality $\det M(f) = \det B \times \det C \neq 0$, we deduce that $C \in SL_2(K)$, then we can define a groups homomorphism $\Psi : A_3 \rightarrow SL_2(K)$ by $\varphi(f) = C$, consequently we obtain the second exact sequence. Let $f \in A_3'' = \ker \Psi$ then $C = Id_2$. The requirement $\wedge^3 f(\omega_{8,3}) = \omega_{8,3}$ gives the last sequence. \square

Proposition 4. *The automorphisms group $A_4 = \text{Aut}(\omega_{8,4})$ verify the following exact sequences ::*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\longrightarrow A_4' \longrightarrow A_4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 &\longrightarrow A_4'' \longrightarrow A_4' \longrightarrow K^* \times K^* \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 &\longrightarrow K^{12} \longrightarrow A_4'' \longrightarrow SL_2(K) \times SL_2(K) \longrightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Choosing a suitable basis of E , we can write $\omega_{8,4} = e_1(e_3e_6 + e_4e_7) + e_2(e_3e_5 + e_4e_8)$. The invariant domain $\overline{R}_5(\omega_{8,4})$ is equal to

$$\{ \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \cup \langle e_3, e_4 \rangle \} - \{0\} = \{V_1 \cup V_2\} - \{0\},$$

obviously $V_1 \cap V_2 = \{0\}$, then if $f \in A_4$, $f(\overline{R}_5(\omega_{8,4})) \subset \overline{R}_5(\omega_{8,4})$ consequently f either stabilizes each of the two linear spaces V_1, V_2 or interchanges them, this allows us to define a groups homomorphism

$$\varphi : A_4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \quad f \longmapsto \varphi(f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f(V_1) \subset V_1 \text{ and } f(V_2) \subset V_2 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

φ is surjective, indeed $\varphi(id_E) = 0$ and $\varphi(f_0) = 1$ with f_0 is defined by $f_0(e_1) = e_3, f_0(e_2) = e_4, f_0(e_3) = e_1, f_0(e_4) = e_2, f_0(e_5) = -e_7, f_0(e_6) = -e_6, f_0(e_7) = -e_5, f_0(e_8) = -e_8$. Thus we obtain the following sequence :

$$1 \longrightarrow A_4' \longrightarrow A_4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1$$

where $A_4' = \ker \varphi$.

Let $f \in A_4'$, then $f(V_1) \subset V_1$ and $f(V_2) \subset V_2$, consequently the matrix of f has the form:

$$M(f) = \begin{pmatrix} A & O_{2 \times 2} & \\ O_{2 \times 2} & B & C \\ & O_{4 \times 4} & \end{pmatrix} \quad A, B \in GL_2(K)$$

this allows us to define a groups homomorphism

$$\psi : A_4' \longrightarrow K^* \times K^*, \quad \psi(f) = (\det A, \det B).$$

ψ is surjective, hence we obtain the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow A_4'' \longrightarrow A_4' \longrightarrow K^* \times K^* \longrightarrow 1$$

where $A_4'' = \ker \psi$. Let $f \in A_4''$, then $\det A = \det B = 1$, so $A, B \in SL_2(K)$, thus we can define a groups homomorphism $\pi : A_4'' \rightarrow SL_2(K) \times SL_2(K)$ by $\pi(f) = (A, B)$, π is surjective, determine its kernel $\ker \pi$. Let $f \in \ker \pi$, then $A = B = id_2$ and $\wedge^3 f(\omega) = \omega$ imply $\ker \pi \simeq K^{12}$, as wanted. \square

Proposition 5. *The automorphisms group $A_5 = \text{Aut}(\omega_{8,5})$ verify the following exact sequences :*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\longrightarrow A_5^{\dot{}} \longrightarrow A_5 \longrightarrow S_3 \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 &\longrightarrow A_5^{\ddot{}} \longrightarrow A_5^{\dot{}} \longrightarrow K^* \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 &\longrightarrow A_5^{\ddot{}} \longrightarrow A_5^{\ddot{}} \longrightarrow SL_2(K) \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 &\longrightarrow K^6 \longrightarrow A_5^{\ddot{}} \longrightarrow SL_2(K) \times SL_2(K) \longrightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Choosing a suitable basis of E , we can write $\omega_{8,5} = e_1(e_3e_4 + e_5e_6) + e_2(e_3e_4 + e_7e_8)$. The invariant domain $\overline{R}_5(\omega_{8,5})$ is equal to

$$\{ \langle e_1 \rangle \cup \langle e_2 \rangle \cup \langle e_1 + e_2 \rangle \} - \{0\} = \{V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3\} - \{0\}.$$

If $f \in A_5, f(\overline{R}_5(\omega_{8,5})) \subset \overline{R}_5(\omega_{8,5})$ so that $f(V_i) \subset V_{\sigma(i)}$ with $\sigma \in S_3$, this allows us to define a groups homomorphism

$$\varphi : A_5 \longrightarrow S_3, \quad \varphi(f) = \sigma \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & V_2 & V_3 \\ V_{\sigma(1)} & V_{\sigma(2)} & V_{\sigma(3)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It readily follows that φ is surjective, so that the first sequence with $A_5^{\dot{}} = \ker \varphi$ is exact. Let $f \in A_5^{\dot{}}$ then $f(V_1) \subset V_1$ and $f(V_2) \subset V_2, f(V_3) \subset V_3$, consequently $f(e_1) = \alpha e_1, f(e_2) = \beta e_2, f(e_1 + e_2) = \delta(e_1 + e_2)$, hence $\alpha = \beta$. This allows us to define a groups homomorphism $\psi : A_5^{\dot{}} \longrightarrow K^*$ by $\psi(f) = \alpha$. We readily find that ψ is surjective, so the second sequence with $A_5^{\ddot{}} = \ker \psi$ is exact. Let $f \in A_5^{\ddot{}}$, then $\alpha = 1$ and from the equality $\Lambda^3 f(\omega_{8,5}) = \omega_{8,5}$, the matrix of f must have the form

$$M(f) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & A & D \\ 0 & 1 & B & \\ O_{6 \times 2} & O_{2 \times 4} & C & \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} C \in GL_2(K) \\ B \in GL_4(K) \end{matrix}$$

Since $\det M(f) = \det B \times \det C \neq 0, \det C \neq 0$. Moreover $\Lambda^3 f(\omega) = \omega$ implies $\det C = 1$ so $C \in SL_2(K)$, hence we can define a groups homomorphism $\pi : A_5^{\ddot{}} \longrightarrow SL_2(K)$ by $\pi(f) = C$, π is surjective then the third sequence is exact

$$1 \longrightarrow A_5^{\ddot{}} \longrightarrow A_5^{\ddot{}} \longrightarrow SL_2(K) \longrightarrow 1$$

with $A_5^{\ddot{}} = \ker \pi$. Let $f \in \ker \pi$, we get $C = id_2$. But $\Lambda^3 f(\omega) = \omega$ shows that the matrix B has the form : $\begin{pmatrix} X & O_{2 \times 2} \\ O_{2 \times 2} & Y \end{pmatrix}$ with $\det X = \det Y = 1$, then

$X, Y \in SL_2(K)$. This allows us to define a groups homomorphism $h : A_5^{\ddot{}} \longrightarrow SL_2(K) \times SL_2(K)$ by $h(f) = (X, Y)$, it readily follows that h is surjective and its kernel $\ker h$ is isomorphic to K^6 . □

Proposition 6. *The automorphisms group $A_6 = \text{Aut}(\omega_{8,6})$ verify the following exact sequences :*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\longrightarrow A_6 \longrightarrow K^* \times K^* \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 &\longrightarrow U(13) \longrightarrow A_6 \longrightarrow SL_2(K) \longrightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Choosing a suitable basis of E , we can write $\omega_{8,6} = e_1(e_8e_3 + e_6e_5 + e_4e_7) + e_2(e_6e_3 + e_5e_4)$. A direct computation leads to the relations

$$\overline{R}_5(\omega_{8,6}) = \langle e_1 \rangle - \{0\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R}_{7,1}(\omega_{8,6}) &= \{x \in E / \overline{\omega_{8,6}}\langle x \rangle\} \text{ is of type } \omega_{7,1}[8, 13]\} \\ &= \{x \in E / x = \alpha_1e_1 + \alpha_2e_2 \text{ and } \alpha_2 \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f \in A_6$, from previous invariant domains the matrix of f must have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta & \alpha_1 & & \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & & A \\ O_{6 \times 2} & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

thus we can define a groups homomorphism $\varphi : A_6 \longrightarrow K^* \times K^*$ by $\varphi(f) = (\beta, \alpha_2)$. Put $A_6' = \ker \varphi$, it readily follows that φ is surjective and the first sequence is exact.

$$1 \longrightarrow A_6' \longrightarrow A_6 \longrightarrow K^* \times K^* \longrightarrow 1$$

Let $f \in A_6'$, $f(e_1) = e_2 + \alpha_1e_1$ and $\Lambda^3 f(\omega_{8,6}) = \omega_{8,6}$ implies $\det B = 1$ where B is a 2×2 submatrix of the matrix A such that $\det M(f) = \det B \times \det C$; $C \in GL_2(K)$. Thus we can construct a homomorphism of groups $\psi : A_6' \longrightarrow SL_2(K)$ by $\psi(f) = B$, ψ is surjective and its kernel is isomorphic to $U(13)$. Hence we obtain the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow U(13) \longrightarrow A_6' \longrightarrow SL_2(K) \longrightarrow 1.$$

This ends the proof of the proposition. □

3. K-FORMS OF 2-STEP SPLITTING TRIVECTORS OF RANK 8.

We are now ready to formulate the main results. The following theorem gives us a description of K -forms of 2-step splitting trivectors of rank 8.

Theorem 3. *Let E be a vector space of dimension 8 over a field K of characteristic other than 2 and 3. Then any K -form of 2-step splitting trivector of rank 8 in $\Lambda^3 E$ is equivalent to one of the trivectors of Table 2.*

Table 2

$\omega_{8,i}$	Trivector	$d_1(\omega_{8,i})$
$\omega_{8,1}$	$e_1(e_2e_3 + e_4e_5) + e_6e_7e_8$	3
$\omega_{8,2}$	$e_1(e_2e_3 + e_4e_5 + e_6e_7) + e_5e_6e_8$	3
$\omega_{8,3}$	$e_1(e_3e_4 + e_5e_6) + e_2(e_3e_5 + e_7e_8)$	5
$\omega_{8,4}$	$e_1(e_2e_3 + e_4e_5) + e_6(e_2e_7 + e_4e_8)$	5
$\omega_{8,4,d}$	$e_5(e_1e_2 + e_3e_4) + e_6(e_1e_3 + de_2e_4) + e_7(e_1e_4) + e_8(e_2e_3)$	6
$\omega_{8,5}$	$e_1(e_2e_3 + e_4e_5) + e_6(e_2e_3 + e_7e_8)$	5
$\omega_{8,5,d}$	$e_2(e_5e_3 - de_4e_6) + e_1(e_5e_4 + e_7e_8 + e_6e_3)$	5
$\omega_{8,5,a}$	$e_1(ae_3e_4 + ae_5e_6 + e_7e_8) + e_2(e_3e_5 + e_4e_7 + e_6e_8)$	7
$\omega_{8,5,b,c}$	$e_7(e_1e_2 + e_3e_4 + e_5e_6) + e_8[e_1(e_4 + be_5) + e_2e_6 + ce_3e_5]$	7
$\omega_{8,6}$	$e_1(e_2e_3 + e_4e_5 + e_6e_7) + e_8(e_4e_3 + e_5e_6)$	5

Here, $d \in K_* - K_*^2$, $a \in K_* - K_*^3$, and $bc \neq 0$.

Denote by C_i the set of K -isomorphism classes of the K -forms of $\omega_{8,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$. In order to prove Theorem 3, the following lemmas are necessary.

Lemma 1. *The trivector $\omega_{8,4}$ has two K -forms*

- $\omega_{8,4}$
- $\omega_{8,4,d} = e_5(e_1e_2 + e_3e_4) + e_6(e_1e_3 + de_2e_4) + e_7(e_1e_4) + e_8(e_2e_3)$ where $d \in K_* - K_*^2$.

Proof. According to [14] $H^1(G, X) = 0$ whenever X is one of the groups \overline{K}^m , \overline{K}_*^m , $SL_m(\overline{K})$, by proposition 4 and using the exact sequences of Galois cohomology sets, we get $|C_4| = |H^1(G, \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}})|$. Since $H^1(G, \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}})$ classifies cyclic K -algebras of degree n , then $|C_4| = |H^1(G, \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}})| = |\frac{K_*}{K_*^2}|$. We deduce that if $L = K(\sqrt{d})$ is quadratic extension of K , $d \notin K_*^2$, there exists a trivector $\omega_L \in \wedge^3 E$ such that $\omega_L \not\cong \omega_{8,4}$ and $\omega_L \otimes L \in \wedge^3(E \otimes_K L)$ is L -isomorphic to $\omega_{8,4}$.

We construct ω_L as follows. $\omega_{8,4} = e_1(e_2e_5 + e_3e_6) + e_4(e_7e_2 + e_8e_3)$ is 4-step splitting because

$$\omega_{8,4} = e_5u_1 + e_6u_2 + e_7u_3 + e_8u_4$$

where $u_1 = e_1e_2$, $u_2 = e_1e_3$, $u_3 = e_2e_4$ and $u_4 = e_3e_4$, thus $V = \text{vect}\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ is a subspace of dimension 4 of $\wedge^2 K^4$. As in [12] we define the quadratic form γ_2 on V and we put $\omega_L = \omega_{8,4,d} = e_5v_1 + e_6v_2 + e_7v_3 + e_8v_4$ with

$$v_1 = e_1e_2 + e_3e_4, v_2 = e_1e_3 + de_2e_4, v_3 = e_1e_4, v_4 = e_2e_3, \text{ where } d \notin K_*^2.$$

To each of the forms $\omega_{8,4}$, $\omega_{8,4,d}$ we associate a quadratic form $\gamma_2(xu_1 + yu_2 + zu_3 + tu_4)$, then we get

$$\gamma_2(xu_1 + yu_2 + zu_3 + tu_4) = -yz, \gamma_2(xv_1 + yv_2 + zv_3 + tv_4) = x^2 - dy^2$$

respectively. The two forms are not equivalent over K but they may become equivalent over the algebraic closure \overline{K} .

We can also prove that $\omega_{8,4}$ is not equivalent to $\omega_{8,4,d}$ by using the arithmetical invariant $d_1(\omega)$. □

For the K -forms of $\omega_{8,5}$ we have the following

Lemma 2. $\omega_{8,5}$ has four K -forms.

- $\omega_{8,5}$;
- $\omega_{8,5,d} = e_2(e_5e_3 - de_4e_6) + e_1(e_7e_8 + e_5e_4 + e_6e_3)$, $d \in K - K_*^2$
- $\omega_{8,5,a} = e_1(ae_3e_4 + ae_5e_6 + e_7e_8) + e_2(e_3e_5 + e_4e_7 + e_6e_8)$, $a \notin (F_{q^*})^3$.
- $\omega_{8,5,b,c} = e_7(e_1e_2 + e_3e_4 + e_5e_6) + e_8(e_1[e_4 + be_5] + e_2e_6 + ce_3e_5)$ where $bc \neq 0$.

Proof. For the K -forms of $\omega_{8,5}$, using the exact sequences of proposition 5 we deduce that $|C_5| = |H^1(G, S_3)|$, on the other hand we know that there exists a bijective correspondence from $H^1(G, S_3)$ to the isomorphism classes of cubic etale algebras. By according 2-1 we get four types of cubic algebras so $\omega_{8,5}$ has four K -forms.

Let L be a cubic extension of K and F a L -space of dimension 3. Choose a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of F and let the determinant form $\varphi : \wedge^3 F \rightarrow L$ such that $\varphi(e_1, e_2, e_3) = 1$. and $Tr : L \rightarrow K$ the trace forme hence $\omega_L = Tr_L \circ \varphi : F \times F \times F \rightarrow K$: is an alternating trilinear form of rank 9 on $E = F$ viewed as vector space over K .

If $\text{cara}(L) \neq 3$ we take $L = K(t)$ with $t^3 = a$ in this case $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 = te_1, e_5 = te_2, e_6 = te_3, e_7 = t^2e_1, e_8 = t^2e_2, e_9 = t^2e_3\}$ is a basis of E . Let (e_i^*) , $1 \leq i \leq 9$; its dual basis; Hence it readily follows that:
 $\omega_L(e_1, e_2, e_3) = 3$, $\omega_L(e_4, e_5, e_6) = 3a$, $\omega_L(e_7, e_8, e_9) = 3a^2$, $\omega_L(e_1, e_5, e_9) = 3a$,
 $\omega_L(e_1, e_6, e_8) = -3a$, $\omega_L(e_2, e_4, e_9) = -3a$, $\omega_L(e_2, e_6, e_7) = 3a$, $\omega_L(e_9, e_4, e_8) = 3a$,
 $\omega_L(e_3, e_5, e_7) = -3a$ and $\omega_L(e_i, e_j, e_k) = 0$ otherwise. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3}\omega_L &= e_1^*e_2^*e_3^* + ae_4^*e_5^*e_6^* + a^2e_7^*e_8^*e_9^* + ae_1^*e_5^*e_9^* - ae_1^*e_6^*e_8^* \\ &\quad + ae_2^*e_4^*e_9^* - ae_2^*e_6^*e_7^* + ae_3^*e_4^*e_8^* - ae_3^*e_5^*e_7^* \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{3}\overline{\omega}_{(e_9^*)} = e_3^*(e_1^*e_2^* + ae_4^*e_8^* + ae_7^*e_5^*) + ae_6^*(e_4^*e_5^* + e_1^*e_8^* + e_2^*e_7^*),$$

then the trivector $e_3(e_1e_2 + ae_4e_8 + ae_7e_5) + ae_6(e_4e_5 + e_1e_8 + e_2e_7)$ is equivalent to trivector $\omega_{8,5,a}$ (it suffices to consider linear map f defined by $f(e_1) = e_3$, $f(e_2) = ae_6$, $f(e_3) = e_4$, $f(e_4) = e_8$, $f(e_5) = e_5$, $f(e_6) = -e_7$, $f(e_7) = -e_1$, $f(e_8) = -e_2$).

If we take $L = K^1 \times K$ with $K^1 = K(\alpha)$ and $\alpha^2 = d$ ($d \notin K^{*2}$), in this case, $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 = \alpha e_1, e_5 = \alpha e_2, e_6 = \alpha e_3, e_7, e_8, e_9\}$ is a basis of E . Let (e_i^*) , $1 \leq i \leq 9$; its dual basis then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_L(e_1, e_2, e_3) &= 3, \quad \omega_L(e_7, e_8, e_9) = 3, \quad \omega_L(e_1, e_5, e_6) = 3d, \\ \omega_L(e_2, e_4, e_6) &= -3d, \quad \omega_L(e_3, e_4, e_5) = 3d, \quad \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\omega_L(e_i, e_j, e_k) = 0 \text{ otherwise .}$$

Then $\frac{1}{3}\omega_L = e_1^*e_2^*e_3^* + de_1^*e_5^*e_6^* + de_2^*e_4^*e_6^* + de_3^*e_4^*e_5^* + e_7^*e_8^*e_9^*$ and it follows that

$$\omega = e_1e_2e_3 + de_1e_5e_6 + de_2e_4e_6 + de_3e_4e_5 + e_7e_8e_9 = \omega_{6,1,d} + e_7e_8e_9$$

because $\omega_{6,1,d} = e_1(e_3e_4 + e_5e_6) + e_2(e_3e_6 - de_4e_5)$ ([7]). We put $x = e_9 - e_1$, then $e_9 = x + e_1$ and $\bar{\omega}_{\langle x \rangle} = \bar{e}_1(\bar{e}_7\bar{e}_8 + \bar{e}_3\bar{e}_4 + \bar{e}_5\bar{e}_6) + \bar{e}_2(\bar{e}_3\bar{e}_6 - d\bar{e}_4\bar{e}_5)$ is equivalent to $\omega_{8,5,d}$.

If $L = K \times K \times K = K^3$ then $F = L^3 = K^9$, by the same method we obtain $\omega_{8,5}$.

In order to find the last trivector $\omega_{8,5,b,c}$, take $L = K(\alpha)$ a general separable field extension of degree 3 over K where $\alpha^3 + b\alpha + c = 0$ with $b, c \in K/bc \neq 0$. Since the trivectors $\omega_{8,5}$, $\omega_{8,5,d}$, $\omega_{8,5,a}$ and $\omega_{8,5,b,c}$ are 2-step splitting, using the invariant $\gamma_3(xu_1 + yu_2)$ which is a cubic forme; $u_1, u_2 \in \wedge^2 K^6$ we get :

$$\gamma_3(xu_1 + yu_2) = xy(x + y), x(x^2 - dy^2), a^2x^3 + y^3 \text{ and } x^3 + bxy^2 + cy^3$$

for $\omega_{8,5}$, $\omega_{8,5,d}$, $\omega_{8,5,a}$ and $\omega_{8,5,b,c}$ respectively. Clearly, the three last forms may be equivalent to the first form if the field is algebraically closed. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.

Proof. As $H^1(G, X) = 0$ whenever X is one of the groups \overline{K}_*^m , $SP_{2m}(\overline{K})$, $GL_m(\overline{K})$, $SL_m(\overline{K})$ [14], by propositions 1, 2, 3 and 6, and using the exact sequences of Galois cohomology sets, we get

$$H^1(G, A_i) = 0 \text{ if } i \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}.$$

Then $|C_i| = 1$, consequently $\omega_{8,i}$ is the only K -form of $\omega_{8,i}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$. For $\omega_{8,4}$ by Lemma 1 we obtain two K -forms, $\omega_{8,4}$ and

$$\omega_{8,4,d} = e_5(e_1e_2 + e_3e_4) + e_6(e_1e_3 + de_2e_4) + e_7(e_1e_4) + e_8(e_2e_3)$$

where $d \notin K_*^2$. For the K -forms of $\omega_{8,5}$, Lemma 2 gives us four K -forms $\omega_{8,5}$, $\omega_{8,5,d}$, $\omega_{8,5,a}$ and $\omega_{8,5,b,c}$ where $bc \neq 0$. Notice that if $b = 0$, $\omega_{8,5,b,c}$ must be equivalent to $\omega_{8,5,a}$ and for $c = 0$, $\omega_{8,5,b,c}$ is equivalent to $\omega_{8,5,d}$. This establishes Theorem 3. \square

Corollary 1. *There are trivectors of rank 8 over K that are not 2-step splitting but they may become 2-step splitting by extension of scalars.*

Proof. If the field K is not quadratically closed, it is enough to consider trivectors $\omega_{8,4,d}$ which are not 2-step splitting and becomes equivalent to $\omega_{8,4}$ over the algebraic closure \overline{K} . Thus $(\omega_{8,4,d})_{\overline{K}}$ is 2-step splitting. \square

In order to deduce other results, the following definitions are necessary.

An ordered field K is said to be *real closed field* if any algebraic extension of K which is ordered must be equal to K . For example \mathbb{R} the field of real numbers is a real closed field.

A field which is complete with respect to a discrete valuation is called a *local field* if its field of residue classes is finite. For example \mathbb{Q}_p the field of p -adic Numbers for some prime p is a local field.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3, we deduce nine F_q -forms of 2 step-splitting of rank eight over a finite field F_q , indeed, we have $|H^1(G, S_3)| = 3$ because the last etale algebra L_4 does not exist [1] and $|H^1(G, \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}})| = 2$. But over the real closed field we get eight K -forms of rank 8 of 2-step splitting trivectors, indeed, $|H^1(G, S_3)| = 2$ because every polynomial of odd degree has a root in K so we obtain only two etale algebras L_1 and L_2 . $|H^1(G, \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}})| = 2$, hence we deduce the known result [3] about real forms of rank 8 of 2-step splitting trivectors.

If K is local field such that its field of residue classes has a characteristic other than 2 and 3, then $|C_4| = |\frac{K_*}{K_*^2}| = |\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}}| = 4$ [8]. Hence we deduce the following

Corollary 2. *a) There are nine F_q -forms of 2 step-splitting trivectors of rank 8 over a finite field F_q : $\omega_{8,1}, \omega_{8,2}, \omega_{8,3}, \omega_{8,4}, \omega_{8,4,d}, \omega_{8,5}, \omega_{8,5,d}, \omega_{8,5,a}, \omega_{8,6}$ where $d \notin (F_{q*})^2, a \notin (F_{q*})^3$.*

b) If K is real closed field (in particular if $K = \mathbb{R}$), then the K -forms of 2-step splitting trivectors of rank 8 are $\omega_{8,1}, \omega_{8,2}, \omega_{8,3}, \omega_{8,4}, \omega_{8,4,-1}, \omega_{8,5}, \omega_{8,5,-1}, \omega_{8,6}$.

c) If K is local field such that its field of residue classes has a characteristic different from 2 and 3. Then there are 12 K -forms of 2 step-splitting trivectors of rank 8. $\omega_{8,1}, \omega_{8,2}, \omega_{8,3}, \omega_{8,4}, \omega_{8,4,\alpha^i\beta^j}, \omega_{8,5}, \omega_{8,5,d}, \omega_{8,5,a}, \omega_{8,5,b,c}, \omega_{8,6}$ where $d \notin K_^2, a \notin K_*^3, bc \neq 0$, and $\alpha\beta^{-1} \notin K_*^2, i, j \in \{0, 1\}$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bourbaki, "Algèbre, chapitres 4 à 7", Masson, paris 1981.
- [2] A. Cohen & A. Helminck., "Trilinear alternating forms on a vector space of dimension 7", *Comm. in Algebra. 16*, (1), 1988, p. 1-25.
- [3] D. Djokovic's, "Classification of trivectors of an eight dimensional real vector space", *Lin. and multilin. Algebra. 13*(3), 1983, p.3-39.
- [4] G.B. Gurevitch, "Theory of Algebraic invariants", P.Noordhof LTD, Groningen, the netherland, 1964.
- [5] M.A. Knus, A. Merkurjev, M. Rost, J.P. Tignol, "The Book of involutions", American Mathematical society colloquim publications, V.44 Providence, RI, 1998.
- [6] L. NOUI & Ph. Revoy, "Algèbres de Lie orthogonales et formes trilineaires alternées", *communications in Algebra, 25*(2), 617-622 (1997).
- [7] L. NOUI & Ph. Revoy., "Formes multilinéaires alternées", *Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal*, Vol1, n^o2, p.43-69 (1994).
- [8] L. NOUI, Classification des trivecteurs par l'action du groupe linéaire, *Thèse de Doctorat*, Université de MontpellierII, France, 1995.
- [9] L. NOUI., "Transvecteur de rang 8 sur un corps algébriquement clos", *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 324, Serie i*, Algèbre, p. 611-614 (1997).
- [10] Rains E. M., Sloane J. A. "Self -dual Codes", *Handbook of Coding Theory, Pless V. S. & Huffman W. C(editors), Elsevier*, Amsterdam, 1998, pp 177-294.
- [11] Ph. Revoy, "Formes alternées et puissances divisées, *sem.p. Dubreil* 26 eme annee, 1972-73,n=8, 10p.

- [12] Ph. Revoy, "Trivecteurs de rang 6, *in coll. Sur les formes quadratiques, Memoire SMF59.*, 1979, p141-155.
- [13] Ph. Revoy, Formes trilinéaires alternées de rang 7, *Bull. Sc. Math.* 112, 1988, p.357–368.
- [14] J.P.Serre "Corps locaux ", Hermann, *paris* 1968.

Received: August 29, 2007