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Abstract

In order to facilitate the process of computational detection and analysis of tandem repeats in large DNA samples, a desktop application in C# was developed. This application works both with randomly provided content and real samples retrieved from the European Nucleotide Archive. In spite of tandem’s structure, details regarding the total number of tracked tandems, their range within the sample, the structure of the pattern sequence and the running time of the application are also printed, what underlines its comprehensiveness.
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Introduction

Repeated DNA motifs are commonly referred as tandem repeats. These repeated motifs can be found in coding and non-coding DNA. Depending of the length of the repeating unit, there are three major categories of tandem repeats: micro-satellites whose length is usually less than 6 base pairs, mini-satellites which are tandem repeats longer than micro-satellites but shorter than 100 base pairs and satellites which are categorized as tandems of maximum size (usually longer than 100 base pairs). Due to its highly expressed polymorphic nature, tandem repeats are the leading genetic markers nowadays.
When tandem repeats have to be computationally identified, we face up with two major problems. First of all the repeating unit is not known in advance and second each successive copy may differs its precursors in terms of base substitutions or insertions (deletions) of one or more elements.

Current methodologies that address this issue mutually differ in several aspects. There are methods such as [4] that search for only for exact repetitions, with modest practical implementation to real samples. More comprehensive approaches such as [3] also consider base substitutions within repetitions.

Some of the methods try to improve the computational performance, by limiting its application only to micro-satellites. A typical example for this is [5], while [6] can be applied to all types of repeats. As expected, the method of Rivals [5] has favorable computational performance compared to Sagot’s methodology [6], since tandem repeats of shorter repeating units are analyzed.

Benson’s program [1] called Tandem Repeats Finder has been the most exploited program for this purpose. This program allows identification of tandem repeats, but the output is filtered according to user’s preferences, what can be seen as a potential drawback. On the other hand, STAR [2] is able to detect tandems regardless any user-specified metrics.

Combinatorial approaches, such as [7] and methods that utilize the computational benefits of suffix tree [8] were also proposed. The methodology proposed by Sokol [7] does not impose limitations upon the types of tandems on which it can be applied, but this algorithm has unfavorable computational performances due to its computational nature. On the other hand [8] utilizes the benefits of the suffix trees in order to speed up and optimize the space requirements for this purpose.

For this purpose a desktop application in C# was developed. The software allows fast tracking of non-crossing tandem repeats. When tested on real samples, retrieved from the European Nucleotide Archive, this program tracked all repeats in few second on machine with moderate hardware performance. The structure of this software tool, the algorithm behind it and the analysis of the obtained results when applied to real samples are subjects of discussion in this paper.

**Software Tool**

The software tool (*Figure 1*) is able to detect exact or approximate non-crossing tandem repeats. In this version of the software indels (insertions/deletions) are not considered, but only limited number of substitutions per copy. If indels are considered, the computational demands would increase that may limit the application of the software on machines with moderate hardware performance.
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Figure 1. Interface of the software tool

There are three input parameters:

I. **The sample being analyzed for repeats**

II. **Template’s length** \((k)\)

III. **Maximum number of mismatches allowed per copy** \((m)\)

Regards the first input parameter (**the sample being analyzed for repeats**) this application offers two possibilities (**Figure 1, radio button controls**).

The first option is to work with real sample retrieved from the **European Nucleotide Archive (ENA)**. The ENA identifier of the sample has to be provided in the upper text control (**Figure 1**). The retrieval from the database is provided by a Web Client () object. The retrieved content in FASTA data format is stored in local textual file. The name of the retrieved sample and its content can be also shown (**Figure 1**). Another option is to work with randomly provided content (**Figure 2**).
The second input parameter (template’s length \( k \)) defines repetition’s length. For instance, if \( k = 3 \) one of the possible tandems is: ACA ACA ACA…, on the other hand if \( k = 4 \) ACAC ACAC ACAC … is an example for tandem, where the template ACAC of \( k = 4 \) nucleotides has two adjacent copies. However the software is able to detect not only exact repeats, but also approximate tandem repeats, where the number of mismatches per copy does not exceed the third input parameter \( m \). For instance if \( k = 4 \) and \( m = 2 \) and ACAC is taken as a template, ACAC AGAC AGAG … will be also reported as a repeat, since the second copy AGAC differs the previous ACAC only one element, while the second copy AGAG differs the pattern ACAC two nucleotides, which is the maximum allowed number of substitutions allowed per repetition.

The output results are printed in dataGridView control. For each tracked tandem, in this control we can see: its structure, the offset in the sample, its length, the template and the offset of the template in the sample.

After search, a cumulative analysis is also provided regards: the total number of tandem repeats, the running time of the application (in milliseconds) (Figure 1, bottom panel) and the variability in tandems’ lengths (Figure 3).
Algorithm

The algorithm that stands behind the software tool is given in addition. The analyzed sample, template’s length and the maximum number of base substitutions allowed per copy are the input parameters, while the output is the list of tracked tandems. The way in which this program operates is that each \( k - \)mer (word of \( k \) consecutive nucleotides) which can be extended at least \( k \) nucleotides to the left and to the right and which is not part of already tracked tandem is taken as a template. Each left and right positioned \( k - \)mer that does not differ from the template more than \( m \) nucleotides is merged with it. This continues until the first left and the first right \( k - \)mer that differ more than \( m \) nucleotides regards the template are identified.

For instance, if the random sample \( s = AAAAGTAGTTGTAAAACCTCCTC… \) is searched for tandems for \( k = 3 \) and \( m = 1 \), AGT is taken at first for template (AAAAGTAGTTGTAAAACCTCCTC…). Left-positioned extension is not possible, since its precursor AAA differs from AGT more than \( m = 1 \). Two right- positioned extensions are possible, because the following \( k - \)mers: AGT and TGT do not mismatch the template AGT more than \( m = 1 \) elements (AAAAGTAGTTGTAAAACCTCCTC…). Further right positioned extension is not possible (the next right-positioned \( k - \)mer AAA differs from the template AGT more than \( m = 1 \) element). Now the same procedure is repeated in the unexplored space AAACCTCCTC… (AAAAGTAGTTGTAAAACCTCCTC…), taking CTC as a next template.

**INPUT:** sample \( s \), template’s length \( k \), maximum number of mismatches \( m \)

**OUTPUT:** list of tandems \( L \)

**NOTATIONS:** \(| s | \) length of the sample
\( i=1; \) \( L=[]; \) //the list of tandem is empty at the beginning//

**START:**
\[ \text{template} = s_{k+i} s_{k+i+1} \ldots s_{2k+i-1}; \] //candidate for template//

\( \text{if (at least } k \text{ nucleotides precede and succeed template in } s) \} \)
\( \text{rightExtension=false; } \)
\( \text{leftExtension=false; } \)

//try right extension//
\( \text{templateEnd} = 2k + i - 1; \)
\( \text{rightOffset}= 2k + i - 1; \)

\( \text{while(}\text{template and} s_{\text{rightOffset}+1} s_{\text{rightOffset}+2} \ldots s_{\text{rightOffset}+k} \text{ differ at most } m \text{ elements and} \)
\( \text{rightOffset} \leq |s|) \)
\( \text{rightOffset}++ = k; \)
\( \text{if (rightOffset} = \text{templateEnd}) \)
//if there is at least one right adjacent copy with at most \( m \) mismatches//

\( \{ \)
\( \text{rightExtension=true; } \)
\( \text{TandemEnd} = \text{rightOffset}; \)
\( \} \)
\( \}
\( \} \)
\( \}
\( \}
\( \}
\( \}
\( \}
\( \}
\( \}
\( \}
\( \} \)
i++;
goto START
}
//end of right extension/

//try left extension/
templateBeginning=k+i;
leftOffset=k+i;
while(template and \( s_{leftOffset-k} \neq s_{leftOffset-(k-1)} \) ... \( s_{leftOffset-2} \neq s_{leftOffset-1} \) differ at most \( m \) elements and leftOffset\( \geq 1 \))
leftOffset=k;
if(leftOffset!=templateBeginning)
//if there is at least one left adjacent copy with at most \( m \) mismatches//
{
leftExtension=true;
TandemBeginning=leftOffset;
}
else
{
i++;
goto START
}
//end of left extension/

if (leftExtension=true or rightExtension=true)
Add \( s_{TandemBeginning} \ldots s_{TandemEnd} \) in the list of tandems \( L \)

Results and discussion

*Photobacterium profundum SS9 chromosome 2* (ENA ID: CR354532.1) containing approximately 2,2 millions nucleotides was searched for tandem repeats applying the software tool. Tests for different combinations of the input parameters \((k, m)\), \( k = 3, 4 \) and \( m = 0, 1, 2 \) were performed on Acer Aspire 5570Z computer with Genuine Intel CPU at 1,73 GHz and 2 GB RAM and the number of tracked tandems, tandems’ lengths and the running time of the application were recorder, Table 1.

More approximate \((m \neq 0)\) than exact tandem repeats \((m = 0)\) for each template’s length \((k = 3 \text{ and } k = 4)\) were found, Table 1, Figure 4. In terms of tandems’ length variability, longer tandems were tracked if more mismatches were allowed per copy, Table 1. The longest tracked tandems (39-bases and 56-bases) are found for \( k = 3, m = 2 \) and \( k = 4, m = 2 \). In most of the cases results were obtained in less than a half minute. As expected, the more mismatches were allowed per copy, more time was required to output the results.
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Table 1. Results of applying the software tool on *Photobacterium profundum SS9 chromosome 2*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>Number of Tandem Repeats</th>
<th>Tandems’ Lengths</th>
<th>Time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Photobacterium profundum SS9</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32162</td>
<td>6,9,12,15</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chromosome 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>141125</td>
<td>6,9,12,15,18,21,24,27,30,33,39</td>
<td></td>
<td>26,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2 237 943 bp)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>179779</td>
<td>6-105</td>
<td></td>
<td>31,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID: CR354532.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6636</td>
<td>8,12,20</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>53589</td>
<td>8,12,16,20,24</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>136600</td>
<td>8,12,16,20,24,28,32,26,40,44,48,52,56</td>
<td></td>
<td>23,479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Number of tandem repeats per $(k, m)$ combination

Conclusion

A desktop application in C# was developed for identification of tandem repeats in large DNA samples, such as chromosomes or even entire genomes. Due to application’s flexibility, the user is able to analyze randomly provided content or real samples retrieved from the European Nucleotide Archive based on sample’s ENA ID. Once the content has been downloaded, it can be analyzed for different $(k,m)$ input parameters. Obtained results showed that approximate and exact tandem repeats can be identified on computer with moderate hardware performance in less than a half a minute.
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