

# Transcendental Continued Fractions over $\mathbb{K}_p(X)$

H. Benamar, A. Chandoul, M. Jellali and M. Mkaouar

Faculté des Sciences de Sfax, BP 802, Sfax 3018, Tunisie

helabenamare@yahoo.fr

amarachandoul@yahoo.fr

maneljellali@yahoo.fr

mohamed.mkaouar@fss.rnu.tn

## Abstract

The purpose behind this work is to construct from a family of algebraic formal power series of degree more than 2, a family of transcendental fractions over  $\mathbb{K}_p(X)$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{K}_p$  be a field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$  and  $\mathbb{K}_p((X^{-1}))$  be the field of formal power series,

$$\mathbb{K}_p((X^{-1})) = \left\{ f = \sum_{n \geq n_0} f_n X^{-n} : f_n \in \mathbb{K}_p, n_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

A formal power series  $f = \sum_{n \geq n_0} f_n X^{-n}$  has a unique decomposition as  $f =$

$[f] + \{f\}$  where  $[f]$  is the polynomial part of  $f$  and  $\{f\}$  is the fractional part of  $f$  such that  $[f]$  is a polynomial defined by  $[f] = f_0 + f_{-1}X + \dots + f_{n_0}X^{-n_0}$  if  $n_0 \leq 0$ , else  $[f] = 0$ . Let  $\gamma(f) = n_0$  if  $[f] \neq 0$ , otherwise  $\gamma(0) = +\infty$ . We say that  $\deg f = -\gamma(f)$ . We define an absolute value on  $\mathbb{K}_p((X^{-1}))$  by  $|f| = \exp(-\gamma(f))$  for any  $f$  in  $\mathbb{K}_p((X^{-1}))$ . Let

$$M_p = \{f \in \mathbb{K}_p((X^{-1})) : |f| < 1\},$$

then for any  $f$  in  $M_p$ , we have

$$f = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots}}} = [0 ; a_1, a_2, \dots],$$

where  $a_i$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbb{K}_p[X]$  for any  $i \geq 1$  of degree more than 1 and for any  $f$  in  $\mathbb{K}_p((X^{-1}))$ , we have

$$f = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots}}}} = [a_0 ; a_1, a_2, \dots].$$

Let  $f$  in  $\mathbb{K}_p((X^{-1}))$  such that  $[a_0 ; a_1, a_2, \dots]$  is the continued fraction expansion of  $f$ , we define a sequence of best approximation  $(\frac{p_n}{q_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $f$  by requiring that  $q_{-1} = p_{-2} = 0, q_{-2} = p_{-1} = 1$  and for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}, q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}, p_n = a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}$ . We can write  $\frac{p_n}{q_n} = [a_0 ; a_1, \dots, a_n]$  and we refer to  $(\frac{p_n}{q_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as the convergent of  $f$  and to  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as the partial quotients.

Let  $f$  be an algebraic formal power series of minimal polynomial  $P = \alpha_m Y^m + \dots + \alpha_0$  where  $\alpha_i$  are pairwise coprimes over  $\mathbb{K}_p[X]$ . Set  $H(f) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq m} |\alpha_i|$  and  $\sigma(f) = \alpha_m$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{K}_p[X][Y]$  such that

$$\Lambda(Y) = A_m Y^m + \dots + A_0,$$

and  $A_i \in \mathbb{K}_p[X]$  for any  $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ .  $\Lambda$  is reduced if  $\deg A_{m-1} > \deg A_i$  for any  $i \neq m - 1$ . An algebraic formal power series is reduced if its minimal polynomial is reduced and  $[f] \neq 0$ .

In 1844, Liouville [4] was the first to prove that transcendental numbers existed. Moreover, he constructed explicit examples of such numbers. Liouville's theorem states that if  $x$  is algebraic of degree  $n$  then there exists a positive number  $c$  such that, for arbitrary integers  $p$  and  $q$  ( $q > 0$ ),

$$\left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| > \frac{c}{|q|^n}.$$

In 1962, Baker [1] proved the following : {if  $x = [B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots]$  where  $B_n$  is a block of  $k_n$  consecutive partial quotients and if there are infinitely many  $n$  for which

$$B_n = B_{n+1} = \dots = B_{n+\lambda(n)-1},$$

where  $\lambda(n)$  is a sequence of integers verifying certain increasing properties, then  $x$  is transcendental}. The proof of this result has been based on Liouville's and Roth's theorems.

After this, in 1967, Schmidt [9] stipulated the following : {if a positive irrational number is approximate by quadratic numbers, it is either quadratic or transcendental}. In fact, it was used in most theorem's proofs.

In [3], the transcendence of continued fractions which have repetition properties has been deduced.

It was proved in [7] that the real number whose continued fraction expansion in the  $\{1 - 2\}$  valued Thue-Morse sequence is a transcendental number. Moreover, in [5] it improved Baker's results using Schmidt's theorem.

We alluded that Liouville's theorem had an analogous in the field of formal power series. But Roth's and Schmidt's theorems does not have an equivalent in the case of formal power series.

In [2], Baum and Sweet were the first to give an example of algebraic formal power series of degree 3 that had a bounded continued fraction expansion. This, remained an open problem in the real case. This work was pursued in [8] by Mills and Robbins who gave an example of formal power series over  $\mathbb{K}_p(X)$  where its continued fractions expansion was explicitly given.

In this note, we improve the theorem published in [6], which was concerned by constructing a family of transcendental continued fractions over  $\mathbb{K}_p(X)$  from an algebraic formal power series of degree more than 2.

## 2 Results

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\{g_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$  be a family of algebraic formal power series for any integer  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $g_j = [a_1^{(j)}, a_2^{(j)}, \dots, a_n^{(j)}, \dots]$  and  $f = [B_1, B_2, \dots]$  where*

$$B_i = [a_1^{(1)}, \dots, a_{n_{i,1}}^{(1)}, a_1^{(2)}, \dots, a_{n_{i,2}}^{(2)}, \dots, a_1^{(k)}, \dots, a_{n_{i,k}}^{(k)}, \dots].$$

*We denote by  $\delta_{i,j}$  the sum of degrees of the first  $n_{i,j}$ -terms of  $g_j$ ,  $d_i$  the sum of degrees of  $B_i$ 's terms and  $M_i = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq k} \delta_{i,j}$ .*

*If  $\liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} d_l}{M_i} = 0$ , then  $f$  is transcendental or quadratic.*

**Theorem 2** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two algebraic formal power series of degrees  $d$  and  $m$  respectively which having the same first  $s$ -terms of their sequences of partial quotients, let  $\delta_s$  be the sum of degrees of these terms. If  $|f| > 1$  and  $g$  is reduced then*

$$2\delta_s \leq m \log H(f) + d \log |g| + md \log |\sigma(g)|.$$

We need the following lemmas to prove these theorems.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $f$  be an algebraic formal power series of degree  $d$  and  $P$  its minimal polynomial. We denote by  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{d-1}$  the conjugates of  $f$  in the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{K}_p((X^{-1}))$ . Then  $f$  is reduced if and only if  $|f| > 1$  and  $|f_i| < 1$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d-1\}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f$  is a reduced formal power series, and  $P(Y) = A_d Y^d + \dots + A_0$  such that  $A_i \in \mathbb{K}_p[X]$  for any  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ . Let  $Y = \lambda Z$  such that  $\lambda = -\frac{A_{d-1}}{A_d}$ . We have

$$\frac{-1}{A_{d-1}\lambda^{d-1}}P(\lambda Z) = Z^{d-1}(Z - 1) + L(Z),$$

where  $L(Z) = -\sum_{j=0}^{d-2} \frac{A_j}{\lambda^{d-j-1}A_{d-1}}Z^j$ . We remark that the absolute value of the coefficient of  $L(Z)$  is strictly less than 1. By Hensel's lemma [10], we state that  $P(Y)$  has a unique root  $f$  in  $\mathbb{K}_p((X^{-1}))$  such that  $f = \lambda Z$  where  $|Z - 1| < 1$ . Moreover, for this  $Z$  we have  $|Z| = 1$ , and since the coefficient's absolute value of  $L(Z)$  is strictly less than  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ , then  $|Z - 1| = |L(Z)| < \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . Thus the unique root  $f$  of  $P$  verify  $|f - \lambda| < 1$  then  $|f| = |\lambda|$ . The other roots  $Z_i$  of the polynomial  $P(\lambda Z)$  in the algebraic closure over  $\mathbb{K}_p((X^{-1}))$  satisfy  $|Z_i| < 1$ , then the conjugate  $f_i = \lambda Z_i$  of  $f$  satisfy  $|f_i| < |\lambda|$ , it implies that  $|f_i| < 1$ . In fact, if  $1 \leq |f_i| < |\lambda|$ , we obtain for any  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ ,  $|A_k||f_i|^k < A_{d-1}||f|^{d-1}$  and we have  $|A_d||f_i|^d < A_{d-1}||f|^{d-1}$ , then  $|P(f_i)| = |A_{d-1}||f_i|^{d-1}$ . Thus it leads to a contradiction with  $P(f_i) = 0$ . Conversely, if  $P(Y)$  has a simple root  $f$  such that  $|f| > 1$  and the others  $f_i$  of  $P$  are simple and verify  $|f_i| < 1$ . Then, in this case, we have  $f$  reduced since  $P$  is unitary, then the coefficients of  $f$  are symmetrical polynomials in  $f, f_i$ .  $\diamond$

**Lemma 2** *Let  $Q \in \mathbb{K}_p[X][Y]$  and  $F(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) = Q(Y_1) \dots Q(Y_n)$ . Then, there exists a polynomial  $T$  with coefficients over  $\mathbb{K}_p[X]$  such that  $F(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) = T(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ , where*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma_1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} Y_i \\ \sigma_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} Y_i Y_j \\ \sigma_3 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} Y_i Y_j Y_k \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_n = Y_1 Y_2 \dots Y_n. \end{array} \right.$$

Moreover, the degree of  $T$  is less or equal to the degree of  $Q$  (as a polynomial in  $Y$ ).

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha_1 = \deg(Q)$ . From the terms containing  $Y_1^{\alpha_1}$ , we denote by  $\alpha_2$  the highest exponent of  $Y_2$ , from the terms containing  $Y_1^{\alpha_1}Y_2^{\alpha_2}$  we denote by  $\alpha_3$  the highest exponent of  $Y_3 \dots$ . Then we define a dominant term such as  $AY_1^{\alpha_1}Y_2^{\alpha_2} \dots Y_n^{\alpha_n}$ . As  $F$  is symmetrical, we have  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$  (in fact,  $F$  contains all terms  $AY_{\pi(1)}^{\alpha_1}Y_{\pi(2)}^{\alpha_2} \dots Y_{\pi(n)}^{\alpha_n}$  where  $\pi$  is a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ). Then, we remark that the dominant term of  $\sigma_1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \dots \sigma_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n} \sigma_n^{\alpha_n}$  is  $Y_1^{\alpha_1}Y_2^{\alpha_2} \dots Y_n^{\alpha_n}$ . Calculating  $F(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) - A\sigma_1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \dots \sigma_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n} \sigma_n^{\alpha_n}$ , the lemma can be concluded by induction.  $\diamond$

**Lemma 3** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two algebraic formal power series of degrees  $d$  and  $m$  respectively. If  $g$  is reduced, then*

$$|f - g| \geq \frac{1}{H(f)^m |g|^{d-2} |\sigma(g)|^{\max(m-1, m(d-m+2)+1)}}.$$

**Proof.** As  $g$  is algebraic of degree  $m$  then

$$\sigma(g)^{k+1}g_i^{m+k} = A_{m-1,k}g_i^{m-1} + \dots + A_{0,k},$$

for any integer  $k$  and  $i$  such that  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $A_{i,k} \in \mathbb{K}_p[X]$ , where  $g_1 = g, g_2, \dots, g_m$  are the conjugates of  $g$ . We state for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  that

$$\sigma(g)^{\max(0, d-m+1)}P(g_i) = B_{m-1}g_i^{m-1} + \dots + B_0,$$

such that  $B_j \in \mathbb{K}_p[X]$  for any  $j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$  and  $P$  the minimal polynomial of  $f$ . Consequently,

$$\sigma(g)^{\max(0, m(d-m+1))} \prod_{i=1}^m P(g_i) = \prod_{i=1}^m (B_{m-1}g_i^{m-1} + \dots + B_0).$$

Then, lemma 2 implies that  $\prod_{i=1}^m (B_{m-1}g_i^{m-1} + \dots + B_0) = T(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$ , where

for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $Q_i \in \mathbb{K}_p[X]$  and  $\sigma_i = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_i \leq m} g_{k_1}g_{k_i} = \frac{Q_i}{\sigma(g)}$ . Thus,

$$\sigma(g)^{\max(0, m(d-m+1))} \prod_{i=1}^m P(g_i)\sigma(g)^{m-1} \in \mathbb{K}_p[X],$$

and

$$\left| \prod_{i=1}^m P(g_i) \right| \geq \frac{1}{|\sigma(g)|^{\max(m-1, m(d-m+2)-1)}}.$$

As  $g$  is reduced, then using lemma 1, we get  $|g_i| < 1$  and  $|P(g_i)| < H(f)$  for any  $i \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ . Whence

$$|P(g)| \geq \frac{1}{|\sigma(g)|^{\max(m-1, m(d-m+2)-1)} H(f)^{m-1}}.$$

If  $P(Y) = A_d Y^d + \dots + A_0$  such that  $A_i \in \mathbb{K}_p[X]$  for any  $i \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ , then

$$|A_d f^d| = |A_{d-1} f^{d-1} + \dots + A_0| \quad \text{and} \quad |A_d f^{d-1}| \leq H(f) |f|^{d-2}.$$

On the other hand, we can suppose that  $|f - g| < |g|$ , it implies that  $|f| = |g|$ . Consequently,

$$|P(g)| = |P(f) - P(g)| \leq H(f) |g|^{d-2} |f - g|,$$

and

$$|f - g| \geq \frac{P(g)}{H(f) |g|^{d-2}}.$$

Finally,

$$|f - g| \geq \frac{1}{H(f)^m |g|^{d-2} |\sigma(g)|^{\max(m-1, m(d-m+2)-1)}},$$

and lemma 1 is proved. ◇

**Lemma 4** *Let  $f$  be an algebraic formal power series such that  $f = [a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots]$ , then there exists a formal power series  $g$  which is reduced such that  $f = [a_1, \dots, a_n, g]$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $g = -\frac{Q_{n-1}f - P_{n-1}}{Q_n f - P_n}$ , where  $(\frac{P_n}{Q_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the sequence of convergent in the continued fraction expansion of  $f$ . Since for any integer  $n$ ,  $|Q_n f - P_n| < |Q_{n-1}f - P_{n-1}|$ , then  $|g| > 1$ . It clearly appears that  $f = [a_1, \dots, a_n, g]$ , we can conclude that  $g$  is algebraic. On the other hand, the conjugate of  $g$  satisfy  $|g_i| < 1$  for  $n$  sufficiently large. Therefore from lemma 1  $g$  is reduced. ◇

**Lemma 5** *Let  $f$  be an algebraic formal power series of degree  $d$  such that  $f = [a_1, \dots, a_t, h]$  where  $a_1, \dots, a_t \in \mathbb{K}_p[X]$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{K}_p((X^{-1}))$ . If  $|f| \geq 1$  and  $|h| > 1$  then  $h$  is algebraic of degree  $d$  and  $H(h) \leq H(f) \left| \prod_{i=1}^t a_i \right|^{d-2}$ .*

**Proof.** Let us begin by the particular case where  $f = \alpha + \frac{1}{h}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}_p[X]$  and  $|h| > 1$ . We have  $|\alpha| \geq 1$ , then  $|f| = |\alpha|$ . If  $A_d f^d + \dots + A_0 = \sum_{j=0}^d A_j f^j = 0$ , then

$$h^d \sum_{j=0}^d A_j \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{h}\right)^j = 0,$$

we get  $\sum_{k=0}^d B_{d-k} h^{d-k} = 0$ , where  $B_{d-k} = \sum_{j=k}^d C_j^k A_j \alpha^{j-k}$ . It clearly appears that

$$|B_{d-1}| \leq \max(|A_d| |\alpha|^{d-1}, H(f) |f|^{d-2}),$$

and for  $k \geq 2$ , we have  $|B_{d-k}| \leq H(f) |f|^{d-2}$ . Since  $A_d f^d + \dots + A_0 = 0$ , we get

$$|A_d f^d| = |A_{d-1} f^{d-1} + \dots + A_0| \leq H(f) |f|^{d-1},$$

then  $|A_d| |\alpha|^{d-1} \leq H(f) |f|^{d-2}$ . Hence we get  $|B_{d-1}| \leq H(f) |f|^{d-2}$ . Finally,

$$B_d = A_d \alpha^d + \dots + A_0 = A_d (\alpha^d - f^d) + \dots + A_1 (\alpha - f),$$

and we have  $|B_d| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} (|A_j| |f|^{j-1}) \leq H(f) |f|^{d-2}$ . We conclude that  $H(h) \leq H(f) |f|^{d-2}$ . In the general case, if  $f = [a_1, \dots, a_t, h]$  and  $f_i = [a_i, \dots, a_t, h]$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$  then we deduce the result by iterating the particular case.  $\diamond$

**Proof of theorem 2.** Let  $f = [b_1, \dots, b_s, \dots]$  and  $\frac{P_k}{Q_k}$  its  $k$ -th convergent. As  $f$  and  $g$  have the same first  $s$ -terms of their continued fraction expansion, then

$$|f - g| \leq \frac{1}{|Q_{s-1}|^2}.$$

Thus, it follows from theorem 2 that

$$|Q_{s-1}|^2 \leq H(f)^m |g|^{d-2} |\sigma(g)|^{\max(m-1, m(d-m+2)+1)}.$$

Since  $\deg Q_{s-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} \deg b_j = \delta_s - \log |g|$  then, after taking logarithms, we obtain  $2\delta_s - 2 \deg g \leq m \log H(f) + (d-2) \deg g + md \log |\sigma(g)|$ .  $\diamond$

**Proof of theorem 1.** We may assume that  $f$  is algebraic not quadratic of degree  $d$ .

**Case 1.** For any  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $g_j$  is reduced.

Let  $B_{i,j} = a_1^{(j)}, \dots, a_{n_{i,j}}^{(j)}, \dots, a_1^{(k)}, \dots, a_{n_{i,k}}^{(k)}, C_i$  and  $f_{i,j} = [B_{i,j}, B_{i+1}, \dots]$ , so, there exists  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for any  $i \geq i_0$ ,  $f_{i,j} \neq g_i$ . ( If not, there exists two integers  $i$  and  $l$  ( $i \leq l$ ) such that  $f_{i,j} = f_{l,j} = g_j$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} g_j &= [B_{i,j}, B_{i+1}, \dots, B_{l-1}, a_1^{(1)}, \dots, a_{n_{l,1}}^{(1)}, \dots, a_1^{(j-1)}, \dots, a_{n_{l,j-1}}^{(j-1)}, f_{l,j} ], \\ &= \overline{[B_{i,j}, B_{i+1}, \dots, B_{l-1}, a_1^{(1)}, \dots, a_{n_{l,1}}^{(1)}, \dots, a_1^{(j-1)}, \dots, a_{n_{l,j-1}}^{(j-1)} ]}, \end{aligned}$$

it implies that  $g_j$  is quadratic and  $f$  too. Such a fact is absurd).

Since

$$f = [B_1, \dots, B_{i-1}, a_1^{(1)}, \dots, a_{n_{i,1}}^{(1)}, \dots, a_1^{(j-1)}, \dots, a_{n_{i,j-1}}^{(j-1)}, f_{i,j}],$$

it follows from lemma 5 that  $f_{i,j}$  is algebraic of degree  $d$  and

$$\log H(f_{i,j}) \leq \log H(f) + (d - 2) \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} d_l + (d - 2) \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \delta_{i,l}.$$

As  $g_j$  and  $f_{i,j}$  have the same first  $n_{i,j}$ -terms, it follows from theorem 2 that

$$2\delta_{i,j} \leq m_j \log H(f_{i,j}) + d \log |g_j| + m_j d \log |\sigma(g_j)|,$$

with  $\delta_{i,j}$  as the sum of degrees of the first  $n_{i,j}$  terms of  $g_j$  and  $m_j$  as the algebraicity's degree of  $g_j$ , it implies that

$$2\delta_{i,j} \leq \alpha \log H(f_{i,j}) + \beta,$$

where  $\alpha = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} m_j$  and  $\beta = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} (\log |g_j| + \alpha \log |\sigma(g_j)|)d$ , then

$$2\delta_{i,j} \leq \alpha \log H(f) + \alpha(d - 2) \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} d_l + \alpha(d - 2) \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \delta_{i,l} + \beta.$$

Since  $1 \leq j \leq k$ ,  $\delta_{i,l} < d_l$  and  $M_i = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq k} \delta_{i,j}$ , then

$$2M_i \leq \alpha \log H(f) + \alpha(d - 2) \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} d_l + \alpha(d - 2) \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} d_l + \beta,$$

and  $\liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} d_l}{M_i} \geq 2$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} d_l}{M_i} = 0$ .

**Case 2.** We assume that there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $g_j$  is not reduced.

By lemma 4, there exists  $h$  reduced such that  $g_j = [a_1, \dots, a_t, h]$ . For any  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , we have  $B_{i,j} = a_1, \dots, a_t, b_1, \dots, b_{n_{i,j}-t}, A_{i,j}$ , therefore

$$f_{i,j} = [a_1, \dots, a_t, b_1, \dots, b_{n_{i,j}-t}, A_{i,j}, a_1^{(1)}, \dots, a_{n_{i+1,1}}^{(1)}, \dots, a_1^{(j-1)}, \dots, a_{n_{i+1,j-1}}^{(j-1)}, a_1, \dots, a_t, \dots].$$

Then  $f_{i,j} = [a_1, \dots, a_t, C_{i,j}, C_{i+1,j}, \dots]$ , where

$$C_{i,j} = b_1, \dots, b_{n_{i,j}-t}, A_{i,j}, a_1^{(1)}, \dots, a_{n_{i+1,1}}^{(1)}, \dots, a_1^{(j-1)}, \dots, a_{n_{i+1,j-1}}^{(j-1)}, a_1, \dots, a_t.$$

The sum of  $C_{i,j}$ 's degrees is equal to  $d_i + \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} (\delta_{i+1,l} - \delta_{i,l}) \cdot h$  and  $C_{i,j}$  have the same first  $(n_{i,j} - t)$  terms, which sum of degrees is equal to  $\delta_{i,j} - \alpha$ , where  $\alpha = \sum_{l=1}^j \deg(a_l)$ . From the first case, we deduce that

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{i-1} (d_t + \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} (\delta_{t+1,l} - \delta_{t,l}))}{\sup_{1 \leq l \leq k} (\delta_{i,l} - \alpha)} \neq 0,$$

hence

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{i-1} (d_t + \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} (\delta_{t+1,l} - \delta_{t,l}))}{M_i} \neq 0.$$

- If  $\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} (\delta_{t+1,l} - \delta_{t,l}) \geq 0$  then

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{i-1} d_t}{M_i} \neq 0.$$

- If  $\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} (\delta_{t+1,l} - \delta_{t,l}) < 0$  then

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{i-1} (d_t + \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} (\delta_{t+1,l} - \delta_{t,l}))}{M_i} < \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{i-1} d_t}{M_i} \text{ and } \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{i-1} d_t}{M_i} \neq 0.$$

In both cases, we contradict with the hypothesis and we conclude that  $f$  is transcendental or quadratic.  $\diamond$

**Example 1 :** Let  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  be two formal power series over  $\mathbb{K}_2((X^{-1}))$  such that  $g_1 = [\overline{X}]$ ,  $g_2 = [\overline{X + 1}]$  and  $f = [B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n, \dots]$  where  $B_k = U_k V_k$  such that  $U_k = [X, \dots, X]$  of length  $k + 1$ ,  $V_k = [X + 1, \dots, X + 1]$  of length  $(k + 1)^{k+1}$  quotients. For any  $k \geq 1$ ,  $B_k$  and  $g_1$  have the same first  $(k + 1)$  terms. Let us have  $d_l$  as the sum of degrees of  $B_l$ 's terms,  $\delta_{l,1}$  as the sum of degrees of the first  $|U_l|$  terms of  $g_1$  and  $\delta_{l,2}$  as the sum of degrees of the first  $|V_l|$  terms of  $g_2$ . We will have the following :

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} d_l}{\delta_{i,1}} = \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} (l + 1) + \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} (l + 1)^{l+1}}{i + 1} \neq 0,$$

then we can't use the theorem in [6]. However,

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} d_l}{\sup(\delta_{i,1}, \delta_{i,2})} = \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} (l + 1) + \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} (l + 1)^{l+1}}{(i + 1)^{i+1}} = 0,$$

it follows from theorem 1 that  $f$  is transcendental.

**Example 2 :** In this example we will treat the case where  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are two algebraic formal power series over  $\mathbb{K}_5((X^{-1}))$ . Let  $g_1 = [aX, L_0(c), bX, L_0(-1), aX, L_1(c), bX, L_1(-1), \dots]$  where  $L_k(\delta)$  is the following sequence

$$\frac{X}{\delta}, \delta X, \frac{X}{\delta}, \dots, \frac{X}{\delta}, \delta X,$$

of length  $5^k - 1$ ,  $L_0(\delta)$  is the empty sequence and  $g_2 = [\overline{X}]$ . it is clear that  $g_2$  is quadratic and refers to [8],  $g_1$  is cubic. Now, we define for any  $k \geq 1$

$$U_k = [aX, L_0(c), bX, L_0(-1), aX, L_1(c), bX, L_1(-1), \dots, aX, L_k(c), bX, L_k(-1)],$$

$V_k = [X, \dots, X]$  of length  $(k + 4)^{k+1}$ ,  $B_k = U_k V_k$  and  $f = [B_1, B_2, \dots]$ . For any  $k \geq 1$ ,  $B_k$  and  $g_1$  have the same first  $|U_k|$  terms where  $|U_k| = \frac{5^{k+1} - 1}{2}$ .

Let us have  $d_l$  as the sum of degrees of  $B_l$ 's terms,  $\delta_{l,1}$  as the sum of degrees of the first  $|U_l|$  terms of  $g_1$  and  $\delta_{l,2}$  as the sum of degrees of the first  $|V_l|$  terms of  $g_2$ . We will have the following :

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} d_l}{\sup(\delta_{i,1}, \delta_{i,2})} = \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} d_l}{\delta_{i,2}} = \liminf_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \frac{5^{l+1} - 1}{2} + (l + 4)^{l+1}}{(i + 4)^{i+1}} = 0.$$

Referring to theorem 1, we can conclude that  $f$  is transcendental.

### Bibliography

- [1] A. Baker. Continued fractions of transcendental numbers. *Mathematica*.9.(1962), 1-8.
- [2] E. Baum et M. Sweet. Continued fraction of algebraic power series in characteristic 2. *Ann. of Math.* 103 (1976), 593-610.
- [3] J. P. Allouche, Davison, Queffelec et Zamboni. Transcendence of sturmian or morphic continued fractions *J.Number-Theory* 91 (2001), n1,39-66.
- [4] J. Liouville. Sur des classes très étendues de quantités dont la valeur n'est ni même réductibles à des irrationnelles algébriques. *J. Math. Pures Appl* 16 (1851), 133-142.
- [5] M. Mkaouar. Continued fractions of transcendental numbers. *Bulletin of the Greek Mathematical Society*, Vol 45 (2001), 79-85.
- [6] M. Mkaouar. Transcendance de certaines fractions continues dans le corps des séries formelles. *Journal of Algebra* 281 (2004), 502-507.
- [7] M. Queffelec. Transcendance des fractions continues de Thue-Morse, *J. Number Theory* 73 (1998), 201-211.
- [8] W.H. Mills et D.P. Robbins: Continued fractions for certain algebraic power series, *J. of Number Theory*, t.23, 1986, p. 151-187.
- [9] W. Shmidt. On simultanous approximations of two algebraic numbers by rationals. *Acta Math.* 119 (1967), 27-50.
- [10] Y. Amice. *Les nombres p-adiques*, PUF Collection Sup.

**Received: December 26, 2006**