

Algebraic Structure in Elliptic Curves

$$y^2 = x^3 - 5px$$

Shin-Wook Kim

Deokjin-gu, Songcheon 54823
101-703, I-Park Apt
Jeonju, Jeonbuk, Korea

This article is distributed under the Creative Commons by-nc-nd Attribution License.
Copyright © 2019 Hikari Ltd.

Abstract

Under the hypothesis that E_{-5p} is an elliptic curves $y^2 = x^3 - 5px$ with prime p , the rank of E_{-5p} will be enumerated in accordance with the form of prime p .

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A41, 11G05

Keywords: Prime, Elliptic curves

1 Introduction

Accumulating generalized result of rank in elliptic curve of the form $E_{\pm Ap}$: $y^2 = x^3 \pm Apx$ (p is an odd prime and A is prime) is meaningful. The range of rank in $E_{\pm Ap}$ is not beyond 4. According to each value of A the rank is determined. Compared with other forms like Mordell curve $y^2 = x^3 + B$ or $y^2 = x(x \pm A)(x \pm B)$ it can be relatively easily manipulated. Hence, the ranks in curves $E_{\pm Ap}$ are managed widely. In [4], the authors researched the rank of $y^2 = x^3 + pqx$. In [5], the author calculated that the rank of curve $y^2 = x^3 - 2px$ where p is a prime of the form $p = u^8 + 6u^4v^4 + 4v^8$ with integers u and v and $(u,v)=1$ and $p \equiv 11(\text{mod } 16)$ is 1. In this article, we will consider the rank of elliptic curve of new form $y^2 = x^3 - 5px$ with prime p .

Prior to research the rank, it is necessary to treat some notations in [10] which are needed to consider the rank of elliptic curve.

Denote E as an elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 + ax^2 + bx$ and Γ as the set of rational points on E . Then, Γ is a finitely generated abelian group owing to *Mordell's*

Theorem. In addition, it is isomorphic to $E(Q)_{tors} \oplus Z^r$ where r is Mordell-Weil rank and $E(Q)_{tors}$ denotes a torsion subgroup. Assign Q^\times as multiplicative group which is consisted of non-zero rational numbers. In addition, denote $Q^{\times 2}$ as the subgroup of squares of elements of Q^\times .

Next, define α as a homomorphism $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow Q^\times/Q^{\times 2}$ that satisfies

$$\alpha(O) = 1(\text{mod } Q^{\times 2}) \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha(0, 0) = b(\text{mod } Q^{\times 2}) \text{ and } \alpha(x, y) = x(\text{mod } Q^{\times 2})$$

with infinity point O and non-zero x .

Designate $N^2 = b_1M^4 + aM^2e^2 + b_2e^4$ as relating equation for Γ where b_1 and b_2 satisfy that $b = b_1b_2$ as $b_1 \not\equiv 1, b(\text{mod } Q^{\times 2})$. Denote (M, e, N) as an integral solution of above equation then, there derived that $M \neq 0, e \neq 0$ and $(M, N) = (M, e) = (N, e) = (b_1, e) = (b_2, e) = 1$.

In the next step, we ought to notice the curve $y^2 = x(x^2 - 2ax + a^2 - 4b)$. Take $\bar{\Gamma}$ as the set of rational points on \bar{E} . Suppose that $\bar{\alpha}$ is a homomorphism $\bar{\alpha}: \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow Q^\times/Q^{\times 2}$ where

$$\bar{\alpha}(O) = 1(\text{mod } Q^{\times 2}) \text{ and } \bar{\alpha}(0, 0) = a^2 - 4b(\text{mod } Q^{\times 2}) \text{ and}$$

$$\bar{\alpha}(x, y) = x(\text{mod } Q^{\times 2})$$

holds with infinity point O and $x \neq 0$.

Assume that $N^2 = b_1M^4 - 2aM^2e^2 + b_2e^4$ is relating equation for $\bar{\Gamma}$ that satisfies b_1 and b_2 satisfy that $b_1b_2 = a^2 - 4b$ with $b_1 \not\equiv 1, a^2 - 4b(\text{mod } Q^{\times 2})$.

Let (M, e, N) be an integral solution of above relating equation then, there comes $M \neq 0$ and $e \neq 0$ and $(M, N) = (M, e) = (N, e) = (b_1, e) = (b_2, e) = 1$ and $M \neq 0, e \neq 0$.

Next, we attain $2^r = \frac{\#\alpha(\Gamma)\#\bar{\alpha}(\bar{\Gamma})}{4}$ with rank r of E .

2 In E_{-5p}

In this section, we will research the rank of elliptic curve $E_{-5p}: y^2 = x^3 - 5px$ by the method in [10]. In the proof of following theorem, we will omit to manage about solvability of equation 1) for Γ and 1) for $\bar{\Gamma}$. It is possible to refer in [5] for about this.

Theorem 2.1. (1). Designate prime p as $p = 2u^4 + 8u^2v^2 + 3v^4$ with two integers u and v and $(u, v) = 1$ and $p \equiv 3(\text{mod } 16)$ in elliptic curve E_{-5p} then, we obtain $\text{rank}(E_{-5(2u^4+8u^2v^2+3v^4)}(Q)) = 1$.

(2). If a prime p is the form $p = 18u^4 + 72u^2v^2 + 67v^4$ with integers u and v

and $(u,v)=1$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{16}$ in curve E_{-5p} then, there is given the conclusion $\text{rank}(E_{-5(18u^4+72u^2v^2+67v^4)}(Q)) = 1$.

(3). Denote the prime p as $p = 200u^4 + 80u^2v^2 + 3v^4$ with integers u and v and $(u,v)=1$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{16}$ in elliptic curve E_{-5p} . Then, we conclude that $\text{rank}(E_{-5(200u^4+80u^2v^2+3v^4)}(Q)) = 1$.

(4). We appoint that p is a prime such that $p = 45u^4 + 60u^2v^2 + 2v^4$ with integers u and v and $(u,v)=1$ and $p \equiv 11 \pmod{16}$ in E_{-5p} then, there obtained $\text{rank}(E_{-5(45u^4+60u^2v^2+2v^4)}(Q)) = 1$.

Proof. (1). Take prime p as $p = 2u^4 + 8u^2v^2 + 3v^4$ with integers u and v and $(u,v)=1$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{16}$ in elliptic curve $E_{-5p}: y^2 = x^3 - 5px$. Assign prime p as $p = 16k + 3$ with integer k then, there exist relating equations for Γ as follows:

$$1)N^2 = M^4 - 5(16k + 3)e^4 \text{ and } 2)N^2 = -M^4 + 5(16k + 3)e^4 \text{ and}$$

$$3)N^2 = 5M^4 - (16k + 3)e^4 \text{ and } 4)N^2 = -5M^4 + (16k + 3)e^4.$$

There is no solution in equation 2) since using 16 in cutting down on this produces that $0, 1, 4, 9 \equiv N^2 \equiv 15M^4 + 15e^4 \equiv 14, 15 \pmod{16}$ and two sides don't match in this relation, hence there comes a contradiction.

Reducing equations 3) and 4) by 8 implies that 3) $0, 1, 4 \equiv N^2 \equiv 5M^4 + 5e^4 \equiv 5, 2 \pmod{8}$ and 4) $0, 1, 4 \equiv N^2 \equiv 3M^4 + 3e^4 \equiv 6, 3 \pmod{8}$. Both sides *RHS* and *LHS* are unmatched, thus no solution exists in these equations.

Henceforth, induced fact is $\#\alpha(\Gamma) = 2$.

Next from E_{-5p} , the curve $\overline{E_{-5p}}$ is deduced as $y^2 = x^3 + 20(16k + 3)x$.

Accordingly, it implies the following relating equations for $\overline{\Gamma}$:

$$1)N^2 = M^4 + 20(16k + 3)e^4 \text{ and}$$

$$2)N^2 = 2M^4 + 10(16k + 3)e^4 \text{ and}$$

$$3)N^2 = 4M^4 + 5(16k + 3)e^4 \text{ and}$$

$$4)N^2 = 5M^4 + 4(16k + 3)e^4 \text{ and}$$

$$5)N^2 = 10M^4 + 2(16k + 3)e^4 \text{ and}$$

$$6)N^2 = 20M^4 + (16k + 3)e^4.$$

If p is used in cutting down on relating equation 2) then, we confront to $2)N^2 \equiv 2M^4 \pmod{p}$ but at the same time we acquire that $\left(\frac{2M^4}{p}\right) = -1$. These two results cannot coexist, hence there cannot exist a solution in 2).

If relating equation 3) is cut down on by 8 then, we obtain the congruence $3)1 \equiv N^2 \equiv 4M^4 + 7 \equiv 7, 3 \pmod{8}$. Two sides *LHS* and *RHS* are unmatched and so possessing a solution is impossible in 3).

The values $\bar{\alpha}(P)$ in equation 4) are the same in it of 1) in $Q^\times/Q^{\times 2}$ and it is possible to omit to examine the solvability of 4).

Cutting down on 6) by 8 shows that $6)1 \equiv N^2 \equiv 4M^4 + 3 \equiv 3, 7 \pmod{8}$. Here, we face unmatched calculation, thus it also cannot take a solution.

Equation 5) is $N^2 = 10M^4 + (4u^4 + 16u^2v^2 + 6v^4)e^4$. In coefficient of e^4 , there is a square term $4u^4$, thus we can expect the advent of polynomial's square that is consisted of variables u and v after substituting some integers into M and e . Because the term $16u^2v^2$ is factored as $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 4u^2v^2$, there should be shown $16v^4$. Now we are confronted with $10M^4 + 6v^4e^4$. Owing to our objective $16v^4$, the equality $10M^4 + 6v^4e^4 = 16v^4$ must be hold. If M and e are taken as v and 1 then, we attain that $10v^4 + 6v^4 = 16v^4$. This is the result what we searched for. Besides, from the calculation $10v^4 + 4u^4 + 16u^2v^2 + 6v^4 = 4u^4 + 16u^2v^2 + 16v^4$ the integer N is derived as $2u^2 + 4v^2$. As a result, the triple $(v, 1, 2u^2 + 4v^2)$ satisfies the solution of 5).

In the next step, assume that relating equation 4) has a solution then, we obtain that $5 \cdot 10 \equiv 2 \in \bar{\alpha}(\bar{\Gamma}) \pmod{Q^{\times 2}}$ but as we examined already in above, equation $2)N^2 = 2M^4 + 10(16k + 3)e^4$ cannot take a solution, thus there is emerged a contradiction. Therefore, no solution exists in 4).

Resultantly, it follows that $\#\bar{\alpha}(\bar{\Gamma}) = 4$.

Thereby, the conclusion $\text{rank}(E_{-5(2u^4+8u^2v^2+3v^4)}(Q)) = 1$ is derived due to $2^r = \frac{2 \cdot 4}{4} = 2$.

(2). Because of the result (1) in the above, it is enough that we only check the solvability of equation $5)N^2 = 10M^4 + (36u^4 + 144u^2v^2 + 134v^4)e^4$ for $\bar{\Gamma}$. Above all, there is a square term $36u^4$ in it, hence the condition for being shown the square of polynomial which is composed of variables u and v is gotten. Next, from the factorization $144u^2v^2 = 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 12u^2v^2$, there has to be appeared $144v^4$. The remanent arithmetical value that ought to be treated is $10M^4 + 134v^4e^4$. Since our aim is $144v^4$, the relation $10M^4 + 134v^4e^4 = 144v^4$ ought to be hold. Thereby, we select M and e as v and 1 respectively then, there educed that $10v^4 + 134v^4 = 144v^4$. We obtained what we pursued. Furthermore, from $10v^4 + 36u^4 + 144u^2v^2 + 134v^4 = 36u^4 + 144u^2v^2 + 144v^4$, the integer N is given as $6u^2 + 12v^2$. Hence, we conclude that $(v, 1, 6u^2 + 12v^2)$ is a solution of relating equation 5). On that account, there is derived $\#\bar{\alpha}(\bar{\Gamma}) = 4$. As a result, there comes $\text{rank}(E_{-5(18u^4+72u^2v^2+67v^4)}(Q)) = 1$ owing to $2^r = \frac{2 \cdot 4}{4} = 2$.

(3). On account of (1) in the above, the only relating equation which should be surveyed the solvability is $5)N^2 = 10M^4 + (400u^4 + 160u^2v^2 + 6v^4)e^4$ for $\bar{\Gamma}$.

First of all, there exists a term $400u^4$ in coefficient of e^4 and this is a square form. Henceforth, a probability for being emerged the square of polynomial whose components are two variables u, v exists. Because the term $160u^2v^2$ is factored as $2 \cdot 20 \cdot 4u^2v^2$, there should be appeared the term $16v^4$. Now the numerical value that must be noticed is $10M^4 + 6v^4e^4$. From our purpose $16v^4$, the relation $10M^4 + 6v^4e^4 = 16v^4$ ought to be valid. Whence, we choose M and e as v and 1 respectively then, there comes $10v^4 + 6v^4 = 16v^4$. In addition, from $10v^4 + 400u^4 + 160u^2v^2 + 6v^4 = 400u^4 + 160u^2v^2 + 16v^4$ the integer N is induced as $N = 20u^2 + 4v^2$. Therefore, we attain $(v, 1, 20u^2 + 4v^2)$ as a solution of 5). Hence, we acquire the conclusion $\#\bar{\alpha}(\bar{\Gamma}) = 4$. For that reason, we face the consequence $\text{rank}(E_{-5(200u^4+80u^2v^2+3v^4)}(Q)) = 1$ on account of $2^r = \frac{2 \cdot 4}{4} = 2$.

(4). Denote prime p as $p = 45u^4 + 60u^2v^2 + 2v^4$ with integers u and v and $(u, v) = 1$ and $p \equiv 11 \pmod{16}$ in $E_{-5p}: y^2 = x^3 - 5px$. Take p as $p = 16k + 11$ with integer k then, there are relating equations for Γ as follows:

$$1)N^2 = M^4 - 5(16k + 11)e^4 \text{ and } 2)N^2 = -M^4 + 5(16k + 11)e^4 \text{ and}$$

$$3)N^2 = 5M^4 - (16k + 11)e^4 \text{ and } 4)N^2 = -5M^4 + (16k + 11)e^4.$$

If 2) is cut down on by 8 then, we gain the congruence $0, 1, 4 \equiv N^2 \equiv -M^4 + 7e^4 \equiv 7, 6 \pmod{8}$. We acquired unmatched enumeration and thus, taking a solution is impossible in equation 2).

Reducing 3) by 8 shows that $3)0, 1, 4 \equiv N^2 \equiv 5M^4 + 5e^4 \equiv 2, 5 \pmod{8}$. Two sides are unmatched here, hence it cannot have a solution.

Cutting down on equation 4) by 4 implies that $4)0, 1 \equiv N^2 \equiv -5M^4 + 11e^4 \equiv 3, 2 \pmod{4}$ and both *LHS* and *RHS* do not match. Whence, a solution cannot exist in this equation.

Thereby, it is derived that $\#\alpha(\Gamma) = 2$.

Now, from E_{-5p} the curve $\overline{E_{-5p}}$ is gotten as $y^2 = x^3 + 20(16k + 11)x$.

Accordingly, there exist relating equations for $\bar{\Gamma}$:

$$1)N^2 = M^4 + 20(16k + 11)e^4 \text{ and}$$

$$2)N^2 = 2M^4 + 10(16k + 11)e^4 \text{ and}$$

$$3)N^2 = 4M^4 + 5(16k + 11)e^4 \text{ and}$$

$$4)N^2 = 5M^4 + 4(16k + 11)e^4 \text{ and}$$

$$5)N^2 = 10M^4 + 2(16k + 11)e^4 \text{ and}$$

$$6)N^2 = 20M^4 + (16k + 11)e^4.$$

There is no solution in equation 2) since using the prime p in cutting down on equation 2) then, there produced $N^2 \equiv 2M^4 \pmod{p}$ but we also encounter to $\left(\frac{2M^4}{p}\right) = -1$. These two things cannot exist simultaneously, thus we arrive at a contradiction.

After reducing relating equation 3) by 8 then, we take that $1 \equiv N^2 \equiv 4M^4 + 7 \equiv 7, 3 \pmod{8}$. Two sides *LHS* and *RHS* do not match in this congruence, hence there cannot appear the solution in equation 3).

Using 16 in cutting down on equation 6) then, we obtain the congruence $1, 9 \equiv N^2 \equiv 4M^4 + 11 \equiv 15, 11 \pmod{16}$. Here, both sides are unmatched and so there cannot exist a solution in 6).

Equation 5) can be rewritten as $N^2 = 10M^4 + (90u^4 + 120u^2v^2 + 4v^4)e^4$. Above all, there is a term $4v^4$ in coefficient of e^4 and it is a square form. Thus, there is a potentiality of emergence of polynomial that is comprised of variables u and v . Due to the factorization $120u^2v^2 = 2 \cdot 30 \cdot 2u^2v^2$, there should be derived the term $900u^4$. The next thing to do is considering the relation $10M^4 + 90u^4e^4 = 900u^4 \dots \dots (H)$. Now, finding the values M and e is important thing. The existence of pair (e, M) which satisfies (H) is significant thing. In (H) , the term for u^4 exists in both sides and in *LHS* it is $90u^4e^4$ and so determining the integer e earlier is better to search the pair (e, M) . The smaller in value e the better to find another integer M . Whence, if we select e as 1 then, we gain $10M^4 + 90u^4 = 900u^4$. Hence, the equality $10M^4 = 810u^4$ is produced and thus the value M is deduced as $3u$. Now, from the calculation $10(3u)^4 + 90u^4 + 120u^2v^2 + 4v^4 = 900u^4 + 120u^2v^2 + 4v^4$ the integer N is deduced as $30u^2 + 2v^2$. Accordingly, the solution of equation 5) is derived as $(3u, 1, 30u^2 + 2v^2)$.

Next, if there exists a solution in relating equation 4) then, we attain that $5 \cdot 10 \equiv 2 \in \bar{\alpha}(\bar{\Gamma}) \pmod{Q^{\times 2}}$ but as we researched in the above, there is no solution in equation 2) $N^2 = 2M^4 + 10(16k + 11)e^4$. Thus, a contradiction is given and hence equation 4) has no solution.

In conclusion, it follows that $\#\bar{\alpha}(\bar{\Gamma}) = 4$.

On this account, it implies that $2^r = \frac{2 \cdot 4}{4} = 2$.

Therefore, there comes $\text{rank}(E_{-5(45u^4+60u^2v^2+2v^4)}(Q)) = 1$. □

As the consequence of other curves $y^2 = x^3 \pm px$, the results of rank 1 were emerged frequently in curve E_{-5p} . Above results were also all 1. Compared with other values of rank, systematizing the rank 1 in curve E_{-5p} is relatively easy.

Remark 2.2. Congruent number is significant dispute in elliptic curve. It is well-known that a positive integer n (:squarefree) is congruent if and only if congruent number elliptic curve $E_n: y^2 = x^3 - n^2x$ takes a positive rank r_n . Therefore, by treating the congruent for arbitrary integer n we can approach to rank of elliptic curve E_n . In [8], Nemenzo suggested that

s_n	r_n	No. of curves E_n with $n < 100000$
4	4	4
3	3	177
3	$3 \leq r_n \leq 5$	8
2	$1 \leq r_n \leq 2$	$1558(n < 42553)$
2	$1 \leq r_n \leq 4$	$107 (n < 42553)$
2	$0 \leq r_n \leq 2$	$1767 (n \geq 42553)$
2	$0 \leq r_n \leq 4$	$244 (n \geq 42553)$
1	1	30220
0	0	26729

(s_n denotes Hasse-Weil L -function $L(E_n, s)$'s order s_n of vanishing at $s = 1$ and r_n is rank of $E_n: y^2 = x^3 - n^2x$.)

In addition, Lemmermeyer showed that for prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and elliptic curve $E: y^2 = x(x^2 - 4p^2)$ the Selmer groups are $Sel^{(\psi)}(\hat{E}/Q) = \langle -1, 2, p \rangle$ and $Sel^{(\phi)}(E/Q) = \langle p \rangle$ and if prime p is given as $p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ then, there derived the consequences $III(E/Q)[2] \simeq III(\hat{E}/Q)[2] \simeq (Z/2Z)^2$ ([6]). In [1], under the supposition that the curve $E: y^2 = x(x^2 - n^2)$ is an elliptic curve with $n \geq 1$ is an integer, the authors dealt with the problem of finding three integral points P_1 and P_2 and P_3 whose x -coordinates $x_i = x(P_i)$ form an arithmetic progression with $x_1 < x_2 < x_3$. If $n \geq 1$ is a squarefree integer and $\Gamma \subset E(Q)$ is a subgroup of rank 1 then, Γ contains no non-trivial integral arithmetic progressions([1]). There are no arithmetic progressions (P_1, P_2, P_3) on E when one of the points P_i is equal to the torsion point $T_2 = (0, 0)$ and the other two points are non-torsion([1]).

Remark 2.3. In structure $E(Q) \cong E(Q)_{tors} \oplus Z^r$, torsion part is one component of $E(Q)$. In previous theorem, we only considered the rank of elliptic curve but it is needed to notice the torsion points. In [3], Dujella showed that the torsion points in $E_k': y^2 = x^3 + (9k^2 - 1)x^2 + 24k^2(k^2 - 1)x + 16k^2(k^2 - 1)^2$ are isomorphic to $Z/2Z \oplus Z/2Z$. In [7], Lemmermeyer suggested that torsion points of Mordell elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 + 1$ is $E(Q)_{tors} \simeq Z/6Z$. In [9], Robledo presented the followings:

<i>Curve</i>	<i>Torsion</i>	<i>Generators</i>
$y^2 = x^3 - 2$	<i>trivial</i>	0
$y^2 = x^3 + 8$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(-2, 0)$
$y^2 = x^3 + 4$	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	$(0, 2)$
$y^2 = x^3 + 4x$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$(2, 4)$
$y^2 - y = x^3 - x^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$	$(0, 1)$
$y^2 = x^3 + 1$	$\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$	$(2, 3)$
$y^2 = x^3 - 43x + 166$	$\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$	$(3, 8)$
$y^2 + 7xy = x^3 + 16x$	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$	$(-2, 10)$
$y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - x^2 - 14x + 29$	$\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$	$(3, 1)$
$y^2 + xy = x^3 - 45x + 81$	$\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$	$(0, 9)$
$y^2 + 43xy - 210y = x^3 - 210x^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$	$(0, 210)$
$y^2 = x^3 - 4x$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(2, 0), (0, 0)$
$y^2 = x^3 + 2x^2 - 3x$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(3, 6), (0, 0)$
$y^2 + 5xy - 6y = x^3 - 3x^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(-3, 18), (2, -2)$
$y^2 + 17xy - 120y = x^3 - 60x^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(30, -90), (-40, 400)$

4 Examples

In section 3, examples of theorem 2.1 will be suggested. The primes were checked in [2].

The followings are examples of theorem 2.1(1) :

(p, u, v)
$(67, 2, 1)$
$(563, 2, 3)$
$(643, 4, 1)$
$(1907, 4, 3)$
$(2707, 2, 5)$
$(8803, 2, 7)$
$(13043, 8, 3)$
$(107827, 4, 13)$
$(1118563, 8, 23)$
$(29008867, 8, 55)$
$(129350147, 2, 81)$

Examples of theorem 2.1(2) are given as :

(p, u, v)
(643, 2, 1)
(5827, 4, 1)
(383683, 12, 1)
(4251523, 22, 1)
(11120323, 28, 1)
(46195267, 40, 1)

Some examples of theorems 2.1(3) are the followings::

(p, u, v)
(6323, 2, 3)
(26083, 2, 7)
(85843, 2, 11)
(142963, 2, 13)
(2394163, 2, 29)
(32710883, 2, 57)
(42731443, 2, 61)
(48532163, 2, 63)
(77851363, 2, 71)
(396905683, 2, 107)

We have examples of theorems 2.1(4):

(p, u, v)
(107, 1, 1)
(36587, 1, 11)
(67307, 1, 13)

References

- [1] A. Bremner and J. H. Silverman and N. Tzanakis, Integral points in arithmetic progression on $y^2 = x(x^2 - n^2)$, *J. of Number theory*, **80** (2000), 187-208. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jnth.1999.2430>
- [2] C. Caldwell, <http://primes.utm.edu/curios/includes/primetest.php>.
- [3] A. Dujella, A parametric family of elliptic curves, *Acta Arith*, **XCIV** (2000), 87-101. <https://doi.org/10.4064/aa-94-1-87-101>

- [4] A. J. Hollier and B. K. Spearman and Q. Yang, Elliptic curves $y^2 = x^3 + pqx$ with maximal rank, *Int. Math. Forum*, **5** (2010), 1105-1110.
- [5] S. W. Kim, Crucial function of prime's form, *Int. J. of Algebra*, **10** (2016), 283 - 290. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ija.2016.6428>
- [6] F. Lemmermeyer, Some families of non-congruent numbers, *Acta Arith.*, **110** (2003), 15 - 36. <https://doi.org/10.4064/aa110-1-2>
- [7] F. Lemmermeyer, Introduction to elliptic curves, 2003, *preprint*.
- [8] F. R. Nemenzo, All congruent numbers less than 40000, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **74** (1998), 29 - 31. <https://doi.org/10.3792/pjaa.74.29>
- [9] A. L. Robledo, Elliptic curves, modular form, and their L -functions, *AMS, Student mathematical library; IAS/Park city mathematical subseries*, **58** (2011).
- [10] J. H. Silverman and J. Tate, *Rational points on elliptic curves*, Springer, New York, (1992), <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-4252-7>

Received: March 14, 2019; Published: April 17, 2019