Generalizations of Gronwall-Bellman Type Inequalities

Zareen A. Khan

Department of Mathematics
Princess Noura Bint Abdurehman University
Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Copyright © 2014 Zareen A. Khan. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

The aim of the present paper is to establish some new integral inequalities of Gronwall type involving functions of two independent variables which provide explicit bounds on unknown functions. The inequalities given here can be used as tools in the qualitative theory of certain partial differential and integral equations.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15, 26D07, 26D10, 34A40

Keywords: Integral inequalities, two independent variables, partial differential equations, nondecreasing, nonincreasing

1. Introduction

The Gronwall type integral inequalities provide a necessary tool for the study of the theory of differential equations, integral equations and inequalities of the various types (please, see Gronwall [13] and Guiliano [9]). Some applications of this result to the study of stability of the solution of linear and nonlinear differential equations may be found in Bellman [10]. Numerous applications to the existence and uniqueness theory of differential equations may be found in Nemyckii-Stepanov [14], Bihari [8], and Langen-

hop [4]. During the past few years several authors (see references below and some of the references cited therein) have established several Gronwall type integral inequalities in one or two independent real variables [1-13]. Of course, such results have application in the theory of partial differential equations and Volterra integral equations.

In [3], Pachpatte investigated the following inequality:

Lemma: Let u, f and g be nonnegative continuous functions defined on R_+ and c be nonnegative constant. Let $w(t, r)$ be a nonnegative continuous function defined for $t \in R_+, 0 \leq r < \infty$, and monotonic nondecreasing with respect to t for ant fixed $t \in R_+$. If

$$u^2(t) \leq c^2 + 2 \int_0^t u(s)w(s, u(s))ds$$

For $t \in R_+$, then

$$u(t) \leq r(t), \quad t \in R_+$$

Where $r(t)$ is the maximal solution of

$$r'(t) = w(t, r(t)), \quad r(0) = c$$

For $t \in R_+$.

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1: Let $u(x, y)$ and $a(x, y)$ be nonnegative continuous functions defined on $x, y \in R_+$. Let $w(x, y)$ and $h(x, y)$ be a positive continuous and nondecreasing functions in both variables and defined for $x, y \in R_+$. If

$$u(x, y) \leq w(x, y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y u(s, t) dt ds + \int_0^x \int_0^y a(s, t) u(s, t) dt ds \quad (2.1)$$

Then

$$u(x, y) \leq E(x, y) [w(x, y) + r(x, y)], \quad \forall t \in R_+$$

Where

$$E(x, y) = \exp \left[\int_0^x \int_0^y a(s, t) dt ds \right], \quad (2.2)$$

and $r(x, y)$ is the maximal solution of

$$r''(x, y) = E(s, t)[w(s, t) + r(s, t)], \quad r(0, 0) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

Proof: Define a function $m(x, y)$ by the right-hand side of (2.1). Then

$$m(x, y) = w(x, y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y u(s, t) dt ds \quad (2.4)$$

By using (2.4) in (2.1), we get

$$u(x, y) \leq m(x, y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y a(s, t) u(s, t) dt ds \quad (2.5)$$

Since $m(x, y)$ is a positive continuous and nondecreasing function, then

$$\frac{u(x, y)}{m(x, y)} \leq 1 + \int_0^x \int_0^y a(s, t) \frac{u(s, t)}{m(s, t)} dt ds \quad (2.6)$$

Let
$$\frac{u(x, y)}{m(x, y)} \leq v(x, y) \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.6) and (2.7), we observe that

$$v(x, y) \leq 1 + \int_0^x \int_0^y a(s, t) v(s, t) dt ds \quad (2.8)$$

Let
$$z(x, y) = 1 + \int_0^x \int_0^y a(s, t) v(s, t) dt ds \quad (2.9)$$

Using (2.9) in (2.8), we have

$$v(x, y) \leq z(x, y) \quad (2.10)$$

And
$$z(0, y) = 1, \quad z(x, 0) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad z_x(x, 0) = 0, \quad z_y(0, y) = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.9) with respect to x and y and using (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} z_{xy}(x, y) &\leq a(x, y) z(x, y) \\ \frac{z(x, y) z_{xy}(x, y)}{z^2(x, y)} - \frac{z_x(x, y) z_y(x, y)}{z^2(x, y)} &\leq a(x, y) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[\frac{z_x(x, y)}{z(x, y)} \right] &\leq a(x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

By keeping first x fixed in (2.12) and set $y = t$ and integrate from 0 to y then again keeping y fixed, set $x = s$ and integrate from 0 to x respectively and using (2.11), we get

$$z(x, y) \leq \exp \left[\int_0^x \int_0^y a(s, t) dt ds \right] = E(s, t) \quad (2.13)$$

From (2.7),(2.10) and (2.13),it is clear that

$$u(x, y) \leq E(x, y)m(x, y)$$

From (2.4),it can be restated as

$$u(x, y) \leq E(x, y)[w(x, y) + r(x, y)],$$

Where $r(x, y)$ is the maximal solution of

$$r''(x, y) = E(s, t)[w(s, t) + r(s, t)], \quad r(0, 0) = 0$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2: Let $u(x, y)$, $a(x, y)$, $w(x, y)$ and $h(x, y)$ be defined as in Theorem 2.1..If

$$u(x, y) \leq w(x, y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y u(s, t) dt ds + \int_0^x \int_0^y A(s) B(t) u(s, t) dt ds$$

Then

$$u(x, y) \leq E(x, y)[w(x, y) + r(x, y)], \quad \forall t \in R_+$$

Where

$$E(x, y) = \exp \left[\int_0^x \int_0^y A(s) B(t) dt ds \right],$$

and $r(x, y)$ is defined as in (2.3).

Proof: The proof of Theorem2.2 is the same as proof of Theorem2.1 by following the same steps with suitable modifications.

Application

As an application, let us consider the bound on the solution of a nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equation of the form

$$u_{xy}(x, y) = A[x, y, u(s, t), v(s, t)] \quad (2.14)$$

with the given boundary conditions

$$u(x, y_0) = a_1(x), \quad u(x_0, y) = a_2(y), \quad a_1(x_0) = a_2(y_0) = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

where $u \in C[D, R]$, $A \in C[D \times R, R]$, and $D = \{(x, y), x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$ such that

$$|A(x, y, u, v)| \leq |u| + |v| \quad (2.16)$$

$$|v| \leq g(x, y)|u| \quad (2.17)$$

where $u(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ be nonnegative continuous functions defined on a domain D

.The equation (2.14) with (2.15) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$u(x, y) = a_1(x) + a_2(y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y A(s, t, u(s, t), v(s, t)) dt ds \quad (2.18)$$

Let $u(x, y)$ be any solution of (2.14) with (2.15) and taking absolute values of both sides, we get

$$|u(x, y)| = |a_1(x) + a_2(y)| + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y |A(s, t, u(s, t), v(s, t))| dt ds, \quad (2.19)$$

Using (2.16)-(2.17) in (2.19) and assuming that $|a_1(x)| + |a_2(y)| \leq w(x, y)$, where $w(x, y)$ be a positive continuous and nondecreasing function defined in the respective domain, we have

$$|u(x, y)| \leq w(x, y) + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y |u(s, t)| dt ds + \int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y g(s, t) |u(s, t)| dt ds$$

Then $|u(x, y)| \leq E^*(x, y)[w(x, y) + r(x, y)]$,

Where $E^*(x, y) = \exp \left[\int_{x_0}^x \int_{y_0}^y g(s, t) dt ds \right]$,

and $r(x, y)$ with $h(x, y) = 1$ is the maximal solution of

$$r''(x, y) = E^*(s, t)[w(s, t) + r(s, t)], \quad r(0, 0) = 0,$$

The remaining proof will be the same as the proof of Theorem 2.1 with suitable modifications.

We note that Theorem 2.1 can be used to study the stability, boundedness and continuous dependence of the solutions of (2.14).

References

- [1]. B. G. Pachpatte, On some fundamental integral inequalities and their discrete analogues, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 2 (2001), Issue 2, Article 15.
- [2]. B.G.Pachpatte, On some fundamental integral inequalities arising in the theory of differential equations, Chinese.J.Math. Vol.22, (1994b), 261-273.
- [3]. B.G.Pachpatte, Comparison theorems related to a certain inequality used in the theory of differential equations, Soochow.J.Math. Vol.22. (1996), 383-394.

- [4]. C. E. Langenhop, Bounds on the norm of a solution of a general differential equation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), 795-799.
- [5]. D. Bainov and P. Simeonov, Integral Inequalities and Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht, 1992.
- [6]. D. S. Mitrinovic, J. E. Pecaric and A. M. Fink, Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1991.
- [7]. E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, Inequalities, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1961.
- [8]. I. Bihari, A generalization of a lemma of Bellman and its application to uniqueness problem of differential equations, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 7 (1956), 71-94.
- [9]. L. Guiliano, Generalizzazioni di un lemma di Gronwall, Rend. Accad., Lincei, 1946, pp. 1264-1271.
- [10]. R. Bellman, The stability of solutions of linear differential equations, Duke Math. J. 10 (1943), 643-647.
- [11]. S.S.Dragomir and Y.H.Kim, On certain new integral inequalities and their applications, JIPAM.3(2002),1-8,Issue 4,Article 65.
- [12]. S. S. Dragomir and Y.H.Kim, Some integral inequalities for functions of two variables.Electron. J.Differential Equations 10,(2003),1-13.
- [13]. T. H. Gronwall, Note on the derivatives with respect to a parameter of solutions of a system of differential equations, Ann. Math. 20 (1919), 292-296.
- [14]. V. V. Nemyckii and V. V. Stepanov, Qualitative theory of differential equations (Russian),Moscow, OGIZ, 1947.

Received: March 19, 2014