

Two Fixed Point Theorems for Maps on Incomplete G -Metric Spaces

Zead Mustafa

Department of Mathematics, The Hashemite University
P.O. Box 150459, Zarqa 13115, Jordan
zmagablh@hu.edu.jo

Tran Van An

Department of Mathematics, Vinh University
Vinh City, Nghe An Province, Vietnam
andhv@yahoo.com

Nguyen Van Dung

Department of Mathematics, Dong Thap University
Cao Lanh City, Dong Thap Province, Vietnam
nvdung@dtu.edu.vn, nguyendungtc@yahoo.com

Le Thanh Quan

18th Post-graduate Course
Department of Mathematics, Vinh University, Vinh City
Nghe An Province, Vietnam
lethanhquan82@gmail.com

Copyright © 2013 Zead Mustafa et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. In this paper, we prove two fixed point theorems on incomplete G -metric spaces. Examples are given to show that our results are proper generalizations of main results in [6].

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H10, 54H25; Secondary 54D99, 54E99

Keywords: fixed point, G -metric space

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In [7], Mustafa and Sims introduced the concept of G -metric spaces as follows.

Definition 1.1 ([7], Definition 3). Let X be a nonempty set and $G : X \times X \times X \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy the following

- (G1) $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$.
- (G2) $0 < G(x, x, y)$ for all $x \neq y \in X$.
- (G3) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y \neq z \in X$.
- (G4) The symmetry on three variables:

$$G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, x, z) = G(y, z, x) = G(z, x, y) = G(z, y, x)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

- (G5) The rectangle inequality: $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$.

Then G is called a G -metric on X and the pair (X, G) is called a G -metric space.

An interesting work relating to G -metric spaces is to generalize fixed point theorems on metric spaces into this setting. In this way, many results on the fixed point problem of G -metric spaces have been obtained ([1]- [5]), ([7]- [15]). In [6], Mustafa et al have proved the existence of fixed points of maps defined on G -metric space where the completeness is replaced with weaker conditions as follows.

Theorem 1.2 ([6], Theorem 2.1). Let (X, G) be a G -metric space and $T : X \longrightarrow X$ be a map such that

1. $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq a.G(x, Tx, Tx) + b.G(y, Ty, Ty) + c.G(z, Tz, Tz)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $a, b, c \geq 0$ with $0 \leq a + b + c < 1$;
2. T is G -continuous at a point $u \in X$;
3. There is $x \in X$; $\{T^n x\}$ has a subsequence $\{T^{n_i} x\}$ G -converges to u .

Then u is the unique fixed point of T .

Theorem 1.3 ([6], Theorem 2.5). Let (X, G) be a G -metric space and $T : X \longrightarrow X$ be a G -continuous map such that

1. $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq k.\{G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz)\}$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ where M is an everywhere dense subset of X with respect the G -metric topology and $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{6}$.
2. There exists $x \in X$ such that the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ is G -convergent to some $u \in X$.

Then u is the unique fixed point of T .

Continuing these results, we prove two fixed point theorems on incomplete G -metric spaces. Examples are given to show that our results are proper generalizations of main results in [6].

First we recall some notions and lemmas.

Defenition 1.4 ([7]). Let (X, G) be a G -metric space and $x_0 \in X$, $r > 0$.

1. The set $B_G(x_0, r) = \{x \in X : G(x_0, x, x) < r\}$ is called a G -ball with center x_0 and radius r .
2. The family of all G -balls forms a base of a topology $\tau(G)$ on X , and $\tau(G)$ is called a G -metric topology.
3. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be G -convergent to x in X if $x_n \rightarrow x$ in the G -metric topology $\tau(G)$.
4. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be G -Cauchy in X if $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n, l \rightarrow \infty$.
5. (X, G) is called a complete G -metric space if every G -Cauchy sequence is G -convergent.

Lemma 1.5 ([7], Proposition 6). Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent.

1. x_n is G -convergent to x in X .
2. $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
3. $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
4. $G(x_n, x_m, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 1.6 ([7], Proposition 7). Let $T : X \rightarrow X'$ be a map from a G -metric space (X, G) to a G -metric space (X', G') . Then T is G -continuous at $x \in X$ if and only if T is G -sequentially continuous at x , that is, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is G -convergent to x we have $\{f(x_n)\}$ is G -convergent to $f(x)$.

Lemma 1.7 ([7], Proposition 8). Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Then G is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

Lemma 1.8 ([7], Proposition 9). Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent.

1. $\{x_n\}$ is a G -Cauchy sequence.
2. $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, G) be a G -metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a map such that

- (A₁) $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq k \cdot \max \{G(x, y, z), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\}$
for all $x, y, z \in X$ and some $k \in [0, 1)$;
- (A₂) T is G -continuous at $u \in X$;
- (A₃) There exists $x \in X$ such that the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ has a subsequence $\{T^{n_i} x\}$ which is G -convergent to some $u \in X$.

Then u is the unique fixed point of T .

Proof. Since T is G -continuous at u and $\{T^{n_i}x\}$ is G -convergent to u , the sequence $\{T(T^{n_i}x)\}$ is G -convergent to Tu by Lemma 1.6, that is, $\{T^{n_i+1}x\}$ is G -convergent to Tu . We shall prove $Tu = u$. Suppose to the contrary that $Tu \neq u$. Then we get $G(u, Tu, Tu) > 0$. Since $\{T^{n_i}x\}$ is G -convergent to u and $\{T^{n_i+1}x\}$ is G -convergent to Tu , by choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{G(u, Tu, Tu)}{2} > 0$ and using Lemma 1.7 there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $i > N_1$ we have

$$(2.1) \quad G(T^{n_i}x, T^{n_i+1}x, T^{n_i+1}x) > \varepsilon.$$

Otherwise, by using the condition (A_1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G(T^{n_i+1}x, T^{n_i+2}x, T^{n_i+2}x) \\ & \leq k \cdot \max \{G(T^{n_i}x, T^{n_i+1}x, T^{n_i+1}x), G(T^{n_i}x, T^{n_i+1}x, T^{n_i+1}x), \\ & \quad G(T^{n_i+1}x, T^{n_i+2}x, T^{n_i+2}x), G(T^{n_i+1}x, T^{n_i+2}x, T^{n_i+2}x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq k < 1$, we get $G(T^{n_i+1}x, T^{n_i+2}x, T^{n_i+2}x) \leq k \cdot G(T^{n_i}x, T^{n_i+1}x, T^{n_i+1}x)$.

Now for all $l > j > N_1$, by continuing the above process we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} G(T^{n_l}x, T^{n_l+1}x, T^{n_l+1}x) & \leq k \cdot G(T^{n_l-1}x, T^{n_l}x, T^{n_l}x) \\ & \leq k^2 \cdot G(T^{n_l-2}x, T^{n_l-1}x, T^{n_l-1}x) \\ & \leq \dots \\ & \leq k^{n_l-n_j} \cdot G(T^{n_j}x, T^{n_j+1}x, T^{n_j+1}x). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $l \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.2) we get $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} G(T^{n_l}x, T^{n_l+1}x, T^{n_l+1}x) = 0$. It is a contradiction to (2.1). This proves that $Tu = u$.

Next we prove the uniqueness of the fixed point of T . Let u, v be fixed points of T , that is, $Tu = u$ and $Tv = v$. It follows from the condition (A_1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(u, v, v) & = G(Tu, Tv, Tv) \\ & \leq k \cdot \max \{G(u, v, v), G(u, Tu, Tu), G(v, Tv, Tv), G(v, Tv, Tv)\} \\ & = k \cdot G(u, v, v). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq k < 1$, we get $G(u, v, v) = 0$. From the condition (G_2) we obtain $u = v$. This proves that the fixed point of T is unique. \square

Remark 2.2. For all $x, y, z \in X$ and $a, b, c \geq 0$ with $0 \leq a + b + c < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \\ & \leq aG(x, Tx, Tx) + bG(y, Ty, Ty) + cG(z, Tz, Tz) \\ & \leq (a + b + c) \max \{G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\} \\ & \leq (a + b + c) \max \{G(x, y, z), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\} \\ & = k \cdot \max \{G(x, y, z), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\} \end{aligned}$$

with $k = a + b + c \in [0, 1)$. This proves that Theorem 1.2 is a consequence of Theorem 2.1.

The following example shows that Theorem 2.1 is a proper generalization of Theorem 1.2.

Example 2.3. Let $X = [0, 1)$ and $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be given by

$$G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be given by $T(x) = \frac{4}{5}x$ for all $x \in X$.

By [8, Example 1.2] we have (X, G) is a G -metric space. Next we will show that (X, G) is not complete. Indeed, we consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ where $x_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad & G(x_m, x_n, x_l) \\ &= |x_m - x_n| + |x_n - x_l| + |x_m - x_l| \\ &= \left| \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \right| + \left| \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{l}\right) \right| + \left| \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{l}\right) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{n} \right| + \left| \frac{1}{l} - \frac{1}{m} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $m, n, l \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.3) we obtain $G(x_m, x_n, x_l) \rightarrow 0$. Then $\{x_n\}$ is a G -Cauchy sequence. Suppose to the contrary that $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X . Then $G(x, x, x_n) = 2|x - 1 + \frac{1}{n}|$ which is convergent to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, that implies $x = 1$. It is a contradiction since $1 \notin X$. Therefore, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is not G -convergent in X . This proves that (X, G) is not complete.

Next we will show that Theorem 2.1 is applicable to T . For all $x, y, z \in X$ we have

$$G(x, Tx, Tx) = |x - Tx| + |Tx - Tx| + |Tx - x| = \frac{2}{5}x$$

and

$$G(y, Ty, Ty) = \frac{2}{5}y, \quad G(z, Tz, Tz) = \frac{2}{5}z.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(Tx, Ty, Tz) &= |Tx - Ty| + |Ty - Tz| + |Tz - Tx| \\
 &= \left| \frac{4}{5}x - \frac{4}{5}y \right| + \left| \frac{4}{5}y - \frac{4}{5}z \right| + \left| \frac{4}{5}z - \frac{4}{5}x \right| \\
 &= \frac{4}{5}(|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|) \\
 &\leq \frac{9}{10}(|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|) \\
 &\leq \frac{9}{10} \cdot \max \left\{ |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|, \frac{2}{5}x, \frac{2}{5}y, \frac{2}{5}z \right\} \\
 &= k \cdot \max \{G(x, y, z), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $k = \frac{9}{10}$. This proves that T satisfies the condition (A_1) in Theorem 2.1.

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta = \varepsilon$ and $G(0, y, z) = |0 - y| + |y - z| + |0 - z| < \delta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(T0, Ty, Tz) &= \left| 0 - \frac{4y}{5} \right| + \left| \frac{4y}{5} - \frac{4z}{5} \right| + \left| 0 - \frac{4z}{5} \right| \\
 &= \frac{4}{5}(|0 - y| + |y - z| + |0 - z|) = \frac{4}{5}G(0, y, z) \\
 &< \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves that T is G -continuous at $0 \in X$, that is, T satisfies the condition (A_2) of Theorem 2.1.

By choosing $x = \frac{1}{4} \in X$ we have $T^n\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^n$ that is G -convergent to $0 \in X$. Then T satisfies the condition (A_3) in Theorem 2.1.

Therefore, all assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Then Theorem 2.1 is applicable to T . We see that $x = 0$ is the unique fixed point of T .

Now we show that T does not satisfy the condition (1) in Theorem 1.2. For all $x, y, z \in X$ we may assume that $x \geq y \geq z$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad G(Tx, Ty, Tz) &= |Tx - Ty| + |Ty - Tz| + |Tz - Tx| \\
 &= \left| \frac{4}{5}x - \frac{4}{5}y \right| + \left| \frac{4}{5}y - \frac{4}{5}z \right| + \left| \frac{4}{5}z - \frac{4}{5}x \right| \\
 &= \frac{4}{5}(x - y + y - z - z + x) = \frac{8}{5}(x - z)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \max \{G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\} = \max \left\{ \frac{2}{5}x, \frac{2}{5}y, \frac{2}{5}z \right\} = \frac{2}{5}x.$$

If T satisfies the condition (1) in Theorem 1.2, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad & G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \\
 & \leq a.G(x, Tx, Tx) + b.G(y, Ty, Ty) + c.G(z, Tz, Tz) \\
 & \leq (a + b + c) \max \{G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $a, b, c \geq 0$ with $0 \leq a + b + c < 1$. By combining (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) we have

$$\frac{8}{5}(x - z) \leq (a + b + c)\frac{2}{5}x$$

which is equivalent to

$$4x - 4z \leq (a + b + c)x.$$

This inequality does not hold if $x > y > z = \frac{3}{4}x$ because of $0 \leq (a + b + c) < 1$. That is, the condition (1) in Theorem 1.2 does not hold.

Theorem 2.4. *Let (X, G) be a G -metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a G -continuous map such that*

- (B₁) $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq k. \max \{G(x, y, z), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\}$
for all $x, y, z \in M$ where M is an everywhere dense subset of X with respect the G -metric topology and $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{2}$.
- (B₂) *There exists $x \in X$ such that the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ is G -convergent to some $u \in X$.*

Then u is the unique fixed point of T .

Proof. For all $x, y, z \in X$, since $\overline{M} = X$, there exist sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$ in M such that $x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y$ and $z_n \rightarrow z$. From the condition (G_5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad & G(Tz, Ty, Ty) \\
 & \leq G(Tz, Tz_n, Tz_n) + G(Tz_n, Ty, Ty) \\
 & \leq G(Tz, Tz_n, Tz_n) + G(Tz_n, Ty_n, Ty_n) + G(Ty_n, Ty, Ty).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $y_n, z_n \in M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, from the condition (B_1) we have

$$(2.8) \quad G(Tz_n, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq k. \max \{G(z_n, y_n, y_n), G(z_n, Tz_n, Tz_n), G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}$$

Using the condition (G_5) again we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad & G(z_n, y_n, y_n) \leq G(z_n, z, z) + G(z, y_n, y_n) \\
 & = G(z_n, z, z) + G(y_n, y_n, z) \\
 & \leq G(z_n, z, z) + G(y_n, y_n, y) + G(y, y, z) \\
 & \leq G(z_n, z, z) + G(y_n, y_n, y) + G(x, y, z)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} G(z_n, Tz_n, Tz_n) &\leq G(z_n, z, z) + G(z, Tz_n, Tz_n) \\ &\leq G(z_n, z, z) + G(z, Tz, Tz) + G(Tz, Tz_n, Tz_n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n) &\leq G(y_n, y, y) + G(y, Ty_n, Ty_n) \\ &\leq G(y_n, y, y) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(Ty, Ty_n, Ty_n). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.8), (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11) we have

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} &G(Tz_n, Ty_n, Ty_n) \\ &\leq k. \max \{G(z_n, y_n, y_n), G(z_n, Tz_n, Tz_n), G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n)\} \\ &\leq k. \max \{G(z_n, z, z) + G(y_n, y_n, y) + G(x, y, z), \\ &\quad G(z_n, z, z) + G(z, Tz, Tz) + G(Tz, Tz_n, Tz_n), \\ &\quad G(y_n, y, y) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(Ty, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.7) and (2.12) we get

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &G(Tz, Ty, Ty) \\ &\leq G(Tz, Tz_n, Tz_n) + G(Tz_n, Ty, Ty) \\ &\leq G(Tz, Tz_n, Tz_n) + G(Ty_n, Ty, Ty) + G(Tz_n, Ty_n, Ty_n) \\ &\leq G(Tz, Tz_n, Tz_n) + G(Ty_n, Ty, Ty) + \\ &\quad + k. \max \{G(z_n, z, z) + G(y_n, y_n, y) + G(x, y, z), \\ &\quad G(z_n, z, z) + G(z, Tz, Tz) + G(Ty, Ty_n, Ty_n), \\ &\quad G(y_n, y, y) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(Ty, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since T is G -continuous and $y_n \rightarrow y, z_n \rightarrow z$, by using Lemma 1.6 we have

$$Ty_n \rightarrow Ty, Tz_n \rightarrow Tz.$$

On the other hand, by using Lemma 1.5 we get

$$y_n \rightarrow y, z_n \rightarrow z, Ty_n \rightarrow Ty, Tz_n \rightarrow Tz.$$

This implies that

$$G(y_n, y, y) \rightarrow 0, G(z_n, z, z) \rightarrow 0, G(Ty, Ty_n, Ty_n) \rightarrow 0, G(Tz, Tz_n, Tz_n) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, by taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.13) we get

$$(2.14) \quad G(Tz, Ty, Ty) \leq k. \max \{G(x, y, z), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\}$$

Since $y_n, x_n \in M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by a similar argument we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad G(Tx, Ty, Ty) \leq k. \max \{G(x, y, z), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(x, Tx, Tx)\}.$$

It follows from (G_5) , (2.14) and (2.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tx, Ty, Tz) &\leq G(Tx, Ty, Ty) + G(Tz, Ty, Ty) \\ &\leq k \cdot \max \{G(x, y, z), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\} \\ &\quad + k \cdot \max \{G(x, y, z), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(x, Tx, Tx)\} \\ &\leq 2k \cdot \max \{G(x, y, z), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq 2k \cdot \max \{G(x, y, z), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $0 \leq 2k < 1$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that u is a unique fixed point of T . □

Remark 2.5. For all $x, y, z \in M$ and $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{6}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \\ &\leq k \cdot \{G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz)\} \\ &\leq 3k \cdot \max \{G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\} \\ &\leq 3k \cdot \max \{G(x, y, z), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{6}$, we get $0 \leq 3k < \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, Theorem 1.3 is a consequence of Theorem 2.4.

The following example shows that Theorem 2.4 is a proper generalization of Theorem 1.3.

Example 2.6. Let $X = [0; 1]$, $M = (0; 1)$ be an everywhere dense subset of X and $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a map given by

$$G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$; $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a map given by $T(x) = \frac{2}{5}x$ for all $x \in X$.

As in Example 2.3 we have (X, G) is a G -metric space. For each $a \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we put $\delta = \varepsilon$. Then for all $x, y \in X$ with

$$G(a, y, z) = |a - y| + |y - z| + |a - z| < \delta$$

we have

$$G(T(a), T(y), T(z)) = \left| \frac{2a}{5} - \frac{2y}{5} \right| + \left| \frac{2y}{5} - \frac{2z}{5} \right| + \left| \frac{2a}{5} - \frac{2z}{5} \right| = \frac{2}{5}G(a, y, z) < \varepsilon.$$

This proves that T is G -continuous at a .

Next, for all $x, y, z \in M$ we have

$$G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$$

and

$$G(x, Tx, Tx) = |x - Tx| + |Tx - Tx| + |Tx - x| = \frac{6}{5}x.$$

Similarly we get

$$G(y, Ty, Ty) = \frac{6}{5}y, \text{ and } G(z, Tz, Tz) = \frac{6}{5}z.$$

Therefore, for all $x, y, z \in M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tx, Ty, Tz) &= |Tx - Ty| + |Ty - Tz| + |Tz - Tx| \\ &= \left| \frac{2}{5}x - \frac{2}{5}y \right| + \left| \frac{2}{5}y - \frac{2}{5}z \right| + \left| \frac{2}{5}z - \frac{2}{5}x \right| \\ &= \frac{2}{5}(|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|) \\ &\leq \frac{7}{15}(|x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|) \\ &\leq \frac{7}{15} \max \left\{ |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|, \frac{6}{5}|x|, \frac{6}{5}|y|, \frac{6}{5}|z| \right\} \\ &= k \cdot \max \{G(x, y, z), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\} \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq k = \frac{7}{15} < \frac{1}{2}$. This proves that the condition (B_1) in Theorem 2.4 is satisfied.

By choosing $x = \frac{1}{2} \in X$ we have the sequence $\left\{T^n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\} = \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{5}\right)^n\right\}$ is G -convergent to $0 \in X$. This proves that T satisfies the condition (B_2) in Theorem 2.4.

Therefore, all assumptions of Theorem 2.4 are satisfied. Then Theorem 2.4 is applicable to T . We see that $x = 0$ is the unique fixed point of T .

Now we prove that T does not satisfy the condition (1) in Theorem 1.3. For all $x, y, z \in M$, we may assume that $x \geq y \geq z$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.16) \quad G(Tx, Ty, Tz) &= |Tx - Ty| + |Ty - Tz| + |Tz - Tx| \\ &= \left| \frac{2}{5}x - \frac{2}{5}y \right| + \left| \frac{2}{5}y - \frac{2}{5}z \right| + \left| \frac{2}{5}z - \frac{2}{5}x \right| \\ &= \frac{2}{5}(x - y + y - z - z + x) \\ &= \frac{4}{5}(x - z). \end{aligned}$$

and

(2.17)

$$\max \{G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\} = \max \left\{ \frac{6}{5}x, \frac{6}{5}y, \frac{6}{5}z \right\} = \frac{6}{5}x.$$

Suppose to the contrary that T satisfies the condition (1) in Theorem 1.3. Then we have

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq k\{G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz)\}$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $0 < k < \frac{1}{6}$. Combining (2.16) and (2.17) to give $\frac{4}{5}(x - z) \leq 3k \cdot \frac{6}{5}x$ which is equivalent to $2x - 2z \leq 9k \cdot x$. By choosing $x > y > z = \frac{1}{4}x$ we get $k \geq \frac{1}{6}$. It is a contradiction. Therefore, Theorem 1.3 is not applicable to T .

REFERENCES

1. F. Akbar, A. R. Khan, and N. Sultana, *Common fixed point and approximation results for generalized (f, g) weak contractions*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012:75** (2012), 1- 24.
2. H. Aydi, B. Damjanovic, B. Samet, and W. Shatanawi, *Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered G -metric spaces*, Math. Comput. Model. **54** (2011), 2443 – 2450.
3. Y. J. Cho, B. E. Rhoades, R. Saadati, B. Samet, and W. Shatanawi, *Nonlinear coupled fixed point theorems in ordered generalized metric spaces with integral type*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012:8** (2012), 1 - 14.
4. R. Chugh, T. Kadian, A. Rani, and B. E. Rhoades, *Property P in G -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2010** (2010), 1 - 12.
5. Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat, and F. Awawdeh, *Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2008** (2008), 1 - 12.
6. Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi, and M. Bataineh, *Existence of fixed point results in G -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2009** (2009), 1- 10.
7. Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, *A new approach to generalized metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **7** (2006), no. 2, 289 – 297.
8. Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, *Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2009** (2009).
9. Z. Mustafa and H. Obiedat, *A fixed point theorem of reich in G - metric spaces*, Cubo **12** (2010), no. 1, 83 - 93.
10. Z. Mustafa, M. Khandagjy and W. Shatanawi, *Fixed point results on complete G -metric spaces*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., **48** (2011), no. 3, 304 - 319.
11. Z. Mustafa, F. Awawdeh and W. Shatanawi, *Fixed point theorem for expansive mappings in G -metric spaces*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci. **5** 2010, 49 - 52.
12. Z. Mustafa, H. Aydi and E. Karapinar, *On common fixed points in G -metric spaces using $(E.A)$ property*, Comput. Math. Appl. **64** (2012), 1944 - 1956.
13. H. Obiedat, Z. Mustafa, *Fixed point results on a nonsymmetric G -metric spaces*, Jordan J. Math. Stat. **3** (2010), no. 2, 65 - 79.
14. K. P. R. Rao, K. B.Lakshmi and Z. Mustafa , *Fixed and related fixed point theorems for three maps in G -metric space*, J. Adv. Stud. Topology (2012), accepted paper.
15. Z. Mustafa, *Common fixed points of weakly compatible mappings in G -metric spaces*, Appl. Math. Sci. **6** (2012), no. 92, 4589 - 4600.

Received: February 14, 2013