

Galois Extensions Induced by a Central Idempotent in a Partial Galois Extension

Xiao-Long Jiang

Department of Mathematics
Sun Yat-Sen University
Guangzhou, 510275 P.R. China

George Szeto

Department of Mathematics
Bradley University,
Peoria, Illinois 61625 USA

Copyright © 2014 Xiao-Long Jiang and George Szeto. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Let (R, α) be a partial Galois extension of $R^{\alpha G}$ with a partial action of a finite group G , e a non-zero central idempotent in R , 1_g the central idempotent associated with $g \in G$, and $E = e(\prod_{g \in G} 1_g) \neq 0$ with a maximal number of factors 1_g for $g \in G$. A sufficient condition for a Galois extension Re with Galois group $H(e)$ and for a Galois extension RE with Galois group $N(e)$ is given respectively, where $H(e) = \{g \in G | e1_g = e\}$ and $N(e) = \{g \in G | e(\prod_{g \in G} 1_g) \neq 0\}$ with a maximal number of factors 1_g for $g \in G$. This leads to a structure of Re as a direct sum of Galois extensions.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 13B05

Keywords: Galois extension, Partial Galois extension, Boolean semi-group, Central idempotent

1 Introduction

Galois theory for fields has been generalized for rings in [1, 3, 4, 8]. Recently, a partial action on a ring of a finite group had many applications in operator algebra, ring theory and other areas of research [2, 6, 7, 9, 10]. A lot of properties of a partial Galois extension of a ring with a partial action of a finite group have been given ([6, 9]). Let (R, α_G) be a partial Galois extension with a partial action of a finite group G . Denote the Boolean semi-group generated by $\{1_g | g \in G\}$ under the multiplication of R by $B(R)$, where 1_g is the central idempotent associated with $g \in G$. In [9], a Galois extension Rf is characterized for an $f \in B(R)$. For any non-zero central idempotent $e \in R$, not necessarily in $B(R)$, the purpose of the present paper is to give a sufficient condition for three subsets $G(e)$, $N(e)$, and $H(e)$ of G induced by e to be subgroups of G respectively, where $G(e) = \{g \in G | e1_g \neq 0\}$, $N(e) = \{g \in G | e(\prod_{g \in G} 1_g) \neq 0\}$ with a maximal number of factors 1_g and $H(e) = \{g \in G | e1_g = e\}$. Thus we can show that Re is a Galois extension with Galois groups $H(e)$ and $N(e)$, and obtain an expression for Re as a direct sum of Galois extensions.

2 Preliminary

Let R be a ring with 1, G a finite automorphism group of R , and $R^G = \{r \in R | g(r) = r\}$ for each $g \in G$. As defined in [4], if there exist $\{a_i, b_i \in R | \sum_{i=1}^n a_i g(b_i) = \delta_{1,g}\}$ for some integer n , then R is called a Galois extension of R^G with Galois group G and $\{a_i, b_i\}$ is called a G -Galois system for R . As given in [6], let G be a finite group, (R, α_G) is called a ring with a partial action α_G of G if $\alpha_g : D_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow D_g$ is a ring isomorphism where $D_{g^{-1}}$ and D_g are ideals of R for all $g \in G$ such that (1) $D_1 = R$ and α_1 is the identity automorphism of R ; (2) $\alpha_g(D_{g^{-1}} \cap D_h) = D_g \cap D_{gh}$ for all $g, h \in G$; (3) $(\alpha_g \alpha_h)(r) = \alpha_{gh}(r)$ for every $r \in (D_{h^{-1}} \cap D_{(gh)^{-1}})$. Assume that $D_g = R1_g$ where 1_g is a central idempotent in R for each $g \in G$. Denote $\{r \in R | \alpha_g(r1_{g^{-1}}) = r1_g \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ by R^{α_G} . Then (R, α_G) is called a partial Galois extension of R^{α_G} if there exist $\{x_i, y_i \in R | i = 1, \dots, n\}$ for some integer n such that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \alpha_g(y_i 1_{g^{-1}}) = \delta_{1,g} 1_R$ for $g \in G$, where $\{x_i, y_i\}$ is called a partial Galois system for R . In particular, if R^{α_G} is contained in the center of R , then (R, α_G) is called a partial Galois algebra. We shall employ the following identity $\alpha_g(1_h 1_{g^{-1}}) = 1_{gh} 1_g$ for all $g, h \in G$ ([6], page 79).

3 Galois Extensions

In this section, by keeping the definitions and notations in Section 2, let (R, α_G) be a partial Galois extension of R^{α_G} with a partial action of a finite group G , and e a non-zero central idempotent in R . There are three subsets of G associated with e : (1) $G(e) = \{g \in G | e1_g \neq 0\}$, (2) $N(e) = \{g \in G | e(\Pi_g 1_g) \neq 0\}$ with a maximal number of factors 1_g , and (3) $H(e) = \{g \in G | e1_g = e\}$. We shall show when these subsets are subgroups of G so that Re is a Galois extension with each of these groups as Galois group. The following identity is useful: $\alpha_g(1_h 1_{g^{-1}}) = 1_{gh} 1_g$ for all $g, h \in G$ ([6], p. 79).

Theorem 3.1 *Let e be a non-zero central idempotent in R and $G(e) = \{g \in G | e1_g \neq 0\}$. If $e1_g 1_h \neq 0$ and e is in $R^{\alpha_{G(e)}}$ for all $g, h \in G(e)$, then $G(e)$ is a subgroup of G and Re is a partial Galois extension with a partial action of $G(e)$.*

Proof. For any $g \in G$, $\alpha_g(e1_{g^{-1}}) = e1_g \neq 0$, so $e1_{g^{-1}} \neq 0$. Hence g^{-1} is in $G(e)$. Next for any $g, h \in G(e)$, $e1_h 1_g \neq 0$ by hypothesis, we have $0 \neq \alpha_g(e1_h 1_{g^{-1}}) = \alpha_g(e1_{g^{-1}})\alpha_g(1_h 1_{g^{-1}}) = e1_g 1_{gh} 1_g = e1_{gh} 1_g$; and so $e1_{gh} \neq 0$. Thus gh is in $G(e)$. This implies that $G(e)$ is a subgroup of G . Noting that e is in $R^{\alpha_{G(e)}}$ and (R, α_G) is a partial Galois extension, we conclude that $(Re, \alpha_{G(e)})$ is a partial Galois extension.

Recall that $N(e) = \{g \in G | e(\Pi_g 1_g) \neq 0\}$ with a maximal number of factors 1_g . Since G is finite, there are finite number of subsets $\{N(e) : N_1(e), \dots, N_k(e) \text{ for some integer } k\}$. Denote $(\Pi_g 1_g)$ for $g \in N_i(e)$ by E_i for each $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Proposition 3.2 *Let $G(e), N_i(e) = \{g \in G | e(\Pi_g 1_g) \neq 0\}$ with a maximal number of factors 1_g for each i and E_i be given above. Then $G(e) = \cup_{i=1}^k N_i(e)$ and $E_i E_j = \delta_{i,j} E_i$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, k$.*

Proof. For any $g \in G(e)$, $e1_g \neq 0$, so $e1_g \neq 0$ can extend to $e1_g(\Pi_{h \in G} 1_h) \neq 0$ with a maximal number of factors 1_h for $h \in G$. Hence g is in $N_i(e)$ for some $i = 1, \dots, k$; and so $G(e) \subset \cup_{i=1}^k N_i(e)$. Also clearly $\cup_{i=1}^k N_i(e) \subset G(e)$. Thus $G(e) = \cup_{i=1}^k N_i(e)$. Moreover, since $E_i \neq E_j$ for $i \neq j$, there exists a factor 1_g of E_i which is not a factor of E_j . Then we have $E_i E_j = 0$ by the maximality of the number of factors 1_h of E_j . This implies $E_i E_j = \delta_{i,j} E_i$.

Next we show a sufficient condition under which $N_i(e)$ is a subgroup of G for each i .

Theorem 3.3 *By keeping the notations in Proposition 3.2, if E_i is in $R^{\alpha_{N_i(e)}}$, then $N_i(e)$ is a subgroup of G and RE_i is a Galois extension of $(RE_i)^{\alpha_{N_i(e)}}$ with Galois group $N_i(e)$.*

Proof. For any $g \in N_i(e)$, $\alpha_g(E_i 1_{g^{-1}}) = E_i 1_g \neq 0$ because E_i is in $R^{\alpha_{N_i(e)}}$ by hypothesis. Hence $E_i 1_{g^{-1}} \neq 0$. Thus $g^{-1} \in N_i(e)$. Next for any $h, g \in N_i(e)$, $0 \neq \alpha_g(E_i 1_h 1_{g^{-1}}) = E_i 1_{hg} 1_g = E_i 1_{hg}$, so $hg \in N_i(e)$. Therefore $N_i(e)$ is a subgroup of G . Moreover, noting that E_i is in $R^{\alpha_{N_i(e)}}$ and $E_i 1_g = E_i$ for each $g \in N_i(e)$, we have that RE_i is a Galois extension of $(RE_i)^{\alpha_{N_i(e)}}$ with Galois group $N_i(e)$.

When e is in $R^{\alpha_{N_i(e)}}$, the converse of *Theorem 3.3* holds.

Theorem 3.4 *Assume e is in $R^{\alpha_{N_i(e)}}$. If $N_i(e)$ is a subgroup of G , then E_i is in $R^{\alpha_{N_i(e)}}$.*

Proof. Since $E_i = e(\Pi_g 1_g) \neq 0$ with a maximal number of factors 1_g , for any $h \in N_i(e)$, $\alpha_h(E_i 1_{h^{-1}}) = \alpha_h(e \Pi_g 1_g 1_{h^{-1}}) = \alpha_h(e 1_{h^{-1}}) \alpha_h((\Pi_g 1_g) 1_{h^{-1}}) = (e 1_h)(\Pi_g 1_{hg} 1_h) = e(\Pi_g 1_g) 1_h = E_i 1_h$. Thus E_i is in $R^{\alpha_{N_i(e)}}$.

Keeping the notations of *Theorem 3.3*, we have an expression of Re .

Theorem 3.5 *Let E_i be in $R^{\alpha_{N_i(e)}}$ as given in *Theorem 3.3*. If $e = \sum_{i=1}^k E_i$, then $Re = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k RE_i$ such that RE_i is a Galois extension with Galois group $N_i(e)$ for each i .*

Proof. Since $E_i(e) = e(\Pi_g 1_g) \neq 0$ with a maximal number of factors 1_g for $g \in G$, $E_i E_j = \delta_{i,j} E_i$ by *Proposition 3.2*. Since $e = \sum_{i=1}^k E_i$ by hypothesis, $Re = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k RE_i$ such that RE_i is a Galois extension with Galois group $N_i(e)$ for each i by *Theorem 3.3*.

Recall that $H(e) = \{g \in G | e 1_g = e\}$. Next we show a sufficient condition for $H(e)$ to be a Galois group for the Galois extension Re .

Theorem 3.6 *If e is in $R^{\alpha_{H(e)}}$, then $H(e)$ is a subgroup of G and Re is a Galois extension of $R^{\alpha_{H(e)}}$ with Galois group $H(e)$.*

Proof. For any $h, g \in H(e)$, $\alpha_g(e 1_h 1_{g^{-1}}) = \alpha_g(e 1_{g^{-1}}) = e 1_g = e$ by hypothesis. Also, $\alpha_g(e 1_h 1_{g^{-1}}) = \alpha_g(e 1_{g^{-1}}) \alpha_g(1_h 1_{g^{-1}}) = (e 1_g)(1_{gh} 1_g) = e 1_{gh} = e$. Thus gh is in $H(e)$. But $H(e)$ is finite, so $H(e)$ is a subgroup of G . Moreover, since $e 1_g = e$ for each $g \in H(e)$, the action of $H(e)$ on Re is global; that is, $H(e)$ is an automorphism group of Re . Noting that e is in $R^{\alpha_{H(e)}}$, we conclude that Re is a Galois extension of $R^{\alpha_{H(e)}}$ with Galois group $H(e)$.

When e is a minimal central idempotent in $R^{\alpha_G(e)}$, all subsets of G induced by e are the same.

Corollary 3.7 *If e is a minimal central idempotent in $R^{\alpha_{G(e)}}$, then $G(e) = H(e) = N_i(e)$ for each i and Re is a Galois extension of $R^{\alpha_{G(e)}}$ with Galois group $G(e)$.*

Proof. Since e is minimal, $G(e) = H(e) = N_i(e)$ is clear by the definitions of these subsets of G . Also e is in $R^{\alpha_{G(e)}}$, so Re is a Galois extension of $R^{\alpha_{G(e)}}$ with Galois group $G(e)$ by *Theorem 3.6*.

Corollary 3.8 *If (R, α_G) is a partial Galois algebra with finitely many central idempotents $\{e_i | i = 1, \dots, n\}$ for some integer n , then $R = \bigoplus \sum_i (Re_i)$ where Re_i is a Galois extension of $R^{\alpha_{G(e_i)}}$ for each i .*

Proof. Since (R, α_G) is a partial Galois algebra with finitely many central idempotents $\{e_i | i = 1, \dots, n\}$, we can assume that $\{e_i\}$ are minimal such that $1 = \sum_i e_i$ and e_i is in $R^{\alpha_{G(e_i)}}$ for each i . Thus the *Corollary* holds by *Corollary 3.7*.

References

- [1] R. Alfaro and G. Szeto, Skew Group Rings Which Are Azumaya, *Comm. Algebra*, **22(6)**, 1995, 2255-2261.
- [2] J. Avila, M. Ferrero, J. Lazzarin, Partial Actions and Partial Fixed Rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **38(6)**, 2010, 2079-2091.
- [3] S.U. Chase, D.K. Harrison, A. Rosengerg, Galois Theory and Galois Cohomology of Commutative Rings, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1965, 15-33.
- [4] F.R. DeMeyer, Galois Theory in Separable Algebras over Commutative Rings, *Illinois J. Math.*, **10**, 1966, 287-295.
- [5] F.R. DeMeyer and E. Ingraham, Separable Algebras over Commutative Rings, *181, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York*, 1971.
- [6] M. Dokuchaev, M. Ferrero, A. Paques, Partial Actions and Galois Theory, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **208**, 2007, 77-87.
- [7] R. Exel, M. Laca, J. Quigg, Partial Dynamical System and C*-algebras Generated by Partial Isometries, *J. Operator Theory*, **47**, 2002, 169-186.
- [8] X.L. Jiang and G. Szeto, On the Injective Galois Map, *International J. Algebra*, **5**, Vol 6, 2012, 219-225.

- [9] J.M. Kuo and G. Szeto, The Structure of a Partial Galois Extension, *Monatsh Math.*, DOI 10.1007/s00605-013-0591-1, 2012.
- [10] A. Paques, V. Rodrigues, A. Aant'Ana, Galois Correspondences for Partial Galois Azumaya Extensions, *J. Algebra and Its Applications*, bf 10(5), 2011, 835-847.

Received: May 5, 2014