

# On the Existence of Positive Solutions of Nonlinear Elliptic Equations with a p-Laplace Operator Involving Critical Sobolev Exponents

Islam eddine Hadi

Copyright © 2018 Islam eddine Hadi. This article is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Abstract

Let  $\Omega$  be bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq p + 1$  we will study the existence of a solution  $u$  for the following non linear elliptic equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = u^q + f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega; \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

where  $q$  is the critical Sobolev embedding, i.e  $q + 1 = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ ,  $f(x, 0) = 0$  and  $f(x, u)$  is a lower-order perturbation of  $u$ .

**Keywords:** Existence of positive solutions; Elliptic equations; Critical Sobolev exponents

## 1 Introduction

By the variational formulation solution of the problem (0.1) correspond to critical points of the functional

$$\Psi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int |\nabla u|^p - \frac{1}{q+1} \int |u|^{q+1} - \int F(x, u)$$

where  $F(x, u) = \int_0^1 f(x, t) dt$  and  $q + 1 = \frac{Np}{N-p}$  is the limiting to Sobolev exponent for the embedding  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^{q+1}(\Omega)$  which is not compact. So the functional  $\Psi$  will not satisfy the Palais Smail condition. Hence as in H.Brezis and L.Nirenberg [5] for the linear case we use the result of Ambrosetti and Robinowiz without the Palais Smail condition to claim our main results.

## 2 Existence of Positive Solutions for $-\Delta_p u = u^q + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u$ in $\Omega$ , $u = 0$ in $\partial\Omega$ with $q + 1 = \frac{Np}{N-p}$

First we will deal with the typical case when  $f(x, u) = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u$ . with  $\lambda$  is a read constant. Indeed : Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq p + 1$ , be a bounded domain. Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = u^q + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega; \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

here  $q + 1 = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ ,  $\lambda$  is real constant and  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ .

Our main result shows the existence of a solution  $u$  satisfying (2.1). We treat separately the cases  $N < p^2$  and  $N \geq p^2$ . We denote by  $\lambda_1$  the first eigenvalue of  $-\Delta_p$  with Dirichlet conditions.

### 2.1 The case $N \geq p^2$

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume  $N \geq p^2$ . Then for every  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$  there exists a solution of (2.1).*

**Remark 2.1.** *There is no solution of (2.1) when  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ . In fact, let  $v$  be the eigenfunction of  $-\Delta_p$  corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  with  $u_1 > 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Suppose  $u$  is a solution of (2.1). Then we have*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = u^q + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\Delta_p v = \lambda_1|v|^{p-2}v & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

and we can rewrite the above problem as:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda m|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\Delta_p v = \lambda_1|v|^{p-2}v & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

with the weight  $m = 1 + \frac{u^q}{\lambda u^{p-1}}$ .

Let  $u$  (respectively  $v$ ) be the first eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1(\Omega, m)$  (respectively,  $\lambda_1(\Omega, m_0)$ ). It is well known that  $u$  and  $v$  don't change sign in  $\Omega$  (see [3]), so  $(u, v) \in D_I$  and  $I(u, v) \geq 0$  where

$$D_I = \{(u_1, u_2) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : u_i \text{ and } \frac{u_i}{u_j} \in L^\infty(\Omega) \text{ for } i, j = 1, 2\},$$

and

$$I(u, v) = \langle -\Delta_p u, \frac{u^p - v^p}{u^{p-1}} \rangle + \langle -\Delta_p v, \frac{v^p - u^p}{v^{p-1}} \rangle$$

with

$$\langle -\Delta_p u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx \quad \text{for all } u, v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

It is clear that we have

$$\lambda_1(\Omega, m) \leq \lambda_1(\Omega, m_0).$$

If we have  $\lambda_1(\Omega, m) \leq \lambda_1(\Omega, m_0) = \lambda_1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} I(u, v) &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{u^p - v^p}{u^{p-1}} \right) dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{v^p - u^p}{v^{p-1}} \right) dx \\ &= \lambda \int_{\Omega} m |u|^{p-2} u \cdot \left( \frac{u^p - v^p}{u^{p-1}} \right) dx + \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p-2} v \cdot \left( \frac{v^p - u^p}{v^{p-1}} \right) dx \\ &= \lambda \int_{\Omega} m (u^p - v^p) dx + \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} (v^p - u^p) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\lambda m - \lambda_1) (v^p - u^p) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The function :

$$f(\alpha) = I(\alpha u, v),$$

is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $v$  is strictly positive in  $\Omega$ . Since  $\lambda m(x) > \lambda_1$ , we have

$$f(0) = - \int_{\Omega} (\lambda m - \lambda_1) v^p dx < 0,$$

so for  $\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2}f(0) > 0$ , there exists  $\mu > 0$  such that for every  $\alpha < \mu$  we have,

$$I(\alpha u, v) = f(\alpha) < f(0) < 0;$$

which is false, since  $I(\alpha u, v) \geq 0$  (see [3]) for every  $(u, v) \in D_1$  and  $\alpha > 0$ .

**Remark 2.2.** *There is no solution of (2.1) if  $\Omega$  is a (smooth) starshaped domain. This follows from Pohozaevs identity, which is : Suppose  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous with primitive  $G(u) = \int_0^u g(v)dv$  and let  $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  be a solution of the equation*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p(u) = g(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

in a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ . Then

$$\frac{n-p}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - n \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^p x \cdot \nu ds = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\nu$  denotes the exterior unit normal.  
 In particular, when  $g(u) = u^q + \lambda u^{p-1}$  we deduce

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} u^p = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} (x, \nu) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^p.$$

If  $\Omega$  is starshaped about the origin we have  $(x, \nu) > 0$  a.e. on  $\partial\Omega$ . When  $\lambda < 0$  it follows immediately that  $u = 0$ . When  $\lambda = 0$  we deduce that  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and then by (2.1) we have

$$0 = - \int_{\Omega} \Delta_p u = \int_{\Omega} u^q,$$

so  $u = 0$ .

To prove theorem 2.1 we need some preliminaries and lemmas. Set

$$S_{\lambda} = \inf_A \{ \|\nabla u\|_p^p - \lambda \|u\|_p^p \} \tag{2.3}$$

with  $A = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}, \|u\|_{q+1} = 1\}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus

$$S_0 = S = \inf_A \{ \|\nabla u\|_p^p \} \tag{2.4}$$

corresponds to the best constant for the Sobolev embedding  $W_0^{1,p} \subset L_{\Omega}^{q+1}$ ,  $q + 1 = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ . We will discuss some remarks concerning the best Sobolev constant  $S$  :

(a)  $S$  is independent of  $\Omega$  and depends only on  $N$  since the ratio  $\frac{\|\nabla u\|_p}{\|u\|_{q+1}}$  with  $q + 1 = \frac{Np}{N-p}$  is invariant under scaling for any  $u \in W_0^{1,p}$  i.e. the ratio  $\frac{\|\nabla u_k\|_p}{\|u_k\|_{q+1}}$  is independent of any  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $u_k(x) = u(kx)$ .

(b) The infimum in (2.4) is never achieved when  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain since otherwise it would contradict Pohozaev's result.

(c) When  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ , the infimum in (2.4) is achieved by the function (see [9]):

$$U(x) = C(1 + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{-\frac{(N-p)}{p}} \tag{2.5}$$

or (after scaling) by any of the functions

$$U(x) = C_{\epsilon}(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{-\frac{(N-p)}{p}} \tag{2.6}$$

where  $C$  and  $C_{\epsilon}$  are normalization constants

**Lemma 2.1.** *We have*

$$S_{\lambda} < S \text{ for all } \lambda > 0.$$

**Proof:**

Our proof here is an adaptation of an argument due to H. Brezis L. Nirenberg (see[5]) and Aubin (see [2]).

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $0 \in \Omega$ . We need to estimate the ratio

$$Q_\lambda(u) = \frac{\|\nabla u\|_p^p - \lambda\|u\|_p^p}{\|u\|_{q+1}^p} \tag{2.7}$$

with

$$u(x) = u_\epsilon(x) = \frac{\varphi(x)}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{-(N-p)}{p}}} \tag{2.8}$$

where  $\varphi \in D_+(\Omega)$  is a fixed cut off function such that  $\varphi(x) = 1$  for  $x$  in some neighborhood of 0 and

$$D_+(\Omega) = \{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \text{ with } \varphi \geq 0\},$$

We claim that, as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\|\nabla u_\epsilon\|_p^p = \frac{K_1}{\epsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}} + O(1) \tag{2.9}$$

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_{q+1}^p = \frac{K_2}{\epsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}} + O(\epsilon) \tag{2.10}$$

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_p^p = \begin{cases} \frac{K_3}{\epsilon^{\frac{N-p^2}{p-1}}} + O(1) & \text{if } N > p^2 \\ K_3|\log \epsilon| + O(1) & \text{if } N = p^2; \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

where  $K_1, K_2$  and  $K_3$  denote positive constants which depend only on the dimension  $N$  and  $\frac{K_1}{K_2} = S$ .

Proof of (2.9): We have

$$\nabla u_\epsilon = \frac{\nabla \varphi(x)}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{(N-p)}{p}}} - \left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right) \frac{\varphi(x)|x|^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{N}{p}}}$$

Since  $\varphi = 1$  near 0, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p dx &= \left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_\Omega \frac{|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} + O(1) \\ &= \left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} + O(1) \\ &= \frac{K_1}{\epsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}} + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_1 = \left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} = \|\nabla U\|_p^p$$

Proof of (2.10): We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |u_\epsilon|^{q+1} dx &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi(x)^{q+1}}{(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi(x)^{q+1} - 1}{(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dx \\ &= O(1) + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dx \\ &= \frac{K'_2}{\epsilon^{\frac{N}{p-1}}} + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K'_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{dx}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} = \|U\|_{q+1}^{q+1}$$

so(2.9) follows with  $K_2 = \|U\|_{q+1}^p$ , where  $U$  defined in (2.4). Note  $\frac{K_1}{K_2} = S$ .

Proof of (2.11): We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |u_\epsilon|^p dx &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi(x)^p - 1}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{N-p}} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{N-p}} dx \\ &= O(1) + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{N-p}} dx \end{aligned}$$

When  $N > p^2$  we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{N-p}} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{N-p}} dx + O(1)$$

so (2.11) follows with

$$K_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{N-p}} dx + O(1)$$

When  $N = p^2$ , we have for a constant  $R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{N-p}} &\leq \int_{|x| \leq R} \frac{dx}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{N-p}} \\ &\leq \omega \int_0^R \frac{r^{p^2-1} dr}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{N-p}} \\ &\leq \omega |\log \epsilon| + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega$  is the area of  $S^{p^2-1}$  ; thus (2.11) follows with  $K_3 = \omega$ . Combining(2.9),(2.10) , and (2.11), we obtain

$$Q_\lambda(u_\epsilon) = \begin{cases} S + O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}) - \lambda \frac{K_3}{K_2} \epsilon^p & \text{if } N > p^2 \\ S + O(\epsilon^p) - \lambda \frac{K_3}{K_2} \epsilon^p |\log \epsilon| & \text{if } N = p^2; \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

In all cases we deduce that  $Q_\lambda(u_\epsilon) < S$  provided  $\epsilon > 0$  is small enough. ■

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $S < S$ , the infimum in (2.3) is achieved. See(E.Lieb [10], [11]) and (H. Brezis -L. Nirenberg [5]).*

**Proof:**

Let  $(u_i) \subset W_0^{1,p}$  be a minimizing sequence for (2.3), that is,

$$\|u_i\|^{q+1} = 1, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\|\nabla u_i\|_p^p - \lambda \|u_i\|_p^p = S_\lambda + o(1) \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.14)$$

Since  $u_i$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}$  we may extract a subsequence denoted also by  $u_i$  such that  $u_i$  converges to  $u$  weakly in  $W_0^{1,p}$ , strongly in  $L^p$  and a.e. on  $\Omega$ , with  $\|u\|_{q+1} \leq 1$ . Set  $v_i = u_i u$ , so that  $v_j$  converges to 0 weakly in  $W_0^{1,p}$  and a.e. on  $\Omega$ . By (2.4) and (2.13) we have  $\|\nabla u_i\|_p^p \geq S$  . From (2.14) it follows that  $\lambda \|u\|_p^p \geq S S > 0$  and therefore  $u$  is not null. Using (2.14) we get

$$\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v_i\|_p^p - \lambda \|u_i\|_p^p = S_\lambda + o(1) \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.15)$$

since  $v_i$  converges weakly to 0 in  $W_0^{1,p}$  . On the other hand, we deduce from a result of Brezis and Lieb [6] that

$$\|u + v_i\|_{q+1}^{q+1} = \|u\|_{q+1}^{q+1} + \|v_i\|_{q+1}^{q+1} + o(1)$$

Thus by (2.13) we get

$$1 = \|u\|_{q+1}^{q+1} + \|v_i\|_{q+1}^{q+1} + o(1)$$

and therefore since  $p < q + 1$

$$1 \leq \|u\|_{q+1}^p + \|v_i\|_{q+1}^p + o(1)$$

which leads to

$$1 \leq \|u\|_{q+1}^p + \frac{1}{S} \|\nabla v_i\|_p^p + o(1). \quad (2.16)$$

We claim that

$$\|\nabla u\|_p^p - \lambda \|u\|_p^p \leq S_\lambda \|u\|_{q+1}^p, \quad (2.17)$$

this will conclude the proof of lemma 2.2 since  $u$  is not null. We distinguish two cases:

(a)  $S > 0$  (i.e.,  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ ),

(b)  $S \leq 0$  (i.e.,  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ ).

In case (a) we deduce from (2.16) that

$$S_\lambda \leq S_\lambda \|u\|_{q+1}^p + \frac{S_\lambda}{S} \|\nabla v_i\|_p^p + o(1) \tag{2.18}$$

Combining (2.15) and (2.18) we obtain (2.17).

In case (b) we have  $S_\lambda \leq S_\lambda \|u\|_{q+1}^p$  since  $\|u\|_{q+1} \leq 1$ . We deduce, again, (2.17) from (2.15). ■

**Remark 2.3.** *In their paper (see[5]), H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg mentioned that F. Browder pointed out that this argument proves more i.e. that  $v_i \rightarrow 0$  strongly in  $H_0^1$  for  $p = 2$ . Here  $v_i \rightarrow 0$  strongly in  $W_0^{1,p}$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 2.1:**

Let  $u \in W_0^{1,p}$  be given by Lemma 2.2 that is,

$$\|u\|_{q+1} = 1 \text{ and } \|\nabla u\|_p^p - \lambda \|u\|_p^p = S_\lambda$$

We may as well assume that  $u \geq 0$  on  $\Omega$  (otherwise we replace  $u$  by  $|u|$ ). Since  $u$  is a minimizer for (2.3) we obtain a Lagrange multiplies  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$-\Delta_p u - \lambda |u|^{p-2} u = \mu u^q \text{ on } \Omega$$

In fact,  $\mu = S$ , and  $S > 0$  since  $\lambda < \lambda_1$ . It follows that  $ku$  satisfies (1.1) for some appropriate constant  $k > 0$  ( $k = S^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ ); note that  $u > 0$  on  $\Omega$  by the strong maximum principle see (J. L. Vazquez [12]). ■

**Remark 2.4.** *In their paper, H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg gave another proof of Theorem 2.1 which did not involve Lemma 2.2. Here we try to do the same argument.*

Consider

$$\mu_r = \inf_{A_r} \{ \|\nabla u\|_p^p - \lambda \|u\|_p^p \} \text{ for } r < q \tag{2.19}$$

where  $A_r = \{u \in W_0^{1,p} \text{ with } \|u\|_{r+1} = 1\}$ , Notice  $\lim_{r \rightarrow q} \mu_r = S_\lambda$ . Moreover since the embedding  $(W_0^{1,p} \subset L^{r+1})$  is compact, the infimum in (2.19) is achieved at some  $u_r \in W_0^{1,p}$  such that  $u_r \geq 0$  on  $\Omega$ ,  $\|u_r\|_{r+1} = 1$  and (see also P. Drabec and S. I. Pohozaev [8])

$$-\Delta_p u_r - \lambda |u_r|^{p-2} u_r = \mu_r u_r^r. \tag{2.20}$$



It follows that

$$S\|u_r\|_{q+1}^p - \lambda\|u_r\|_p^p \leq \|\nabla u_r\|_p^p - \lambda\|u_r\|_p^p = \mu_r, \tag{2.21}$$

As  $r \rightarrow q$  (through a subsequence),  $u_r$  converge weakly in  $W_0^{1,p}$  to  $u$ . Passing to the limit in (2.21) we obtain

$$S = \lambda\|u\|_p^p \leq S_\lambda$$

and thus (by Lemma 2.1),  $u$  is not identically null. Finally, we deduce from (2.20) that  $u$  satisfies

$$-\Delta_p u - \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = S_\lambda u_q.$$

Note  $ku$  with  $(k = S_\lambda^{\frac{1}{q-1}})$  as a solution of (2.2).

**Remark 2.5.** *Theorem 2.1 establishes the existence of solutions of the problem 1.1 when  $N \geq p^2$ . Now we turn to the question when  $p < N < p^2$ .*

*When  $N$  is an integer and if we take  $1 < p < 2$ , we distinguish three cases:*

- (a) *When  $1 < p^2 \leq 2$ , there is no integer  $N$  such that  $p < N < p^2$  i.e. Theorem 2.1 holds for any dimension  $N$  when  $1 < p^2 \leq 2$ .*
- (b) *When  $2 < p^2 \leq 3$ , there is one integer  $N = 2$  such that  $p < N < p^2$ .*
- (c) *When  $3 < p^2 \leq 4$ , there are two integers  $N = 2, 3$  such that  $p < N < p^2$ . When  $p > 2$ , there are several integers such that  $p < N < p^2$ .*

## 2.2 The case $p < N < p^2$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Assume  $\Omega$  is a ball. There exists a solution of (2.2) if and only if  $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{2^p}\lambda_1, \lambda_1)$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *We have*

$$S_\lambda < S \text{ for all } \lambda > \frac{1}{2^p}\lambda_1$$

**Proof:**

As before, we will estimate the ratio

$$Q_\lambda(u) = \frac{\|\nabla u\|_p^p - \lambda\|u\|_p^p}{\|u\|_{q+1}^p}$$

with

$$u(x) = u_r(r) = \frac{\varphi(r)}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |r|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{N-p}{p}}} \quad r = |x|, \quad \epsilon > 0$$

where  $\varphi$  is a fixed smooth function such that  $\varphi(0) = 1, \varphi(1) = 0, \varphi'(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(r) \geq 0$  for all  $r \in (0, 1)$ . We claim that , as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\|\nabla u_\epsilon\|_p^p = \frac{K_1}{\epsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}} + \omega \int_0^1 r^\alpha |\varphi'(r)|^p dr + O(\epsilon^{\frac{-N-p}{p-1}}) \tag{2.22}$$

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_{q+1}^p = \frac{K_1}{\epsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}} + O(\epsilon^{\frac{-N-p}{p-1}}) \tag{2.23}$$

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_p^p = \omega \int_0^1 r^\alpha |\varphi(r)|^p dr + O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}) \tag{2.24}$$

where  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are positive constants such that  $\frac{K_1}{K_2} = S, \alpha = \frac{p^2-N-p+1}{p-1}$  and  $\omega$  is the area of  $S^{N-1}$ . Proof of (2.22). We have

$$\nabla u_\epsilon = \frac{\varphi'(r)}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |r|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{(N-p)}{p}}} - \frac{\varphi(r)r^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |r|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{N}{p}}}$$

And thus

$$\|\nabla u_\epsilon\|_p^p = \omega \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\varphi'(r)r^{\frac{N-1}{p}}}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |r|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{(N-p)}{p}}} - \left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right) \frac{\varphi(r)r^{\frac{1}{p-1} + \frac{N-1}{p}}}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |r|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{N}{p}}} \right|^p dr$$

Using the fact that  $\varphi(0) = 1$  and  $\varphi'(0) = 0$  we obtain

$$\frac{\varphi'(r)r^{\frac{N-1}{p}}}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |r|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{(N-p)}{p}}} = r^\alpha \varphi'(r) + O(\epsilon), \tag{2.25}$$

with  $\alpha = \frac{p^2-N-p+1}{p-1}$

$$\frac{\varphi(r)r^{\frac{N(p-1)+1}{p(p-1)}}}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |r|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{(N)}{p}}} = \frac{r^{\frac{N(p-1)+1}{p(p-1)}}}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |r|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{(N)}{p}}} + O(1), \tag{2.26}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}} \|\nabla u_\epsilon\|_p^p = \omega \left| \epsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \int_0^1 r^\alpha |\varphi'(r)|^p dr + \left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^p \epsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |r|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} r^{N-1} dr \right| + O(\epsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}). \tag{2.27}$$

Also, we have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{r^{N-1+\frac{p}{p-1}}}{(\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |r|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dr = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}} \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{N-1+\frac{p}{p-1}}}{(1 + s^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} ds + O(1) \tag{2.28}$$

Combining (2.26)-(2.28) we obtain (2.23) with

$$K_1 = \left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^p \omega \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{N-1+\frac{p}{p-1}}}{(1+s^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} ds.$$

We note that  $K_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U|^p dx$ , where  $U(x) = \frac{1}{(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{(N-p)}{p}}}$  ■

PROOF OF (2.22): We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{q+1}^{q+1} &= \omega \int_0^1 \frac{\varphi(r)^{q+1} r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + r^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dr \\ &= \omega \int_0^1 \frac{[\varphi(r)^{q+1} - 1] r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + r^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dr + \omega \int_0^1 \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + r^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dr \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi(0) = 1$  and  $\varphi'(0) = 0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq C \int_0^1 \frac{r^{N+1}}{(\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + r^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dr = O(\varepsilon^{1-\frac{Np}{p-1}}) \\ |I_2| &= \frac{\omega}{\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{p-1}}} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + r^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dr + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we find

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{q+1}^{q+1} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{p-1}}} \left[ \omega \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + r^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dr + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}) \right]$$

and (2.22) follows with

$$K_2 = \left[ \omega \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + r^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dr \right]^{\frac{p}{q+1}} = \|U\|_{q+1}^p.$$

PROOF OF (2.23): We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\varepsilon\|_p^p &= \omega \int_0^1 \frac{|\varphi(r)|^p r^{N-1}}{(\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + r^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{N-p}} dr \\ &= \omega \int_0^1 r^\alpha |\varphi(r)|^p dr + O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.21), (2.22) and (2.23) we obtain

$$\mathcal{Q}_\lambda(u_\varepsilon) = S + \varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \frac{\omega}{K_2} \int_0^1 r^\alpha [|\varphi(r)|^p - \lambda |\varphi'(r)|^p] dr + O(1).$$

To choose a function  $\varphi$  such that  $\int_0^1 r^\alpha [|\varphi'(r)|^p - \frac{1}{2^p} \lambda_1 |\varphi(r)|^p] dr = 0$  we consider the following problem with Neuman conditions:

$$\begin{cases} -(r^\alpha |u'|^{p-2} u')' = \lambda_1 r^\alpha |u|^{p-2} u & \text{in } ]0, 1[ \\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that the first eigenfunction associated to the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  change the sign and is antisymmetric (odd) i.e.  $u(r) = -u(1-r)$ . Now take  $\varphi(r) = \frac{1}{k} u(\frac{1}{2}r)$ ,  $k$  is a constant such that  $u(0) = k$ . Since the above problem is  $p$ -homogeneous, the function  $\frac{1}{k} u(r)$  is a solution of this problem, still denoted  $u(r)$ . Using  $u'(0) = 0$  and  $u(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 r^\alpha |\varphi'(r)|^p dr &= \frac{1}{2^p} \int_0^1 r^\alpha |u'(\frac{1}{2}r)|^p dr \\ &= \frac{2^\alpha}{2^{p-1}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} t^\alpha |u'(t)|^p dt \\ &= \frac{2^\alpha}{2^{p-1}} \lambda_1 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} t^\alpha |u(t)|^p dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2^p} \lambda_1 \int_0^1 r^\alpha |\varphi(r)|^p dr \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{Q}_\lambda(u_\varepsilon) = S + \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2^p} - \lambda\right) C \varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}$$

for some positive constant  $C$ .

### 3 Existence of Positive Solution for $-\Delta_p u = u^q + f(x, u)$ in $\Omega$ , $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ where $q + 1 = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ and $f(x, u)$ is a Lower-Order Perturbation.

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N > p$ , be a bounded domain and the function  $f(x, u) : \Omega \times [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable in  $x$ , continuous in  $u$  and that  $\sup_{\{x \in \Omega, 0 \leq u \leq M\}} |f(x, u)| < \infty$  for every  $M > 0$ .

Let  $q + 1 = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ . We assume that  $f(x, 0) = 0$  and that  $f$  is a lower-order perturbation of  $u^q$ , that is,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x, u)}{u^q} = 0.$$

Our main result is the existence of a function  $u$  satisfying:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = u^q + f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

We assume that  $f(x, u)$  is as follows

$$f(x, u) = a(x)|u|^{p-2}u + g(x, u), \quad (3.2)$$

with

$$a(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad (3.3)$$

$$g(x, u) = o(u^{p-1}) \quad u \rightarrow 0^+, \text{ uniformly in } x, \quad (3.4)$$

$$g(x, u) = o(u^q) \quad u \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ uniformly in } x, \quad (3.5)$$

Moreover we assume that the operator  $T(u) = -\Delta_p u - a(x)|u|^{p-2}u$  has its least eigenvalue positive that is

$$\int |\nabla \Phi|^p - a\Phi^p \geq \alpha \int |\Phi|^p \text{ for all } \Phi \in W_0^{1,p} \quad \alpha > 0 \quad (3.6)$$

or equivalently

$$\int |\nabla \Phi|^p - a\Phi^p \geq \alpha' \int |\nabla \Phi|^p \text{ for all } \Phi \in W_0^{1,p} \quad \alpha' > 0. \quad (3.6')$$

Now since we are looking for a positive solution  $u$ , the values of  $f(x, u)$  for  $u < 0$  are irrelevant so we take

$$f(x, u) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \Omega, \quad u \leq 0.$$

Set

$$F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, t)dt \text{ for } x \in \Omega, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\Psi(u) = \int \left[ \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p - \frac{1}{q+1} |u|^{q+1} - F(x, u) \right] \text{ for } u \in W_0^{1,p}.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume (3.2)-(3.6) hold and, moreover, suppose that there exists some  $v_0 \in W_0^{1,p}$ ,  $v_0 \geq 0$  on  $\Omega$ ,  $v_0$  not identically null such that*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \Psi(tv_0) < \frac{1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{p}}. \quad (3.7)$$

*Then, the problem (3.1) has a solution.*

**Remark 3.1.** *In the case  $f(x, u) = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u$ , assumption (3.6) corresponds to  $\lambda < \lambda_1$  while the assumption (3.7) is equivalent to  $S_\lambda < S$ . Indeed*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \Psi(tv_0) = \frac{1}{N} \left[ \frac{A}{B^{\frac{p}{q+1}}} \right]^{\frac{N}{p}} \quad (3.8)$$

*with  $A = \|\nabla v_0\|_p^p - \lambda \|v_0\|_p^p$  and  $B = \|v_0\|_{q+1}^{q+1}$ .*

As in [5], the proof of Theorem 3.1 is based on a variant of the mountain pass Theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz without (PS) condition (see [1]):

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\Phi$  be a  $C^1$  function on a Banach space  $E$ . Suppose there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of 0 in  $E$  and a constant  $\rho$  such that  $\Phi(u) \geq \rho$  for every  $u$  in the boundary of  $U$ ,*

$$\Phi(0) < \rho \text{ and } \Phi(v) < \rho \text{ for some } v \notin U. \quad (3.9)$$

Set

$$c = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{w \in P} \Phi(w) \geq \rho, \quad (3.10)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the class of continuous paths joining 0 to  $v$ . Then there is a sequence  $u_j$  in  $E$  such that

$$\Phi(u_j) \rightarrow c \text{ and } \Phi'(u_j) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } E^* \quad (3.11)$$

where  $E^*$  is the dual of the space  $E$ .

**Proof:**

Proof of Theorem 3.1

We use the argument of H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg (see Theorem 2.1 in [5]) but here we define on  $E = W_0^{1,p}$  the following function:

$$\Phi(u) = \int \left[ \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{1}{p} \mu u^p - \frac{1}{q+1} (u^+)^{q+1} - F(x, u^+) - \frac{1}{p} \mu (u^+)^p \right].$$

From (3.4) and (3.5) we find for all  $u \in W_0^{1,p}$ , and for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough

$$\Phi(u) = \int \left[ \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p - \frac{1}{p} a(x) (u^+)^p - \frac{1}{p} \varepsilon (u^+)^p - \frac{C+1}{q+1} (u^+)^{q+1} \right],$$

where  $C$  is some constant depending on  $\varepsilon$ .

Hence there exists constants  $k > 0$  and  $C'$  such that

$$\Phi(u) \geq k \|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}}^p - C' \|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}}^{q+1} \text{ for all } u \in W_0^{1,p},$$

which implies (3.9) with some  $\rho > 0$  (and  $U$  a small ball in  $W_0^{1,p}$ ).

Also, by (3.5) we deduce that for any  $u \in W_0^{1,p}$ ,  $u \geq 0$ ,  $u \not\equiv 0$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi(tu) = -\infty.$$

Thus, there are many  $v$  satisfying (3.9). We take the special  $v = t_0 v_0$  where  $v_0$  is given by (3.8) and  $t_0 > 0$  is chosen large enough so that  $v \notin U$  and  $\Phi(v) \leq 0$ . It follows from (3.8) that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \Phi(tv) < \frac{1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{p}}$$

and therefore we have

$$c < \frac{1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{p}}. \tag{3.12}$$

Theorem 2.2 guarantees that there exists a sequence  $(u_j)$  in  $W_0^{1,p}$  such that  $\Phi(u_j) \rightarrow c$  and  $\Phi'(u_j) \rightarrow 0$  in  $W_0^{1,p}$ , that is,

$$\int \left[ \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u_j|^p + \frac{1}{p} \mu u_j^p - \frac{1}{q+1} (u_j^+)^{q+1} - F(x, u_j^+) - \frac{1}{p} \mu (u_j^+)^p \right] = c + o(1), \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$-\Delta_p u_j + \mu |u_j|^{p-2} u_j - (u_j^+)^q - f(x, u_j^+) - \mu |u_j^+|^{p-1} = \xi_j \tag{3.14}$$

with  $\xi_j \rightarrow 0$  in  $W^{-1,p}$ . We claim that

$$\|u_j\|_{W_0^{1,p}} \leq C. \tag{3.15}$$

Indeed, multiplying (3.14) by  $u_j$  we have

$$\int [|\nabla u_j|^p + \mu u_j^p - (u_j^+)^{q+1} - f(x, u_j^+) u_j^+ - \mu (u_j^+)^p] = \langle \xi_j, u_j \rangle. \tag{3.16}$$

Taking (3.13)  $- \frac{1}{p}$ (3.16) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{N} \int (u_j)^{q+1} \leq \int [F(x, u_j^+) - \frac{1}{p} f(x, u_j^+) u_j^+] + c + o(1) + \|\xi_j\|_{W^{-1,p}} \|u_j\|_{W_0^{1,p}}. \tag{3.17}$$

On the other hand, from (3.5) we have for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  that there exists a  $C$  such that

$$|f(x, u)| \leq \varepsilon u^q + C \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \quad \text{and for all } u \geq 0, \tag{3.18}$$

and so

$$|F(x, u)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{q+1} u^{q+1} + C \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \quad \text{and for all } u \geq 0. \tag{3.18'}$$

We conclude with  $\varepsilon$  small enough that

$$\int (u_j^+)^{q+1} \leq C + C \|u_j\|_{W_0^{1,p}}. \tag{3.19}$$

Combining (3.13) and (3.19) we obtain (3.15).

Since the sequence  $(u_j)_j$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}$ , we can extract a subsequence denoted also by  $(u_j)_j$ , such that

$$u_j \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } W_0^{1,p},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u_j &\rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^r \quad \text{for all } r < q + 1, \\
u_j &\rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega, \\
(u_j^+)^q &\rightharpoonup (u^+)^q \quad \text{weakly in } (L^{q+1})^*, \\
f(x, u_j^+) &\rightharpoonup f(x, u^+) \quad \text{weakly in } (L^{q+1})^*.
\end{aligned}$$

By passing to the limit in (3.14) we obtain

$$-\Delta_p u + \mu|u|^{p-2}u = (u^+)^q + f(x, u^+) + \mu|u^+|^{p-1} \quad \text{in } W^{-1,p}. \quad (3.20)$$

We deduce that the right-hand side is positive, and by the maximum principle see (Damascelli [7]), that  $u \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$  and therefore  $u$  satisfies

$$-\Delta_p u = u^q + f(x, u). \quad (3.21)$$

We shall now verify that  $u \not\equiv 0$  which would then imply  $u > 0$  in  $\Omega$  by the strong maximum principle.

Suppose that  $u \equiv 0$ . Then by (3.18), (3.18'), the embedding  $W_0^{1,p} \subset L^{q+1}$  and  $u_j \rightarrow 0$  strongly in  $L^p$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int f(x, u_j^+) u_j^+ &\rightarrow 0, \\
\int F(x, u_j^+) &\rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

so we may extract another subsequence still denoted  $u_j$  such that

$$\int |\nabla u_j|^p \rightarrow l$$

for some constant  $l \geq 0$ . Passing to the limit in (3.16) and then in (3.13) we get

$$\int (u^+)^{q+1} \rightarrow l \quad (3.22)$$

$$\frac{1}{N} l = c. \quad (3.23)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|\nabla u_j\|_p^p \geq S \|u_j\|_{q+1}^p \geq S \|u_j^+\|_{q+1}^p$$

and using (3.21) and (3.22) we find in the limit

$$l \geq S l^{\frac{p}{q+1}}. \quad (3.24)$$



Finally, by (3.23) and (3.24) we conclude that

$$c \geq \frac{1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{p}}, \quad (3.25)$$

which contradicts (3.12). Thus  $u \not\equiv 0$ .

VERIFICATION OF (3.26) and (3.26'):

We consider again the sequence  $u_j$  as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. So from the fact that  $f(x, u)$  is lower order than  $u^q$ , that the sequence  $(u_j)$  is bounded in  $L^{q+1}$  with  $u_j \rightarrow u$  a.e. on  $\Omega$  and the Lebesgue Convergence we obtain:

$$\int f(x, u_j^+) u_j^+ \rightarrow \int f(x, u^+) u^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \int F(x, u_j^+) \rightarrow \int F(x, u). \quad (3.29)$$

We set  $v_j = u_j - u$ , so that

$$\int |\nabla u_j|^p = \int |\nabla u|^p + \int |\nabla v_j|^p + o(1), \quad (3.30)$$

and from [6] we deduce that

$$\int (u_j^+)^{q+1} = \int (u)^{q+1} + \int (v_j^+)^{q+1} + o(1). \quad (3.31)$$

Combining (3.13) and (3.16) with (3.29), (3.30) and (3.31) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) + \int \left[ \frac{1}{p} |\nabla v_j|^p - \frac{1}{q+1} (v_j^+)^{q+1} \right] &= c + o(1), \\ \int [|\nabla u|^p - u^{q+1} - f(x, u)u] + \int [|\nabla v_j|^p - (v_j^+)^{q+1}] &= o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Which reduced by (3.28) to

$$\int |\nabla v_j|^p = \int (v_j^+)^{q+1} + o(1). \quad (3.33)$$

Combining the previous equalities we get

$$\Phi(u) + \frac{1}{N} \int [|\nabla v_j|^p] = c + o(1). \quad (3.34)$$

In the end, we may assume for a subsequence of  $v_j$  denoted also  $v_j$  that

$$\int |\nabla v_j|^p \rightarrow k \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int (v_j^+)^{q+1} \rightarrow k. \quad (3.35)$$

Sobolev's inequality leads to  $k \geq S k^{\frac{p}{q+1}}$ . Thus we have, either  $k = 0$ , or  $k \geq S^{\frac{N}{p}}$ , which proves (3.26) and (3.26'). ■

**Remark 3.2.** As in [5], we obtain an additional property that the solution  $u$  satisfies

$$\Phi(u) = c \quad (3.26)$$

or

$$\Phi(u) \leq c - \frac{1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{p}} < 0. \quad (3.26')$$

In some cases, (3.26') is excluded if we have:

$$F(x, u) \leq \frac{1}{p} f(x, u)u + \frac{1}{N} u^{q+1} \quad \text{for a.e. } \Omega, \quad \text{and for all } u \geq 0. \quad (3.27)$$

This assumption could be hold for example if  $f(x, u) = a(x)|u|^{p-2}u + \mu u^r$  with  $a \in L^\infty$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq r \leq q$ . Indeed if  $u$  is a solution of (3.1) we have

$$\int |\nabla u|^p = \int [u^{q+1} + f(x, u)u] \quad (3.28)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &= \int \left[ \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p - \frac{1}{q+1} u^{q+1} - F(x, u) \right] \\ &= \int \left[ \frac{1}{N} |u|^{q+1} + \frac{1}{p} f(x, u)u - F(x, u) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.27), we have  $\Phi(u) \geq 0$ . In fact, when we assume (3.27) the argument below shows that  $\Phi$  satisfies the condition  $(PS)_c$  (introduced in [4]) for every  $c < \frac{1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{p}}$ .

## References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, P. Rabinowitz, Dual Variational Methods in Critical Point Theory and Applications, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **14** (1973), 349-381. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(73\)90051-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(73)90051-7)
- [2] Th. Aubin, Equations Differentielles non Lineaires et Probleme de Yamabe Concernat la Courbure Scalaire, *J. Math. Pures et Appl.*, **55** (1976), 269-293.
- [3] A. Anane, Simplicite et isolation de la premiere valeure propre du p-Laplacien avec poids, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **305** (1987), Serie I, 725-728.
- [4] H. Brezis, J. M. Coron L. Nirenberg, Free vibrationsfor a nonlinear wave equation and a theorem of P. Rabinowitz, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **33** (1980), 667-689. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160330507>

- [5] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, Positive Solutions of Nonlinear Elliptic Equations Involving Critical Sobolev Exponents, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **36** (1983), 437-477. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160360405>
- [6] H. Brezis, E. Lieb, A Relation Between Pointwise Convergence of Functions and Convergence of Functionals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **88** (1983), no. 3, 486. <https://doi.org/10.1090/s0002-9939-1983-0699419-3>
- [7] L. Damascelli, Comparison theorems for some quasilinear degenerate elliptic operators and applications to symmetry and monotonicity results, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **15** (1998), 493-576. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0294-1449\(98\)80032-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0294-1449(98)80032-2)
- [8] P. Drabec, S. I. Pohozaev, Positive Solutions for the p-Laplace: application of the fibering method, *Proceeding of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics*, **127A** (1997), 703-726. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0308210500023787>
- [9] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis, G. Cerami, Combined Effects of Concave and Convex Nonlinearities in some Elliptic Problem, *Journal of Functional Anal.*, **122** (1994), no. 2, 519-543. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1994.1078>
- [10] E. Lieb, Existence and Uniqueness of the Minimizing Solution of Choquard's Nonlinear Equation, *Studies in Appl. Math.*, **57** (1977), 93-105. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sapm197757293>
- [11] E. Lieb, Sharp Constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev Inequality and Related Inequalities, *Annals of Math.*, **118** (1983), 349-374. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2007032>
- [12] J. L. Vazquez, A Strong Maximum Principle for Some Quasilinear Elliptic Equations, *Appl Math Optim.*, **12** (1984), 191-202. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01449041>

**Received: May 15, 2018; Published: June 11, 2018**