

## ***A*-Generated Subgroups of *A*-Solvable Groups**

Ulrich Albrecht

Department of Mathematics, Auburn University  
Auburn, AL 36849, USA  
albreuf@mail.auburn.edu

**Abstract.** In the discussion of *A*-solvable groups, the question arises if a torsion-free abelian group *A* of finite rank is flat as a module over its endomorphism ring if every *A*-generated subgroup of a torsion-free *A*-solvable group is *A*-solvable. This paper gives a negative answer by constructing a torsion-free group of rank 3 for which all *A*-generated torsion-free groups are *A*-solvable, although *A* is not flat as an  $E(A)$ -module.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 20K15

**Keywords:** *A*-Solvable Group, Flat, Endomorphism Ring

Associated with every abelian group *A* is an adjoint pair  $(H_A, T_A)$  of functors between the category of abelian groups and the category of right modules over its endomorphism ring  $E(A)$  defined by  $H_A(G) = \text{Hom}(A, G)$  for all abelian groups *G* and  $T_A(M) = M \otimes_E A$  for all right *E*-modules *M*. The *E*-module structure of  $H_A(G)$  is induced by the composition of maps. These functors induce a natural transformation  $\theta_G : T_A H_A(G) \rightarrow G$  defined by  $\theta_G(\alpha \otimes a) = \alpha(a)$ . The *A*-socle,  $S_A(G)$ , of *G* is the image of  $\theta_G$ . We call *G* *A*-generated if  $\theta_G$  is onto, and *A*-solvable if  $\theta_G$  is an isomorphism. Clearly *G* is *A*-generated if and only if it is an epimorphic image of  $A^{(I)} = \bigoplus_I A$  for some index-set *I*. If *I* can be chosen to be finite, we say that *G* is *finitely A-generated*. It is easy to see that a group *G* is *A*-solvable if and only if every finitely *A*-generated subgroup of *G* is *A*-solvable.

The classes of *A*-generated and *A*-solvable groups are important tools in the investigation of homological properties of *A* (e.g., see [2], [3], [5], [7], and [8]). In particular, Ulmer's Theorem [10] states that *A* is flat as a module over its endomorphism ring if and only if every homomorphism  $\phi : G \rightarrow H$  with *G* and

$H$   $A$ -solvable has an  $A$ -generated kernel. In this case,  $A$ -generated subgroups of torsion-free  $A$ -solvable groups are  $A$ -solvable. This last result raises the question whether or not the converse is true: Is a torsion-free abelian group  $A$  flat as a module over its endomorphism ring if every  $A$ -generated subgroup of a torsion-free  $A$ -solvable group is  $A$ -solvable.

It is the goal of this paper to provide a negative answer to this question by constructing a torsion-free group of rank 3 such that all torsion-free  $A$ -generated groups are  $A$ -solvable, although  $A$  is not flat as an  $E(A)$ -module. Furthermore,  $A$  provides counter-examples to several other open questions concerning  $A$ -solvable groups.

Consider a torsion-free group  $G$  of finite rank with finite type-set. Denote the distinct minimal types in the type-set of  $G$  by  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , choose a subgroup  $A_i$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\text{type}(A_i) = \tau_i$ , and consider  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$ . The group  $A$  is determined by  $G$  up to isomorphism and is called the *cd-generator* of  $G$ . The ring  $E(A)$  is commutative and hereditary ring since  $\text{Hom}(A_i, A_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Although  $G$  is  $A$ -generated, it need not be  $A$ -solvable as the next result shows.

We want to remind the reader that a sequence  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$  is  $A$ -balanced if the induced sequence  $H_A(X) \rightarrow H_A(Y) \rightarrow 0$  is exact. For a torsion-free Abelian group  $A$  of finite rank, a group  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable if and only if there exists an  $A$ -balanced exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow A^{(I)} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$  with  $S_A(U) = 0$  [2].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a torsion-free group of finite rank with finite type-set. If  $A$  is the cd-generator of  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable if and only if  $G = G(\tau_1) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\tau_n)$ .*

*Proof.* In the case that  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable, consider an  $A$ -balanced exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} A^{(I)} \xrightarrow{\beta} G \rightarrow 0$  in which  $U$  is  $A$ -generated. It induces the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow H_A(U) \xrightarrow{H_A(\alpha)} H_A(A^{(I)}) \xrightarrow{H_A(\beta)} H_A(G) \rightarrow 0$  of right  $E(A)$ -modules. For every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $U_i = S_{A_i}(U)$ ,  $P_i = A_i^{(I)}$ , and  $G_i = S_{A_i}(G) = G(\tau_i)$ . Since  $E(A)$  is hereditary,  $U$  is  $A$ -projective, and, therefore,  $U = U_1 + \dots + U_n$ . Because  $\text{Hom}(A_i, A_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , we obtain  $U_i \subseteq P_i$ . Thus,  $U = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_n$ . Furthermore,  $\beta(P_i) \subseteq G_i$ .

On the other hand, if  $g \in G_i$ , then there are  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_\ell \in \text{Hom}(A_i, G)$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_\ell \in A_i$  with  $g = \phi_1(x_1) + \dots + \phi_\ell(x_\ell)$ . Since the sequence is  $A$ -balanced, there are  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_\ell \in \text{Hom}(A_i, A^{(I)})$  with  $\beta\psi_j = \phi_j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ . Because of  $\psi_j(A_i) \subseteq P_i$ , we have  $g \in \beta(P_i)$ . Therefore,  $G_i = \beta(P_i)$ . Suppose there are  $g_i \in G_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  such that  $g_1 + \dots + g_n = 0$ . For every  $i$ , select  $y_i \in P_i$  with  $g_i = \beta(y_i)$ . Since  $\beta(y_1 + \dots + y_n) = 0$ , we have

$y_1 + \dots + y_n \in U = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_n$ . Because of  $U_i \subseteq P_i$ , we obtain  $y_i \in U_i \subseteq U$ . Hence,  $g_i = \beta(y_i) = 0$  for all  $i$ ; and  $G = G(\tau_1) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\tau_n)$ .

Conversely, it remains to show that groups of the form  $G(\tau_i)$  is A-solvable. There exists an  $A_i$ -balanced exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow A_i^{(J)} \xrightarrow{\beta_i} G(\tau_i) \rightarrow 0$  in which  $U$  is  $A_i$ -, and hence also A-generated. If  $j \neq i$  and  $\phi : A_j \rightarrow G(\tau_i)$  is non-zero, then  $0 \neq \phi(A_j) \subseteq G(\tau_i) \cap G(\tau_j)$ , a contradiction. Hence, every map  $\lambda : A \rightarrow G(\tau_i)$  satisfies  $\lambda(\oplus_{j \neq i} A_j) = 0$ . Let  $\eta_i : A_i \rightarrow A_i^{(J)}$  be chosen such that  $\beta_i \eta_i = \lambda|_{A_i}$ . Define  $\eta : A \rightarrow A_i^{(J)}$  by  $\eta(\oplus_{j \neq i} A_j) = 0$  and  $\eta|_{A_i} = \eta_i$ . Then,  $\beta_i \eta = \lambda$ , and the last sequence is A-balanced. But then,  $G(\tau_i)$  is A-solvable [2]. □

The last theorem also provides a criterion to determine the A-solvable group in case that  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  where  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are subgroups  $\mathbb{Q}$  of pairwise incomparable types  $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\}$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  where  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are subgroups  $\mathbb{Q}$  of pairwise incomparable types. A torsion-free group  $G$  of finite rank is A-solvable if and only if  $G = G(\tau_1) \oplus \dots \oplus G(\tau_n)$ .*

We now turn to the question to describe the A-solvable groups in case that A is a completely decomposable group such that the types of the  $A_i$ 's are not necessarily incomparable. Consider subgroups  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ , and  $B$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\tau_i = type(A_i)$  and  $\tau = type(B)$  satisfy

- i)  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_j$  are incomparable for  $i \neq j$ , and
- ii)  $\tau \geq \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ .

Write  $\tau_i = [(k_p^i)]$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\tau = [(n_p)]$  where  $(k_p^i)$  and  $(n_p)$  are characteristics chosen in such a way that  $k_p^i \leq n_p$  for all primes  $p$  and all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  and  $B$  be chosen as above. If  $G$  is a torsion-free group with  $G = G(\tau)$  and  $\alpha \in Hom(A_i, G)$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then there are homomorphisms  $\sigma : A_i \rightarrow B$  and  $\tau : B \rightarrow G$  with  $\alpha = \tau \sigma$ .*

*Proof.* We use the notation introduced before the statement of the lemma. Select  $0 \neq a_i \in A_i$  such that  $k_p^i = h_p^{A_i}(a_i)$  for all primes  $p$ . Then,  $h_p^G(\alpha(a_i)) \geq k_p^i$  for all primes  $p$ . Moreover, there are primes  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  with  $h_q^G(\alpha(a_i)) \geq n_q$  for all  $q \neq p_1, \dots, p_m$ . For each  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , we have  $k_{p_j}^i \leq s_j = h_{p_j}^G(\alpha(a_i)) < n_{p_j} < \infty$ . Select a non-zero  $x \in B$  such that  $h_p^B(x) = n_p$  for all primes  $p$ . We can find  $b \in B$  such that  $p_1^{n_{p_1}-s_1} \dots p_m^{n_{p_m}-s_m} b = x$ , i.e.  $h_{p_j}^B(b) = s_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Clearly,  $h_p^B(b) = n_p$  for all  $p \neq p_1, \dots, p_m$ .

For every non-zero  $a \in A_i$ , there are relatively prime integers  $r$  and  $s$  with  $sa = ra_i$ . If  $p$  is a prime dividing  $s$ , then  $s = p^j s'$  and  $(p, s') = 1$ . Then,

$h_p^{A_i}(a_i) \geq j$  yields  $h_p^B(b) \geq h_p^{A_i}(a_i) \geq j$ . Hence,  $sy = rb$  has a solution in  $B$ ; and setting  $\sigma(a) = y$  yields a map  $\sigma : A_i \rightarrow B$  with  $\sigma(a_i) = b$ .

In the same way, let  $z$  be a non-zero element of  $B$ , and select relatively prime integers  $u$  and  $v$  with  $vz = ub$ . If  $p$  is a prime dividing  $v$ , say  $v = p^t v'$  with  $(p, v') = 1$ , then  $h_p^B(b) \geq t$ . But then  $h_p^G(\alpha(a_i)) \geq n_p = h_p^B(x) = h_p^B(b) \geq t$  unless  $p \in \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ . For  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , we have  $h_{p_k}^G(\alpha(a_i)) = s_k = h_{p_k}^B(b) \geq t$ . Hence,  $vy = u\alpha(a_i)$  has a solution in  $G$ . Setting  $\tau(z) = y$  defines a map  $\tau : B \rightarrow G$  with  $\tau(b) = \alpha(a_i)$ . Clearly,  $\alpha = \tau\sigma$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be incomparable types, and  $\tau_3 = \sup(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ . If  $A_i \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  with  $\text{type}(A_i) = \tau_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , then every  $A$ -generated torsion-free group  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable where  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus A_3$ .*

*Proof.* Since a group  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable if all its finitely  $A$ -generated subgroups are  $A$ -solvable, one may assume that  $G$  is finitely  $A$ -generated.

Let  $\pi : A^n \rightarrow G$  be an epimorphism, and consider  $G_i = \pi(A_i^n)$ . Then,  $G = G_1 + G_2 + G_3$ . Since  $\tau_3 = \sup(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ , we obtain  $G(\tau_3) = G(\tau_1) \cap G(\tau_2)$ . Moreover,  $G_i \subseteq S_{A_i}(G) = G(\tau_i)$ , and  $G_3 \subseteq G(\tau_3) \subseteq G(\tau_i)$  for all  $i$ . Hence,  $G = G_1 + G(\tau_2)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} G(\tau_1)/G(\tau_3) &= G(\tau_1)/G(\tau_1) \cap G(\tau_2) \cong [G(\tau_1) + G(\tau_2)] \\ &= G/G(\tau_2) = [G_1 + G(\tau_2)]/G(\tau_2) \cong G_1/G_1 \cap G(\tau_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G_1$  is a torsion-free image of the homogeneous completely decomposable group  $A_1^n$ , it is isomorphic a direct summand of  $A_1^n$ , and hence  $G_1 \cong A_1^s$  for some  $s < \omega$ . Because  $G(\tau_2)$  is a pure subgroup of  $G$ , we obtain that  $G_1 \cap G(\tau_2)$  is a pure subgroup of  $G_1$ , and hence a direct summand. Thus,  $G(\tau_1)/G(\tau_3) \cong G_1/G_1 \cap G(\tau_2) \cong A_1^{t_1}$  for some  $t_1 < \omega$ . By Baer's Lemma [9, Lemma 86.4],  $G(\tau_1) = U \oplus G(\tau_3)$  with  $U \cong A_1^{t_1}$ . In the same way,  $G(\tau_2) = V \oplus G(\tau_3)$  with  $V \cong A_2^{t_2}$  for some  $t_2 < \omega$ . Now, it is easy to see that  $G = U \oplus V \oplus G(\tau_3)$ . Since  $U \oplus V$  is  $A$ -projective, it remains to show that  $G(\tau_3)$  is  $A$ -solvable.

For this, consider an  $A_3$ -balanced sequence  $0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow A_3^{(I)} \xrightarrow{\pi} G(\tau_3) \rightarrow 0$  in which  $W = W(\tau_3)$  since  $G(\tau_3)$  is  $A_3$ -solvable. It remains to show that this sequence is  $A$ -balanced since  $W$  is  $A$ -generated and  $A_3^{(I)}$  is  $A$ -projective. Consider a map  $\phi : A \rightarrow G(\tau_3)$ , and define  $\phi_i = \phi|_{A_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . By Lemma 3, there are maps  $\sigma_i : A_i \rightarrow A_3$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 : A_3 \rightarrow G(\tau_3)$  such that  $\phi_i = \lambda_i \sigma_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Since the sequence is  $A_3$ -balanced, there are  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3 : A_3 \rightarrow A_3^{(I)}$  such that  $\pi \psi_i = \lambda_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\pi \psi_3 = \phi_3$ . Define a map  $\psi : A \rightarrow A_3^{(I)}$  by  $\psi(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \psi_1 \sigma_1(a_1) + \psi_2 \sigma_2(a_2) + \psi_3(a_3)$  for all  $a_i \in A_i$ . Then,  $\pi \psi(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \pi \psi_1 \sigma_1(a_1) + \pi \psi_2 \sigma_2(a_2) + \pi \psi_3(a_3) = \lambda_1 \sigma_1(a_1) + \lambda_2 \sigma_2(a_2) + \phi_3(a_3) = \phi_1(a_1) + \phi_2(a_2) + \phi_3(a_3) = \phi(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *There exist a torsion-free group  $A$  of rank 3 such that all torsion-free  $A$ -generated groups are  $A$ -solvable, although  $A$  is not flat as an  $E(A)$ -module.*

*Proof.* The existence of  $A$  is a direct consequence of Theorem 4. To see that this group is not flat as an  $E(A)$ -module, observe that  $A_3 \cong A_1 + A_2$ , and  $A_1 \cap A_2$  has type strictly less than  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . By Ulmer's Theorem,  $A$  is not flat as an  $E(A)$ -module.  $\square$

The group  $A$  also has the smallest possible rank for such an example since every torsion-free group of rank 2 is flat as a module over its endomorphism ring [5].

Furthermore, a torsion-free group  $A$  of finite rank which is fully faithful as an  $E(A)$ -module is homogeneous completely decomposable if and only if  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $A$ -solvable [1]. Using the group  $A$  from Theorem 4, we obtain immediately:

**Corollary 6.** *There exists a torsion-free group of rank 3 which is not homogeneous completely decomposable such that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $A$ -solvable.*

Finally, the author and Goeters showed in [4] that a torsion-free group  $A$  of finite rank is  $p$ -simple and a direct sum of irreducible Murley groups if  $E(A)$  is hereditary and every reduced  $A$ -generated group is  $A$ -solvable. However, this result fails without the assumption that  $E(A)$  is hereditary:

**Corollary 7.** *There exists a torsion-free group  $A$  of rank 3 such that all torsion-free  $A$ -generated groups are  $A$ -solvable, but  $A$  is not a finitely faithful  $S$ -group.*

*Proof.* An Abelian group is a finitely faithful  $S$ -group if  $r_p(E(A)) = r_p(A)^2$  for all primes  $p$ . In Theorem 4, choose  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  such that  $k_p, \ell_p < \infty$  for all primes  $p$ . Then,  $r_p(A) = 3$ . However,  $E(A)$  has  $p$ -rank 5 since  $\text{Hom}(A_1, A_2) = \text{Hom}(A_2, A_1) = 0$  and  $\text{Hom}(A_3, A_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Albrecht, U.:  $A$ -projective resolutions and an Azumaya theorem for a class of mixed abelian groups, Czechoslovak Math. J. 51(126) (2001), no. 1, 73–93.
- [2] Albrecht, U.: Endomorphism rings, tensor products and Fuchs' Problem 47, Contemporary Mathematics 130, (1992), 17–31.
- [3] Albrecht, U.: Endomorphism rings of faithfully flat abelian groups, Results in Math., 17, (1990), 179–201.
- [4] Albrecht, U., and Goeters, H. P., Strong  $S$ -groups; Colloquium Mathematicum 80 (1999); 97 - 105.
- [5] Arnold, D. M.: Finite Rank Torsion Free Abelian Groups and Rings, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 931, Springer-Verlag, (1982).

- [6] Arnold, D. M.: Endomorphism rings and subgroups of finite rank torsion-free Abelian groups, *Rocky Mt. J. Math.*, 12 (1982), 241–256.
- [7] Arnold, D.M., and Lady, L.; Endomorphism rings and direct sums of torsion-free abelian groups; *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 211 (1975); 225 - 237.
- [8] Arnold, D. M. and Murley, C. E.: Abelian groups  $A$  such that  $\text{Hom}(A, -)$  preserves direct sums of copies of  $A$ , *Pac. Jour. of Math.*, 56 (1975), 7-20.
- [9] Fuchs, L.: *Infinite abelian groups I,II*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1970, 1973).
- [10] F. Ulmer; A flatness criterion in Grothendieck categories, *Inv. Math.* 19 (1973), 331-336.
- [11] Warfield, R. B.: Extensions of torsion-free Abelian groups of finite rank, *Arch. Math.*, 23 (1972), 145–150.

**Received: April, 2009**